

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(1): 105-110, 2022; Article no.IJECC.83378 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

## Gene Action of Seed Yield and Its Contributing Attributes in Indian Mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L) Czern & Coss]

Swarnlata Kumawat <sup>a≡\*</sup>, Anil Kumar Sharma <sup>a∞</sup>, Komal Shekhawat <sup>a≡</sup> and Anil Kumar <sup>a≡</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, SKRAU, Bikaner, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i130637

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83378

Original Research Article

Received 19 November 2021 Accepted 22 January 2022 Published 24 January 2022

#### ABSTRACT

Ten genetically diverse parents along with  $45 F_1^{s}$  were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications under three different environments. Data were recorded for seed yield and important yield attributes. The parents, RH-406, RGN-303, RGN-229, RGN-298 and PBR-378 were found to be good general combiners for seed yield and other yield contributing traits in which the parent, PBR-378 performed well in all the environment while, RGN-298 in E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>3</sub> and pooled environments and RGN-229 in E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> and pooled environment were found as good combiners for seed yield and other yield contributing traits. Crosses, RH-30 x RB-50, RGN-303 x RGN-229, RGN-13 x RH-406, RGN-298 x PBR-378 and RGN-236 x RB-50 emerged as good specific combiner for seed yield and one or more related traits. On the basis of specific combing ability effects and *per se* performance an overall appraisal revealed that the cross combination RGN-303 x RGN-293 x RGN-298 x PBR-378 in E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub>, RH-30 x RB-50 in E<sub>1</sub> and combined environment while, RGN-298 x PBR-378 in E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> were found most desirable for seed yield and its contributing characters. These best crosses were the results of good x good, poor x poor and good x poor general combiners.

<sup>■</sup>Research Scholar;

<sup>©</sup>Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: kumawatswarnlata.sk@gmail.com;

Keywords: Diallel; environment; genotype; gene action; general combing ability; specific combing ability; traits.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L) Czern & is an important oilseed crop Cossl of Brassicaceae family which accounts more than 70 % under rapeseed and mustard. The term mustard is thought to come from an early European tradition of combining the sweet "must" of old wine with crushed seeds to make a fiery paste called "hot must" or "mustum ardens," [1]. The combining ability studies are used by plant breeders to select parents with maximum capacity of transmitting desirable genes to the progenies and evolution of parental line of good combining makes the pathways easy, towards the success identify the best specific crosses for yield and various quality parameters. High general combing ability coupled with high performance indicates an outstanding parents will reservoir genes due to additive genetic variance. Hence both mean performance and general combing ability (GCA) effect may be taken into account for parental selection. Specific combining ability (SCA) is associated with interaction effects which may be due to dominance and epistatic components of variation that are non-fixable in nature thus it would be worthy for commercial exploitation as hybrids.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten genetically diverse parents namely, RGN-303, RGN-13, RGN-229, RGN-298, RGN-236, RH-30, RH-406, RB-50, RLM-619 and PBR-378 were crossed in diallel mating design excluding reciprocals. During Rabi 2019-20, ten parents and their 45 F1,s were evaluated in a double row with 4.0 m row length and spacing at 45x20 cm<sup>2</sup> in a randomized block design (RBD) under three different environments created by three dates of sowing  $[1^{st}$  October (E<sub>1</sub>),  $15^{th}$  October (E<sub>2</sub>) and  $30^{th}$  October (E<sub>3</sub>)] during *Rabi* 2019-2020 at Research farm, College of Agriculture, SKRAU, Bikaner. Observations were recorded for the characters namely, days to 50% flowering and days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of siliquae per plant, siliqua length, number of seed per siliqua and leaf area index 1000 seed weight, oil content, biological yield per plant, harvest index and seed yield per plant.

