

**11(1): 1-19, 2018; Article no.ARJOM.44091** *ISSN: 2456-477X* 



# Relating the Effect of HPA Axis to the Emotional State of Bipolar II Disorder Patient

# U. E. Michael<sup>1\*</sup> and M. O. Oyesanya<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ikwo, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2018/44091 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Subramanian Vaithyasubramanian, Department of Mathematics, Sathyabama University, India. (2) Dr. Andrej V. Plotnikov, Professor, Department of Applied and Calculus Mathematics and CAD, Odessa State Academy of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Ukraine. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Shaibu Osman, Catholic University of Eastern Africa, Kenya. (2) MV Seeman, University of Toronto, Canada. (3) Liudmila Ivanova, Kuban State Medical University, Russia. (4) Joel K. Weltman, Brown University, USA. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/26641</u>

Original Research Article

Received: 27 July 2018 Accepted: 05 October 2018 Published: 15 October 2018

### Abstract

Using analytic approach we study the effect of HPA axis secretions no the emotional variation of bipolar II disorder patient. Modified Duffing – Van der Pol oscillator was used to model the emotional variation, that was solved analytically using multiple scale perturbation to obtain an asymptotic solution. The solution was graphed to understand the effect of the variation of the cortisol to the oscillator. It was observed that the increase or decrease of the HPA hormone from the basal level in the body system, affects the mood variation of bipolar II disorder patients but not a marker for diagnosis.

Keywords: HPA axis; Bipolar II disorder; perturbation; cortisol.

## **1** Introduction

The hypothalamic – pituitary – adrenal (HPA) axis helps to maintain basal and stress related homeostasis of the central nervous system (CNS), cardiovascular, metabolic and immune functions [1]. Detailed dynamics

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: Michael.uchenna@funai.edu.ng, urch4peace@rocketmail.com;

of the HPA axis is complex, depending on the individual metabolic load of an organism, its current status and environmental impacts [2]. Cortisol, the HPA axis arch – hormone in humans, exhibits complex dynamic behavior with two notable frequencies: ultradian oscillations, with a period of 20 - 120 min [3,4] superimposed on circadian oscillations, with a period of about 24 hours. There are many literatures that establish the importance of circadian rhythms in the functionality of HPA axis; recent experimental [5–7] and theoretical [8–10] results offer notable evidence to support the inherent roles of ultradian oscillations have disparity in their time scale, it will culminate that their effects result to disparate biological realms.

In the absence of an inherent oscillatory mode, it is difficult to attribute a role to HPA axis endogenous dynamics in the creation or amplification of circadian or ultradian cycles [11]. Regardless, circadian rhythms of hormones are synchronized by environmental factors such as light, they are generated by an endogenous system called circadian clock. The widely accepted understanding of the system is that the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus controls the circadian system, but it is not limited to SCN [12]. SCN coordinates the regulation of cellular clocks because circadian rhythm exists even in the organ level by sending hormonal and electrical signals [13]. Originally, it was believed that the pulsatile release of CRH from the hypothalamus creates ultradian oscillations. But blocking the effect of CRH on the pituitary did not eliminate the pulsatile release of ACTH [14]. Hence it is agreed that multiple and redundant mechanisms regulate the ultradian oscillations [11,14].

Changes in the detailed dynamics of the HPA axis emerge routinely while the axis copes with a myriad of external stimuli [10]. Stress and some ailments are associated with short or long – term perturbation of the HPA dynamics. These factors change the amplitude and /or frequency of the basal HPA hormones discharge that results to many health condition, which includes bipolar disorder, Cushing's syndrome, hypertension, visceral obesity, diabetes, osteoporosis [3,15].

Cortisol the arch – hormone of HPA axis, when elevated is associated with depression which also inhibits the production of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH): [Thyroxin ( $T_4$ ) and triiodothyronine ( $T_3$ )]. Elevated cortisol levels are potentially related to reduced feedback inhibition of the HPA axis while low levels of cortisol are associated with increased feedback inhibition of the HPA system [16]. Besides the changes in the level of cortisol, alterations in the frequency of the ultradian oscillation have noticed in some patient with depression [17]. The contextual model of the axis dynamics is significant as to curb this lag in frequency alteration.

