

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 8(4): 1-8, 2016; Article no.JABB.28443 ISSN: 2394-1081



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Analyses of *Trypanosoma brucei* Phospholipase A₂ Structure and Function Using Bioinformatics Approach

Ishaya Yohanna Longdet^{1*}

¹Department of Biochemistry, University of Jos, Nigeria.

Author's contribution

The study was designed, analyzed and discussed by the author. The author takes full responsibility for the whole study including data collation, manuscript drafting and editing.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JABB/2016/28443 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Joana Chiang, Department of medical laboratory Science and Biotechnology, China Medical University, Taiwan. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Ferlazzo Alida, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy. (2) Maria Laura Belaunzaran, Instituto de Investigaciones en Microbiología y Parasitología Médica (IMPaM), Buenos Aires, Argentina. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/15896</u>

Original Research Article

Received 20th July 2016 Accepted 15th August 2016 Published 23rd August 2016

ABSTRACT

Aim: Studying Phospholipase A₂ is increasingly needful because of the enzyme's biotechnological potentials and involvement in the pathogenicity of *Trypanosoma species*. This work was therefore designed to study some of the structural features of putative PLA₂ from *T. brucei*.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Biotechnology, National Veterinary research Institute, Vom and Department of Parasitology, National Institute of Trypanosomiasis Research, Vom, Nigeria, between July 2015 and May 2016.

Methodology: Bloodstream rat adapted strain of *T. brucei* was grown in rats and separated using DEAE cellulose chromatography. Genomic DNA of the parasites was isolated and the PLA₂ gene amplified and sequenced (GenBank DB accession number: JN603736). The translated protein structure prediction server PSIPRED, Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis, (ProSA) -web, RAMPAGE server, 3DLigandSite and PSI-Blast tool were used to analyse the *T. brucei* PLA₂ translated protein sequence.

Results: The analyses of the PLA₂ protein with 447 peptide sequence revealed its secondary structure; 3D structure showing amino acid residues that lie in a helix, strand or coil; ProSA-web z-

scores with an overall model quality value of -7.01; the Ramachandran plot that among the 447 residues, 433 (96.86%) were in favoured region; ligand binding site at position 235 (Trp) and its transmembrane helices and membrane topology.

Conclusion: A good quality structural model of *Trypanosoma brucei* PLA₂ was determined and found to be closely related to that of Platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase.

Keywords: Trypanosoma brucei; phospholipase A₂; 3D structure; bioinformatics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Phospholipase A_2 (3.1.1.4) enzyme superfamily that hydrolyse glycerophospholipids at the sn-2 position releasing free fatty acids and lysophospholipids is attracting much attention recently. The potentials of this group of enzymes for application in biotechnological researches and industries have been reported [1,2,3,4]. In an attempt to situate the PLA₂ from T. brucei in the superfamily classification, we studied and reported its sequence homology to characterized PLA₂ [5] and the protein posttranslational features [6]. Although this group of enzymes has been reported in a variety of organisms and some placed in appropriate classes, the PLA₂ from T. brucei has not been classed yet.

The information needed for classifying the enzymes are: their source, amino acid sequence, chain length and disulphide bond patterns [7]. Other information needed include: established complete protein sequence of the mature protein, established spliced variant within subgroups and established sequence homology and catalytic activity [8]. This necessitates studies in the structure of the PLA₂ from *T. brucei*. The structure of the PLA₂ protein from *T. brucei* has not been solved. Therefore, this study was designed to undertake prediction studies of the structure and functions of putative PLA₂ from *bloodstream* form *T. brucei*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Parasites Isolation

The *Trypanosoma brucei* infected blood was supplied by the Parasitology Department, Nigerian Institute of Trypanosomiasis Research (NITR), Vom, Nigeria.