The combining ability analysis of data for individual environment was carried out for all the three environments separately, using Griffing's method II, [2]. The following statistical model was employed:

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + g_i + g_j + s_{ij} + e_{ijk/r}$ 

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The analysis of variance for combining ability in the individual environment separately revealed that mean squares due to general combing ability and specific combing ability were significant for all the characters except oil content for general combing ability [Table1.] indicating that both additive and non- additive gene action controlled the genetic mechanism of these studied traits. These results were also confirmed by Malviya et al. [3] and Ramandeep et al. [4] in mustard crop. The GCA / SCA variance ratio (predictability ratio) was less than unity emphasizing the role of non-additive gene action for all the traits. Earlier, similar observations were obtained by Meena et al. [5], Shrimali et al. [6] and Singh et al. [7]. The mean squares due to environments were also found significant for all the attributes. Table 2 indicates that mean squares owing to GCA X ENVS and SCA X ENVS were significant for almost all characters with the except number of seeds per siligua, 1000 seed weight, and oil content.

Perusal of Table 3 revealed that the parents, who showed desirable, GCA effects for seed yield per plant, also exhibited desirable GCA effects for one or more yield attributing traits. The parents PBR-378, RGN-298 and RGN-229 in E1; PBR-378, RGN-303 and RGN-229 in E<sub>2</sub> PBR-378, RGN-298 and RH-406 in E<sub>3</sub> and PBR-378, RGN-298 and RGN-229 over environments emerged as good general combiners for seed yield and some associated yield traits. An overall appraisal revealed that the parents PBR-378 in E1. E2 E3 and pooled basis; RGN-298 in E1, E3 and pooled environment; RGN-229 in E1, E2 and pooled environment; RGN-303 in E2 and RGN-406 in E1 emerged as good general combiners for seed yield with simultaneous consideration of other characters. Earlier, Choudhary et al. [8] and Singh et al. [9], provided similar information on combining ability in Indian mustard.

Source of variation	d.f.		Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches per plant	No. of Secondary branches per plant	No. of siliquae per plant	Siliqua length (cm)	No. of seeds per siliqua	1000- seed weight (g)	Biological yield per plant (g)	Oil content (%)	Leaf area index (LAI)	Harvest index (%)	Seed yield per plant (g)
GCA	9	E1	7.55**	6.95**	682.92**	0.65**	8.9**	1280.69**	0.86**	4.09**	0.66**	914.32**	0.36	0.24**	24.49**	67.81**
		$E_2$	8.53**	5.97**	624.07**	0.64**	9.44**	6792.46**	0.94**	5.1**	0.53**	712.32**	0.4	0.29**	24.07**	69.41**
		E <sub>3</sub>	16.35**	16.96**	758.69**	1.14**	20.65**	6778.45**	0.39**	5.04**	0.63**	1154.77**	0.35	0.13**	22.61**	77.89**
SCA	45	Εı	6.54**	7.42**	246.84**	1.07**	10.04**	767.27*	0.58**	3.98**	0.43**	527.25**	0.52**	0.3**	22.79**	96.62**
		$E_2$	7**	6.03**	193.52**	1.09**	10.05**	9700.3**	0.57**	4**	0.41**	375.4**	0.6**	0.29**	23.36**	97.79**
		E <sub>3</sub>	9.34**	9.18**	191.89**	0.91**	13.46**	9788.77**	0.21*	3.97**	0.42**	438.23**	0.5**	0.25**	15.55**	82.09**
Error	108	E1	0.44	0.89	58.12	0.06	0.58	465.68	0.07	0.57	0.05	37.96	0.28	0.03	0.93	2.11
		$E_2$	0.45	0.59	64.84	0.07	0.88	581.4	0.16	0.79	0.04	54.51	0.33	0.04	0.78	2.19
		E <sub>3</sub>	0.38	0.69	69.02	0.08	1.02	509.6	0.14	0.8	0.05	24.68	0.28	0.03	0.78	2.98
GCA		E1	0.59	0.51	52.07	0.05	0.69	67.92	0.07	0.29	0.05	73.03	0.006	0.017	1.96	5.475
Variance		$E_2$	0.67	0.45	46.6	0.05	0.71	517.58	0.07	0.36	0.04	54.8175	0.005	0.02	1.94	5.6
		E <sub>3</sub>	1.33	1.36	57.47	0.09	1.64	522.4	0.02	0.35	0.05	94.17	0.01	0.01	1.81	6.24
SCA		E <sub>1</sub>	6.1	6.53	188.72	1.01	9.46	301.59	0.51	3.41	0.38	489.29	0.24	0.27	21.86	94.51
Variance		$E_2$	6.55	5.44	128.68	1.02	9.17	9118.9	0.41	3.21	0.37	320.89	0.27	0.25	22.58	95.6
		E <sub>3</sub>	8.96	8.49	122.87	0.83	12.44	9279.17	0.07	3.17	0.37	413.55	0.22	0.22	14.77	79.11
GCA/SCA		E <sub>1</sub>	0.1	0.08	0.28	0.05	0.07	0.23	0.13	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.06
Variance		$E_2$	0.1	0.08	0.36	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.17	0.02	0.08	0.09	0.06
ratio		E <sub>3</sub>	0.15	0.16	0.47	0.11	0.13	0.06	0.3	0.11	0.13	0.23	0.03	0.04	0.12	0.08