Purpose of this study is to underpin the effect of circadian oscillations of cortisol as it relates to its effect to mood variation which is evidential in bipolar disorder patient. In addition, we will consider the change of emotional state of bipolar II disorder patient with respect to the quantity of cortisol in the bloodstream.

## **2** Formulation of the Model

For the purpose of formulating a mathematical model of bipolar II disorder, some assumptions are pertinent. There is a periodicity that governs the utradian and circadian oscillation which induces same for hypomanic and depressive episodes; that have rapid cycling when the patient is treated with only antidepressant [1]. The amount of cortisol in the system of a patient has great effect to the emotional state of the patient; either hypomanic or depressive episodes, hence endocrine dysfunctionality corresponds to bipolar II disorder. Additionally, the bipolar II patient has mood swings that oscillate; hence the formation of limit cycle is inevitable. We can model the mood variations of a bipolar patient with a negatively damped harmonic oscillator and show the effect of psychosocial therapy on the patient. Our model is a special form of Duffing – Van der Pol oscillator

$$\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \delta \frac{du}{dt} + w^2 u = f\left(u', u, x, t\right) \tag{1}$$

where u is the emotional state of the patient, u' is the rate of mood changes between hypomania and severe depression,  $\delta$  is the damping coefficient, w is the natural frequency of the oscillator. The solution of (1) often gives unbounded oscillations which is a major drawback of such a model. f(u, u', x, t) in (1) represents the medication given to the patient, which we define a

$$f(u', u, x, t) = \alpha u^{2} + \beta u^{3} - \varepsilon F \frac{d^{2} \overline{u}}{dx^{2}}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are parameters that stabilize the emotional state of the patient, F is the resultant external and internal force that induces mood swing by changing the level of cortisol in the system or otherwise,  $\varepsilon$  is taken to be a bookkeeping device and  $\overline{u}$  is the initial emotional state of patient at the point of observation initialization. Combining (1) and (2) we get

$$\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \delta \frac{du}{dt} + w^2 u - \alpha u^2 - \beta u^3 = -\varepsilon F \frac{d^2 \overline{u}}{dx}.$$
(3)

Considering the emotional state of the patient with respect to the amount of cortisol in the blood; we use the Van der Pol oscillator to represent an untreated bipolar patient

$$\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + \delta \frac{du}{dx} + 2\lambda u = 0, \tag{4}$$

where  $2\lambda$  is the natural frequency on which the arch – hormone of HPA axis is released into the bloodstream. The central neuroendocrine system; the HPA axis has a feedback mechanism that mediate on the functionality of the hypothalamus, pituitary gland and adrenal gland, hence we assume  $\delta = 0$ , therefore

$$\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + 2\lambda u = 0.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

Differentiating (5) twice and applying the treatment as an autonomous forcing function f(u, u', x, t) we obtain

$$\frac{d^4u}{dx^4} + 2\lambda \frac{d^2u}{dx^2} = f\left(u', u, x, t\right).$$
(6)

On coupling (3) and (6) we obtain that

$$\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \delta \frac{du}{dt} + \frac{d^4u}{dx^4} + 2\lambda \frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + w^2u - \alpha u^2 - \beta u^3 = -2\varepsilon F \frac{d^2\overline{u}}{dx^2}$$
(7)

where  $\overline{u} = a_m \sin mx$ ;  $a_m$  is the initial amplitude of the emotional state and *m* is the frequency.

# **3** Analytical Solution

By using perturbation method [17], we can obtain information regarding the limit cycles of (7). Let

$$0 \le x \le \pi, \ 0 < \delta < 1. \tag{8}$$

Let

$$u(x,t) = U(x,\hat{t},\tau,\varepsilon\delta).$$

We assume the following homogenous initial conditions

$$u(x,0) = u'(x,0) = 0 \tag{9}$$

and the boundary condition

$$u(0,t) = \frac{d^2 u(0,t)}{dx^2} \text{ at } x = 0, \pi.$$
 (10)

We introduce a slow time scales, such that

 $\tau = \delta t \tag{11}$ 

and

$$\hat{t} = t + \frac{1}{\delta} \left( \varepsilon \mu_1 + \varepsilon^2 \mu_2 + \varepsilon^3 \mu_3 + \cdots \right)$$
(12)

where  $\mu_i = \mu_i(\tau), \ \mu_i(0) = 0, \ i \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