Adult albino rats were infected intraperitionally with 0.2 ml infected blood diluted with 2 ml phosphate saline glucose (PSG) buffer pH 8.0 to give cell density of \approx 1 x 10⁶ cells/ml. Parasitemia was monitored by wet smear via tail snip. At peak parasitemia ($\approx 1 \times 10^8$ cells/ml), the rats were euthanized and blood collected. Parasites were purified on DEAE-cellulose (prewhatman DE-52-Pharmacia swollen Fine Chemicals) according to the method already described [9]: about 25 g DEAE-cellulose was suspended in 200 ml separation buffer and equilibrated to pH 8.0 with orthophosphoric acid. Column was prepared by pouring the DEAEcellulose slurry to 100 ml volume and equilibrated with 5 volumes of separation buffer. The blood was loaded onto the equilibrated DEAE-cellulose column (3 x 20 cm) with ratio 1:4 of blood to cellulose column. The parasites were chromatographically eluted with phosphate saline glucose (PSG) buffer pH 8.0 into test tubes and the elution monitored by microscopy. Parasites were concentrated by centrifugation at 2,700 x g for 10 minutes and re-suspended in 2 ml PSG buffer pH 8.0.

2.2 DNA Isolation

Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 µl of isolated T. brucei suspended in PSG buffer pH 8.0 using ZR Genomic DNA Tissue Minipreps Kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cell lysis was with 500 µl lysis buffer (TBM) and proteinase K (3 µl of 10mg/ml) incubated at 55° C for 30 minutes. DNA was precipitated with 260 ul absolute ethanol. Precipitated DNA was captured in EZ-10 column by spinning at 12,000 x g for 1 minute. The flow through was discarded while the EZ-10 column placed in a fresh vial and centrifuged again at 12,000 x g for 1 minute to remove residual wash buffer. DNA was eluted into 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes with 50 µl elution buffer after incubation at 50°C for 2 minutes by spinning at 14,000 x g for 1 minute.

2.3 PCR Amplification of Phospholipase A₂ Like Gene

Phospholipase A₂ like gene was detected and amplified by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

with primers designed based on the gene sequence of PLA₂ like gene in the GeneBank Data Base (Tb 09.211.3650, Phospholipase A2like protein, putative, T. brucei, chr 9). The primer designed was done in Inqaba Biotech Industry, Pretoria, South Africa. The primers used were as primer follows: Sense 5'-ATGGTAACGT GGGCGCTGAA GTAT-3' and Anti-sense primer 5'-CTAACACGTTGAACACACTTCG GTA-3'. High Fidelity Tag DNA Polymerase Enzyme Mix (Fermentas) was used to amplify the gene from DNA according the genomic to the manufacturer's instructions. The optimum reaction mix in 50 µl volume was as follows: nuclease free water (37.6 µl); 10 x PCR buffer with MgC1₂ (5.0 μ I); dNTP mix (1.0 μ I); each primer (1.0 µl); High Fidelity Tag enzyme mix (0.4 µl); Genomic DNA (5.0 µl). The thermal cycling was carried out with the following process profile: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 minutes, elongation 94ºC for 30 seconds, 56ºC for 30 seconds, 68ºC for 2 minutes running for 30 cycles, and final extension at 68°C for 10 minutes; then ending/waiting at 4ºC for ∞. Ten microliters (10 µl) of the product was separated on 1.0% agarose gel to check the success of the process and the results documented using Gel Documentation System (Synegene®).

2.4 Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analyses

The PLA₂ gene amplified by PCR from T. brucei was purified using High Pure PCR Product Clean-Up Kit (Fermentas) Tag-polymerase catalysed cycle sequencing using fluorescent labelled dye terminator reaction protocol and analysis was done on a 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (ABI). BigDye® V3.1 (ABI) Kit was according manufacturer's used to the instructions. The Finch TV® programmes (GeoPiza) was used to read and edit the PLA₂ gene sequence which was submitted to the Gen Bank Data Base.

NCBI BLAST programmes were used to analyse the sequence.

2.5 Secondary Structure Prediction

The secondary structure prediction of the translated PLA_2 protein was carried out using protein structure prediction server PSIPRED to identify the similarities among the protein structures [10].

2.6 3D Structure Prediction

The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis was used to study the PLA₂ translated protein sequences. 'Normal' mode modelling by Phyre2 produces a set of potential 3D models of the protein based on alignment to known protein structures. The pipeline involves constructing 3D models of the protein based on the alignments between the (Hidden Markov Models) HMM of the sequence and the HMMs of known structure [11].

2.7 3D Structure Validation

3D structure of *T. brucei* PLA₂ obtained through Phyre2 web portal was checked for validity using Protein Structure Analysis (ProSA) -web, which is frequently employed in protein structure validation [12]. The output presents overall model quality displayed in a plot that contains the *z*scores of all experimentally determined protein chains in current PDB.