Table 1. Analysis of variance for combining ability for yield and its contributing characters of Indian mustard in different environments

\* Significant at 5%, \*\* Significant at 1%

Table 2. Analysis of variance for combing ability for yield and its contributing characters of Indian mustard pooled over environments

Source	d.f	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches per plant	No. of Secondary branches per plant	No. of siliquae per plant	Siliqua length (cm)	No. of seeds per siliqua		Biological yield per plant (g)	Oil content (%)	Leaf area index (LAI)	Harvest index (%)	Seed yield per plant (g)
GCA	9	24.759 **	21.395 **	1331.421 **	1.254 **	26.709 **	9679.281 **	1.416 **	14.030 **	1.808 **	2638.694 **	1.077 **	0.345 **	67.595 **	205.380 **
SCA	45	15.487 **	13.093 **	407.983 **	1.874 **	27.878 **	12838.310 **	0.868 **	11.808 **	1.248 **	1080.877 **	1.592 **	0.546 **	56.974 **	267.836 **
Environments	2	2706.836 **	2654.910 **	27375.830 **	53.002 **	381.794 **	3042564.000 **	56.406 **	689.212 **	29.971 **	39870.140 **	96.778 **	93.637 **	318.334 **	5841.211 **
GCA X ENVS	18	3.835 **	4.242 **	367.129 **	0.589 **	6.139 **	2586.161 **	0.391 **	0.099	0.005	71.359 *	0.014	0.161 **	1.790 **	4.863 **
SCA X ENVS	90	3.694 **	4.768 **	112.131 **	0.602 **	2.835 **	3709.019 **	0.245 **	0.069	0.004	129.995 **	0.015	0.143 **	2.362 **	4.334 **
ERROR	324	0.426	0.722	63.993	0.07	0.825	518.892	0.122	0.721	0.046	39.053	0.297	0.034	0.833	2.428

\* Significant at 5%, \*\* Significant at 1%

Table 3. Best mustard parents possessing high GCA along with their per se performance and significant desirable GCA effects for other traits in over the environm	Table 3. Best mustard parent	ossessing high GCA along with	heir <i>per</i> se performance and sid	significant desirable GCA effects for other traits in over th	e environments
---	------------------------------	-------------------------------	--	---	----------------

Environments	Best parent	GCA effect	Seed yield per plant	Other yield contributing traits with its GCA magnitude
E <sub>1</sub>	PBR-378	4.54	34.97	Siliqua length, No. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight, Biological yield per plant and Harvest index
	RGN-298	2.29**	33.87	No. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight and Harvest index
	RGN-229	1.72**	34.67	Days to 50% flowering, Days to maturity, no. of primary branches per plant, no. of secondary branches per plant, no. of siliquae per
				plant, no. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight, biological yield per plant, leaf area index and harvest index
E <sub>2</sub>	PBR-378	3.93	46.59	No. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight, biological yield per plant and harvest index
	RGN-303	2.03**	43.61	Days to 50% flowering, Days to maturity, plant height, no. of secondary branches per plant, 1000-seed weight and harvest index
	RGN-229	1.72	43.6	Days to 50% flowering, no. of primary branches per plant, no. of secondary branches per plant, no. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed
				weight, leaf area index and harvest index
E <sub>3</sub>	PBR-378	4.74	56.67	Siliqua length, no. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight, biological yield per plant and harvest index
	RGN-298	2.09**	57.92	Plant height, no. of primary branches per plant, Siliqua length, no. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight and harvest index
	RH-406	1.27**	46.9	Days to maturity, no. of siliquae per plant and harvest index
Pooled	PBR-378	4.402**	46.08	Siliqua length, no. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight, Biological yield per plant and harvest index
	RGN-298	2.025**	44.89	No. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight and harvest index
	RGN-229	1.446**	45.20	No. of secondary branches per plant, no. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight, Biological yield per plant and leaf area index