Thus we get

$$\frac{du}{dt} = U' = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \hat{t}} + \left(\varepsilon \mu_1'(\tau) + \varepsilon^2 \mu_2'(\tau) + \varepsilon^3 \mu_3'(\tau) + \cdots\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial \hat{t}} + \delta \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau}.$$
(13)

$$\frac{d^{2}U}{d\hat{t}^{2}} = \frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial\hat{t}^{2}} + 2\left(\varepsilon\mu_{1}'(\tau) + \varepsilon^{2}\mu_{2}'(\tau) + \varepsilon^{3}\mu_{3}'(\tau) + \cdots\right)\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial\hat{t}^{2}} + \left(\varepsilon\mu_{1}'(\tau) + \varepsilon^{2}\mu_{2}'(\tau) + \cdots\right)^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial\hat{t}^{2}} + 2\left(\varepsilon\mu_{1}'(\tau) + \varepsilon^{2}\mu_{2}'(\tau) + \cdots\right)\delta\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial\hat{t}\partial\tau} + 2\delta\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial\hat{t}\partial\tau} + \delta\frac{\partial U}{\partial\hat{t}}\left(\varepsilon\mu_{1}''(\tau) + \varepsilon^{2}\mu_{2}''(\tau) + \varepsilon^{3}\mu_{3}''(\tau) + \cdots\right) + \delta^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial\tau^{2}}.$$
(14)

We have let

$$U(x,\hat{t},\tau;\varepsilon\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v^{ij}(x,\hat{t},\tau;\varepsilon\delta) \varepsilon^i \delta^j.$$
(15)

Substituting (13), (14) and (15) into (7), and equating coefficients of  $\varepsilon^i \delta^j$  we get

$$O(\varepsilon):\frac{\partial^2 v^{10}}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^4 v^{10}}{\partial x^4} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^2 v^{10}}{\partial x^2} + v^{10} = -2F \frac{d^2 \overline{U}}{dx^2}$$
(16)

$$O(\varepsilon \,\delta): \frac{\partial^2 v^{11}}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^4 v^{11}}{\partial x^4} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^2 v^{11}}{\partial x^2} + v^{11} = -2 \frac{\partial^2 v^{10}}{\partial t \partial \tau} + \frac{\partial v^{10}}{\partial t}$$
(17)

$$O\left(\varepsilon\,\delta^2\right):\frac{\partial^2 v^{12}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + \frac{\partial^4 v^{12}}{\partial x^4} + 2\lambda\frac{\partial^2 v^{12}}{\partial x^2} + v^{12} = -2\frac{\partial^2 v^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial v^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}} - \frac{\partial^2 v^{10}}{\partial \tau^2} \tag{18}$$

$$O(\varepsilon^{2}):\frac{\partial^{2}v^{20}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4}v^{20}}{\partial x^{4}} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^{2}v^{20}}{\partial x^{2}} + v^{20} = -2\mu_{1}'\frac{\partial^{2}v^{10}}{\partial t^{2}} + \alpha \left(v^{10}\right)^{2}$$
(19)

$$O(\varepsilon^{2} \delta): \frac{\partial^{2} v^{21}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4} v^{21}}{\partial x^{4}} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} v^{21}}{\partial x^{2}} + v^{21} = -2\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{11}}{\partial t^{2}} - 2\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{10}}{\partial t \partial \tau} - 2\frac{\partial^{2} v^{20}}{\partial t \partial \tau} - \mu_{1}'' \frac{\partial v^{10}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^{2} v^{20}}{\partial t} + \mu_{1}' \frac{\partial v^{10}}{\partial t} + 2v^{10} v^{11}$$

$$(20)$$

$$O(\varepsilon^{2} \delta^{2}): \frac{\partial^{2} v^{22}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4} v^{22}}{\partial x^{4}} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} v^{22}}{\partial x^{2}} + v^{22} = -2\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{12}}{\partial t^{2}} - 2\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{11}}{\partial t \partial \tau} - 2\frac{\partial^{2} v^{21}}{\partial t \partial \tau} - \mu_{1}'' \frac{\partial v^{11}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v^{21}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v^{20}}{\partial \tau} + \alpha \left( \left( v^{11} \right)^{2} + 2v^{10} v^{12} \right)$$
(21)