2.8 Ramachandran Plot

The Ramachandran plot [13] which is the 2D plot of the φ - ψ torsion angles of the protein backbone and provides a simple view of the conformation of a protein was used to study the predicted 3D model of *T. brucei* PLA₂. In the analysis, the φ - ψ angles cluster into distinct regions in the Ramachandran plot determined using RAMPAGE server [14] where each region corresponds to a particular secondary structure.

2.9 Ligand Binding Site

The model with closest similarity was submitted for binding site prediction by 3DLigandSite. This performed predicting ligand-binding sites using similar structures [15].

2.10 Transmembrane Helix Prediction

The sequence and the set of homologues detected by PSI-Blast were processed by a Support Vector Machine (a powerful machine learning tool) in order to determine whether the sequence contains transmembrane helices and to predict their topology in the membrane. For this purpose Phyre2 uses memsat-sym. The extracellular and cytoplasmic sides of the membrane were labeled and the beginning and end of each transmembrane helix illustrated with a number indicating the residue index [11].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Secondary Structure Prediction

The secondary structure prediction for *T. brucei* PLA₂, putative, protein carried out using protein structure prediction server PSEPRED to identify the similarities among the protein structures is presented in Fig. 1. The secondary structure shows the distribution of the amino acids within the different segments (helix, strand or coil) of the protein [16,17]. Most of the amino acid residues reside in the coil rather than the helix or strand. The Secondary structure of a protein plays primordial role in bioinformatics for drug research and development [18]. This requires that the secondary structures of all proteins be predicted.

3.2 3D Structure Prediction

The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis used to predict the 3D structure of the PLA₂ translated protein sequence from T. brucei gave a structure shown in Fig. 2. The structure prediction used 72% of the sequence for modeling giving 100.0% confidence by the single highest scoring template of plateletactivating factor acetylhydrolase as shown in Table 1. This places the T. brucei PLA2 among the hydrolases and closely related to plateletactivating factor acetylhydrolase in structure. This structure shows the spiral conformations or α -helixes, β - sheets or strands and thin random coils in a protein. 3D structure is found to be essential for docking the protein with other ligands thereby allowing the affinity of a structure towards other structures to be deduced [18]. This is important in understanding the function of the protein in the course of interaction with other compounds. Protein structures have informed drug design and have illuminated the mechanism of inhibition [19].

3.3 3D Model Validation

The 3D structure of *T. brucei* PLA₂ subjected to ProSA-web z-scores shows all protein chains in PDB determined by X-ray crystallography in light blue colour while those by NMR spectroscopy appeared in dark blue. The plot shows only chains with less than 1000 residues and a zscore \leq 10. The z-score of PLA₂ was highlighted as large black dot with an overall model quality value of -7.01 (Fig. 3). The Z score reveals that the structure of *T. brucei* PLA₂ possesses good quality [20].