and \*\* indicates significance of values at P< 0.05 and 0.01, respectively

# Table 4. Best mustard crosses possessing high SCA along with their *per* se performance and significant desirable SCA effects for other traits in individual and over the environments

Environments	Best parent	GCA effect	Seed yield per plant	Other yield contributing traits with its SCA magnitude
E <sub>1</sub>	RH-30 X RB-50	17.18**	46.36	Days to 50% flowering, Days to maturity, No. of primary branches per plant and Harvest index
	RGN-303 X RGN-229	16.82**	50.7	Days to 50% flowering, Plant height, No. of Secondary branches per plant, No. of seeds per siliqua,1000-seed weight, Biological yield per plant and Harvest index
	RGN-13 X RH-406	12.91**	44.96	No. of Secondary branches per plant,1000-seed weight ,Biological yield per plant ,Oil content, Leaf area index (LAI) and Harvest index
E <sub>2</sub>	RGN-303 X RGN-229	16.96**	63.71	Plant height, No. of siliquae per plant, No. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight and Harvest index
	RGN-298 X PBR-378	14.63**	63.26	1000-seed weight and Harvest index
	RGN-13 X RH-406	14.37**	56.75	No. of Secondary branches per plant, No. of siliquae per plant, 1000-seed weight , Oil content Leaf area index (LAI) and Harvest index
E <sub>3</sub>	RGN-236 X RB-50	14.83**	65.85	Harvest index
	RGN-298 X PBR-378	13.92**	73.98	Days to 50% flowering and Harvest index
	RGN-13 X RB-50	13.19**	63.01	No. of primary branches per plant, No. of Secondary branches per plant, No. of siliquae per plant, Mo. Of seeds per siliqua, Leaf area index (LAI) and Harvest index
Pooled	RGN-303 x RGN-229	14.983**	59.83	Days to 50% flowering, Days to maturity, Plant height, No. of Secondary branches per plant, No. of siliquae per plant, No. of seeds per siliqua, 1000-seed weight, Biological yield per plant, Oil content and Harvest index
	RH-30 x RB-50	14.264**	53.83	Days to 50% flowering, Days to maturity and Harvest index
	RGN-298 x PBR-378	13.429**	62.81	Siliqua length and Harvest index

\* and \*\* indicates significance of values at P< 0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Perusal of Table 4 revealed that the crosses. which showed desirable significant SCA effects for seed yield per plant, also exhibited desirable SCA effects for one or more yield contributing traits. It has been informed from the present study that the crosses RH-30 x RB-50, RGN-303 x RGN-229 and RGN-13 x RH-406 in E1; RGN-303 x RGN-229, RGN-298 x PBR-378 and RGN-13 x RH-406 in E<sub>2</sub>; RGN-236 x RB-50, RGN-298 x PBR-378 and RGN-13 x RB-50 in E<sub>3</sub> and RGN-303 x RGN-229, RH-30 x RB-50 and RGN-298 x PBR-378 in pooled environment emerged as good specific cross combinations for seed vield per plant. An overall appraisal revealed that the crosses RGN-303 X RGN-229 in E1 (very early sown ), E<sub>2</sub> (early sown) and pooled environment RGN-13 X RH-406 in E1 and E2, RH-30 X RB-50 in E1 and combined environment while, RGN-298 X PBR-378 in E2, E3 (timely sown) and pooled environment as good specific cross combinations. The parents involved in best crosses, RH-406, RGN-303, RGN-229, RGN-298 and PBR-378 were good general combiners for seed yield and one or more yield contributing traits. Results of similar nature, where multiple number of parents having good GCA for several characters were also reported by Verma [10] and Singh et al. [11]. It is interesting to note that GCA effects of best crosses like RGN-303 x RGN-229 (good x good), RGN-13 x RH-406 (poor x good), RGN-298 x PBR-378 (good x good), RH-30 x RB-50 (poor x poor) and RGN-13 x RB-50 (poor x poor) indicated that the good specific cross combinations were the result of good x good, good x poor or poor x poor combinations. A cross combination exhibiting high SCA effects as well as high per se performance involving at least one parent as good general combiner for a particular trait as found by Lohia [12] and Nigan and Alka [13], is expected to throw desirable segregants in the segregating generations.