red:					-
	ССНННН	IHHCEEEEE	EEEEEECCCC	сссннннннс	CCCCH
AA:	MVTWALE	CYFVRVVRW	STEAFLIWPT	RPLFDYATSL	HCVPI
		10	20	30	4
onf:	-				
red:					_
red:	нннння	нннннссс	нннннннн	нннннннн	HHCCC
AA:	SGTFITS	SVLLCFYGF	PLFWSTAMVA	VGFIISGVLF:	LVSPL
		50	60	70	8
onf:					
red:			_		
red:	cccccc	CCCCCEEE	EEECCCCCCC	CCEEEECCCC	ccccc
AA:	PLLKPIC	GRYSVGLV	HMNGCRSQSI	PPVAVFYPTN	MVPEK
		90	100	110	1
onf	10000000				
red:					
red:	eccecco	ссеннини	HHHHHCCCCH	HHHCCCCCCEI	EEEEE
AA:	KGLPYVI	FGDDRFLF	GVAAYANVPF	FFIRDFSFVR	ISASR
		130	140	150	1
anf.					1000-
red.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
red.	ceccec	receccecco	CEFFECCCCC	ССИННИНИНИ	чнинс
AA:	NAVPAAL	LINQYERVP	PIVVFSHGLA	GYHLFYSCFA	LDLAA
		170	180	190	2
and a second	len_888.				
onf:					
red:		>			-
AA:	RGAIVIO	LGHCDNSA	SFMRDSSGKE	SEVPLKDYGWI	EVPAR
		210	220	230	2
	1				
onf:					
red:					
red:	HHHHHH	HHHHHHHH RVSEVRGTI	иннннссссс	ccccccccc	CCCCC KFLSK
AA:	EAUVAUD		ORLIEKDFWI	TTRATURDIDI	
AA:	EAQVAQ	250	260	270	2
AA:	LAQVAQI	250	260	270	2
AA: Conf:	3000000	250	260	270	2
Conf: Pred:	3000000	250		270	2
Conf: Pred: Pred:	CCCEEEI				
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA:	CCCEEEI PLOVHL	250	260	270 CCCCCCCCCEE NPVKGVSVKSV 310	2
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA:	CCCEEEI	250	260 EHHHHHHHHCCC VLAAALEENQ 300	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 EEEC TYTFD
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf:	CCCEEEI PLOVHL	250 EECCHHHH AGHSFGGA1 290	260 EHHHHHHHHCCC VLAAALEENQ 300	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 EEEEC TYTFD 3:
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Pred:	CCCEEE PLOVAL	250	260 HHHHHHHHCCC VLAALLEENC 300	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA:	CCCEEE PLOVHL	250 EEECCHHHHE AGHSFGGAT 290	260 RHHHHHHHHCCC VLAALEENO 300	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCEP NPVKÇVSVKSV 310 EEEEECCCCCC	2 SEEEC JYTFD 3: CCCCC (DSES
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Pred: AA:	CCCCECC PLOVHL	250 EEECCHHHHH AGHSFGGA 290 CCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330	260 HHHHHHHHHCCCC 300 CCCCCCCCCCCCC SDGRKSYTVP 340	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCEE NPVKQVSVKSV 310 CEEEEEccCCCCC TVTVHSDDWVE 350	2 LEEEC VYTFD 3: CCCCC (DSES 3)
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: AA: Conf:	CCCEEE PLOVHL	250	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	270 CCCCCCCCCCCCE NPVKQVSVKSV 310 CEEEEECCCCCC TVTVVSUSDWVE 350	2 EEEEC /YTFP 3: CCCCCC (DSES 3)
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Pred: Pred: Conf: Pred	CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCCCC PLOVHL CCCCCCC PMMVPI SUBJO	250	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	270 CCCCCCCCCCEEE NPVKQVSVKSV 310 CEEEECCCCCCC TVTVVHSDUVH 350	2 EEEEC //YTFP 3: CCCCCC (DSES 3)
Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Pred: Pred: Pred:	CCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCCCC PWMVPIO	250 250 250 290 290 290 290 290 290 290 29	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		2 EEEEC (VTFP) 3: CCCCC (DSES 3)
Conf: Pred:	CCCCEEE PLOVHL CCCCCCC PMMVPIO	250 EECCHHHHF AGHSFGGAT 290 CCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 SEEEC /YTFP 3: CCCCC (DSES 3)
Conf: Pred:	CCCCEEE PLOVHL CCCCCCC PMMVPIO	250 250 250 290 290 290 290 290 290 290 29	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCCC NPVKGVSVKSV 310 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 EEEEC /YTFD 3: CCCCC (DSES 3)
Conf: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Conf: Conf: Conf:	3000000 CCCCEEEI PLOVHL 3000000 CCCCCCC PMMVPI 3000000 RHRHRH WEPFKR 3000000	250 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCCCC NPVKGVKSV 310 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA:	CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCCCC PMMVPI HHHHHH WEFFKR	250 250 290 290 290 250 290 290 290 200 290 200 200 20	COLLIERDFWI 260 ULAAALEENO 300 CCCCCCCCCCCCC SDGRKSYTVP 340 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCE NPVKQVSVKSV 310 EEEEEECCCCCCC TVTVISDDWV 350 CCCCCEEEEECCCCCCC QALFGIVVTKI 390	2 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: AA: Conf: AA: Conf: AA: Conf: AA: AA: AA: AA: AA: AA: AA: AA: AA: A	CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCCCC PWMVPI HHHHHH WEFFKR	250	CCCCCCCHHHH	270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270	2 SEEBEC //YTFD 3: CCCCCC (DSES 3) CCCCCC VTNHL 40
AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pre	CCCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCCCC PWMVPIO CCCCCCC PWMVPIO CCCCCCC HHRHHHI SLVDVS	250	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 SEEECC CCCCCC CCCCCC CDSES 3 3 CCCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC
AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Pred: Pr	CCCCCCCC CCCCCCCC CCCCCCCC PWMVPIC CCCCCCCC SUDUC SUDUC CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	250	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 EEEECC CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCC
AA: Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Pred:	CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCCCC PWMVPIC SUUDOS SUUDOS SUUDOS SUUDOS	250 250 290 290 290 290 290 290 290 290 200 20	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 EEEECC CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCCC AU AU AU AU AU AU AU AU AU AU
AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred:	CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCEEEI PLOVHL CCCCCCC PWMVPIC CCCCCCC BWMVPIC CCCCCCH SLVDVS	250 EECCHHHHA AGHSFGGAT 290 CCCCCCCCCC ONEHFCNPI 330 HHHHHHHHHH MKALVLEQS 370 HHHHHHHHHC VLSPVMHGN 410	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 EEEEC VYTFD 3 CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC VTNHL 4 CCCCCCC VTNHL 4 CCCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC CCCCCC
AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred:	CCCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCCC PWMVPIO CCCCCCCC CCCCCCC SUVDVS CCCCCCCC TEVCSTO	250 ECCCHHHH AGHSFGGAT 290 CCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330 HHHHHHHHHH MKALVLEQS 370 HHHHHHHHC VLSPVMHGN 410	CCCCCCCHHH Second Control Cont	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred:	CCCCCCC PLOVHL CCCCCCC PLOVHL CCCCCCC PMMVPI HHHHHH WEPFKR SLUDDO CCCCCCHH SLUDDO CCCCCCCHH SLUDDO CCCCCCCH	250 ECCCHANNE AGHSFGGAI 290 CCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330 CCCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330 CCCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330 CCCCCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCC QNEHFCNPI 330 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CONCEPTENDENT 260 CONCERCICCO 300 CONCERCICCO SOGRESSIVE 340 CONCERCICCO CONCERCICCO SOGRESSIVE 340 CONCERCICCO CONCERCICO CONCERCICCO CON	CCCCCCCCCCCE NPVKQVSVKSV 310 EEEEEECCCCCCC TVTVISDDWV 350 CCCCCEEEEECCCCCC QALFGIVVTKI 390 HHHHHHHHHHH 11MEWNALLER 430	2 PEEEC VYTFD 3 CCCCCC CCCCCC VTNHL 4 HHHHC 4 HHHHC
AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: AA: Conf: Pred: Conf	CCCCCCC CCCCCCCC PLOVHL CCCCCCCC PMMVPI CCCCCCCC PMMVPI CCCCCCCH SLVDVS CCCCCCCH SLVDVS CCCCCCCH CCCCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCCCCC	250 250 290 290 290 290 290 290 290 29	окстекории 260 иннининсссо 300 ссессосссссо зоо зоо ссессосссссо зоо зача иннининининс зача иннининининини зача иннининининини зача иннинининини зача иннининининини зача иннининининининининининининининининини	270 270 CCCCCCCCCCE NPVKQVSVKS 310 CCCCCCCCCCCC 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	2 PEEEC VYTFD 3 3 CCCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCC
Conf: red: red: AA: red: red: AA: Pred: red: AA: Pred: red: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred: Pred: Pred: Pred: AA: Pred:	CCCCEEEI PLOVHL	250 250 290 290 290 290 290 290 290 29	ссссосснини ссссоссосснини ссссоссосснини ссссоссосснини ссссоссосснини ссссоссосснини ссссоссосснини ссссоссоссосснини ссссоссоссосснини ссссоссоссосснини ссссоссоссосснини ссссоссоссоссоснини ссссоссоссоснини ссссоссоссоссоснини ссссоссоссоснини ссссоссоссососсоснини ссссососсососососососососососососососо	CCCCCCCCCCCE CCCCCCCCCCCE NPVKVSVKSV 310 CEEEEECCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEEECCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCCCC OALFGIVTKN 390 CCCCCEEEECCCCCCCCCCEEECCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	2 PEEEC CCCCCCC CCCCCCC CCCCCCCC CCCCCCCC

Fig. 1. The predicted secondary structure of *T. brucei* PLA₂ using protein structure prediction server PSEPRED

Table 1. Summary of properties of predicted model of T. brucei brucei PLA₂

PDB template	PDB header	PDB molecule	Confidence	Coverage
c3d59B	Hydrolase	Platelet-activating factor	100%	72%
		acetvlhvdrolase		



Fig. 2. Predicted 3D structure of the PLA₂ translated protein sequence from *T. b. brucei*



Fig. 3. PROSA plot of the PLA₂ translated protein structure from *T. brucei*

3.4 Ramachandran Plot

The Ramachandran plot of *T. brucei* PLA_2 appeared in usual four different plots [21]. The plots are elucidating the position of general amino acids, glycine pre proline and proline. These plots are comprehensively viewed as a single Ramachandran plot. The

colouring/shading on the plot represents the different regions [22]. The darkest areas or the "core" regions represent the most favourable combinations of phi-psi values and the percentage residues in the "core" regions give a better guide to the stereochemical quality [23]. The Ramachandran plot reveals that among the 447amino acid residues, 433 (96.86%) were in favoured region while only about 3.13% were in the allowed and/or outlier regions (Fig. 4A). The Ramachandran plot also showed that glycine, pre-Pro and proline of *T. brucei* PLA₂ fall in the allowed region (Fig. 4B). The results confirm that the predicted 3-Dimensional structure of *T. brucei* PLA₂ is acceptable and of good quality.

3.5 Ligand Binding Site

The *T. brucei* PLA₂ protein sequence was predicted to have a tryptophan residue at position 235 where a ligand (N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (NAG)) binds. Enzymes make a most important group of drug targets, and identifying possible ligand-enzyme interactions is of major importance in many drug discovery processes [24,25]. Therefore, identifying this possible ligand-enzyme interaction in PLA₂ may open an opportunity in drug discovery against *Trypanosoma spp* infection.

3.6 Transmembrane Helix Prediction

The sequence and the set of homologues detected by PSI-Blast and processed by Phyre2 using memsat-sym determined transmembrane helices and predicted their topology in the membrane as shown in Fig. 5. This implies that the protein associates itself with either the cell or organelle membrane and so it is a secretory form. PLA₂ had been described as in Τ. brucei extracellelluar [26]. The transmembrane sequence contains amino acids on its N- terminus which serve as a signal sequence used for targeted secretion after synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum. This peptide serves as a signal position needed to govern the protein as it is transported to and across the membrane [27]. This predicted transmembrane domains pattern might be different in the mature protein without signal peptides.



Fig. 4. The Ramachandran plot of the predicted *T. brucei* PLA₂ structure



Fig. 5. Transmembrane helix prediction and membrane topology of the PLA₂ translated protein sequence from *T. brucei*

4. CONCLUSION

The structural features of the *Trypanosoma brucei* PLA₂ protein placed it among the hydrolases as well as closely related to platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase in structure. Therefore, both *Trypanosoma brucei* PLA₂ protein and platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase can be classed together since they appear to be homologues.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The author declares that the rats used in this study were handled according to the prescribed law of the Animal Utilization Study Committee, in the University of Jos, Nigeria and from which approval was obtained.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Becker BN, Cheng HF, Harris RC. Apical ANG II-stimulated PLA₂ activity and Na⁺flux: a potential role for Ca²⁺independent PLA₂. American Journal of Physiology - Renal Physiology. 1997; 273(4):F554-F562.
- Bonventre JV, Sapirstein A. Group IV cytosolic phospholipase A₂(Pla₂) function: Insights from the Knockout mouse. In: Honn KV, Marnett LJ, Nigam S, Dennis E, Serhan C editors. Eicosanoids and

Longdet; JABB, 8(4): 1-8, 2016; Article no.JABB.28443

Other Bioactive Lipids in Cancer, Inflammation, and Radiation Injury. Adv Exp. Med Biol. 2002;507:25-31.