### 4. CONCLUSION

Over all concluded that the parents, RH-406, RGN-303, RGN-229, RGN-298 and PBR-378 were found to be good general combiners for seed yield and other yield contributing traits in which the parent, PBR-378 performed well in all the three environment with over the environment. The crosses like RH-30 × RB-50, RGN-303 × RGN-229, RGN-13 × RH-406, RGN-298 × PBR-378 and RGN-236 × RB-50 emerged as good specific combiner for seed yield and related traits. These best crosses were the results of good x good, poor x poor and good x poor general combiners. Thus, these parents and crosses may be used in making an appropriate choice of the crosses in multiple crossing programmes of Indian mustard.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Hemingway JS. Mustards: *Brassica* spp., and *Sinapis alba* (Cruciferae). In: Evolution of Crop Plants. (Ed.): N. W. Simmonds, Longman, London. 1976;56-59.
- 2. Griffing B. A generalized treatment of the use of diallel crosses in quantitative inheritance. Heredity, 1956b;10:31-50.
- Malviya N, Kumar K, Upadhyay DK. Combining ability and heterosis for seed yield, its component traits and oil content in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern&Coss.]. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(3):696-699.
- Kaur R, Kumar R, Kaur S, Singh H, Singh I. Combining ability analysis for yield and yield attributes in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern&Coss.]. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2019;10(2):778-784.
- Meena H, Kumar SA, Ram B, Singh VV, Meena PD, Singh BK, Singh D. Combining Ability and Heterosis for Seed Yield and Its Components in Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). J. Agr. Sci. Tech. 2015;17: 1861-1871.
- Shrimali TM, Chauhan RM, Prajapati KP, Desai SA, Patel JR, Patel PT, Patel PJ, Chaudhary BK. Analysis of yield and its components based on heterosis and combining ability in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern&Coss.). Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2018;6(1):219-224.
- Singh H, Kumar R, Kaur S, Singh I, Kaur R. Genetic analysis of Indian mustard for yield by calculating heterosis and combining ability. J. Agri. Sci. 2019;10(1-2):1-12.
- Choudhary RR, Sheoran RK, Avtar A, Kumar D, Samita. Heterosis studies based upon Mori CMS system in *Brassica juncea* L. Journal of Oilseed Brassica. 2020;11(2):116-120.
- 9. Singh RK, Singh SK, Singh A. Heterosis and combining ability analysis in indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). International Journal of All Research Education and

Scientific Methods. 2020;12(8):1618-1623.

- Verma SK, Sachan JN. Genetic divergence in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.). Crop Res. 2000;19(2):271-276.
- 11. Singh A, Avtar R, Singh D, Sangwan O, Thakral NK, Malik VS, Goyat B, Dalal U. Combining ability analysis for seed yield and component traits in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss].

Research in Plant Biology. 2013;3(2):26-31.

- 12. Lohia RS. Combining ability analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Plant Archives. 2008;8(1):395- 397.
- Nigam R, Alka. Combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*L.). International Journal of Plant Sciences. 2009;4(1):109-111.

© 2022 Kumawat et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83378