- Cabezas DM, Madoery R, Diehl BWK, Tomás MC. Application of enzymatic hydrolysis on sunflower lecithin using a pancreatic PLA₂. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society. 2011;88(3):443-446.
- Dada N, Nam W, Kim NW, Wolfert RL. Lp-PLA₂: an emerging biomarker of coronary heart disease. Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics. 2014;2(1):17-22.
- Longdet Y, Inuwa HM, Umar IA, Nok AJ. Sequence homology studies of phospholipase A₂-like gene from bloodstream form of *Trypanosoma brucei*. British Biotechnology Journal. 2014;5(3): 156-165.
- Longdet IY, Yakubu B, Inuwa HM, Umar IA, Nok AJ. Posttranslational features of phospholipase A₂ like Protein from blood stream form *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* International Journal of Advanced Research (IJAR). 2015;3(12):1049-1054.
- Modesto JC, Spencer PJ, Fritzen M, Valenca RC, Oliva MLV, de Silva MB, Chudzinski-Tavassi AM, Guarnieri MC. BE-I-PLA₂, a novel acidic phospholipase A₂ from Bothrops erythromelas venom: Isolation, cloning and characterization as potent anti-platelet and inductor. Biochem Pharmacol. 2006;72(3):377-84.
- Dennis EA. Diversity of group types, regulation, and function of phospholipase A2. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1994;269(18):13057-13060.
- Lanham SM, Godfrey DG. Isolation of Normal plasma lactose concentrations and kinetics of salivarian trypanosomes from man and mammals intravenously infused lactose in cattle: Research in using DEAE cellulose. Experimental Parasitology and Veterinary Science. 1970;65:1-4.
- 10. McGuffin LJ, Bryson K, Jones DT. The PSIPRED protein structure prediction server. Bioinformatics. 2000;16(4):404-5.
- 11. Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ, et al. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nature Protocols. 2015;10:845-858.
- 12. Wiederstein M, Sippl MJ. ProSA-web: Interactive web service for the recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins. Nucleic Acids Research. 2007;35:W407-W410.

Longdet; JABB, 8(4): 1-8, 2016; Article no.JABB.28443

- Ramachandran GN, Ramakrishnan C, Sasisekharan V. Stereochemistry of polypeptide chain configurations. J Mol Biol. 1963;7:95–99.
- 14. Lovell SC, Davis IW, Arendall WB, de Bakker PIW, Word JM, Prisant MG, et al. Structure validation by Calpha geometry: phi, psi and Cbeta deviation. Proteins: Structure, Function & Genetics. 2002;50: 437-450.
- 15. Wass MN, Kelley LA, Sternberg MJ. 3DLigandSite: Predicting ligand-binding sites using similar structures. Nucleic Acids Research. 2010;38:469-73.
- 16. Jyotsna C, Ashis HP, Shailendra G, Verma MK. Homology modelling and binding site identification of 1 deoxyd-xylulose 5 phosphate reductoisomerase of *Plasmodium falciparum:* New drug target for *Plasmodium falciparum.* International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology. 2010;2(8):3468-3472.
- 17. Ojeiru FE, Kazuya T, Yuki H, Mohammed SM, Shunsuke M. Circular dichroism studies on C-terminal zinc finger domain of transcription factor GATA-2. Yonago Acta Medica. 2010;53(1):25–28.
- Divya K, Ramanaand GV, Chaitanya KV. Analysis and docking of stilbene synthase protein from Chinese grape vine *Vitis pseudoreticulata*. British Biotechnology Journal. 2014;4(2):185-203.
- 19. Noble MEM, Endicott JA, Johnson LN. Protein kinase inhibitors: Insights into drug

design from structure. Science. 2004;303(5665):1800-1805.

- 20. Hooft RWW, Sander C, Vriend G. Objectively judging the quality of a protein structure from a Ramachandran plot CABIOS. 1997;13(4):425-430.
- 21. Hollingsworth AS, Karplus AP. A fresh look at the Ramachandran plot and the occurrence of standard structures in proteins. Biomol Concepts. 2010;1(3-4): 271–283.
- 22. Ho BK, Brasseur R. The Ramachandran plots of glycine and pre-proline. BMC Structural Biology. 2005;5:14.
- Bork P, Koonin EV. Protein sequence motifs. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 1996;6:366– 376.
- 24. Laskowski RA, Swindells MB. Multiple Ligand–Protein Interaction Diagrams for Drug Discovery. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2011;51(10):2778–2786.
- 25. Konc J, Lešnik S. Janežič D. Modeling enzyme-ligand binding in drug discovery. Journal of Cheminformatics. 2015;7:48.
- 26. Eintracht J, Maathai R, Mellors A, Ruben L. Calcium entry in *Trypanosoma brucei* is regulated by phospholipase A₂ and arachidonic acid. Biochem. J. 1998;336: 659-666.
- 27. Chou K. Prediction of protein signal sequences. Current Protein and Peptide Science. 2002;3:615–622.

© 2016 Longdet; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15896