$$O(\varepsilon^{3}):\frac{\partial^{2}v^{30}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4}v^{30}}{\partial x^{4}} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^{2}v^{30}}{\partial x^{2}} + v^{30} = -(\mu_{1}')^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}v^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}} - 2\left(\mu_{1}'\frac{\partial^{2}v^{20}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}} + \mu_{2}'\frac{\partial^{2}v^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}}\right) + \alpha v^{10}v^{20} + \beta \left(v^{10}\right)^{3}$$
(22)

$$O(\varepsilon^{3} \delta): \frac{\partial^{2} v^{31}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4} v^{31}}{\partial x^{4}} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} v^{31}}{\partial x^{2}} + v^{31} = -2\left(\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{21}}{\partial t^{2}} + \mu_{2}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{11}}{\partial t^{2}}\right) \\ -(\mu_{1}')^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v^{11}}{\partial t^{2}} - 2 \frac{\partial^{2} v^{30}}{\partial t \partial \tau} - 2\left(\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{20}}{\partial t \partial \tau} + \mu_{2}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{10}}{\partial t \partial \tau}\right) \\ + \left(\frac{\partial v^{30}}{\partial t} + \mu_{1}' \frac{\partial v^{20}}{\partial t} + \mu_{2}' \frac{\partial v^{10}}{\partial t}\right) + \alpha \left(v^{10} v^{21} + v^{20} v^{11}\right) + 3\beta \left(v^{10}\right)^{2} v^{11},$$
(23)

$$O(\varepsilon^{3} \delta^{2}): \frac{\partial^{2} v^{32}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4} v^{32}}{\partial x^{4}} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} v^{32}}{\partial x^{2}} + v^{32} = -(\mu_{1}')^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v^{12}}{\partial t^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2} v^{30}}{\partial \tau^{2}} - 2\left(\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{22}}{\partial t^{2}} + \mu_{2}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{12}}{\partial t^{2}}\right) - 2\left(\mu_{1}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{21}}{\partial t \partial \tau} + \mu_{2}' \frac{\partial^{2} v^{11}}{\partial t \partial \tau}\right) - 2\frac{\partial^{2} v^{31}}{\partial t \partial \tau} - \left(\mu_{1}'' \frac{\partial v^{21}}{\partial t} + \mu_{2}'' \frac{\partial v^{11}}{\partial t}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial v^{31}}{\partial t} + \mu_{1}' \frac{\partial v^{21}}{\partial t} + \mu_{2}' \frac{\partial v^{11}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v^{30}}{\partial t}\right) + \alpha \left(v^{10}v^{22} + v^{11}v^{21} + v^{12}v^{20}\right) + \beta \left(3\left(v^{10}\right)^{2}v^{12} + v^{10}\left(v^{11}\right)^{2}\right).$$

$$(24)$$

With the following initial conditions

$$O(\varepsilon): \frac{\partial v^{10}(0,0)}{\partial \hat{t}} = 0$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

$$O(\varepsilon \delta): \frac{\partial v^{11}(0,0)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \frac{\partial v^{10}(0,0)}{\partial \tau} = 0$$
(26)

$$O\left(\varepsilon\,\delta^{2}\right):\frac{\partial v^{12}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}}+\frac{\partial v^{11}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial\,\tau}=0$$
(27)

$$O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right):\frac{\partial v^{20}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}}+\mu_{1}'\left(0\right)\frac{\partial v^{10}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}}=0$$
(28)

$$O\left(\varepsilon^{2} \delta\right): \frac{\partial v^{21}(0,0)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_{1}'(0) \frac{\partial v^{11}(0,0)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \frac{\partial v^{20}(0,0)}{\partial \tau} = 0$$

$$\tag{29}$$

$$O\left(\varepsilon^{2} \delta^{2}\right): \frac{\partial v^{22}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_{1}'\left(0\right) \frac{\partial v^{12}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \frac{\partial v^{21}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \tau} = 0$$

$$\tag{30}$$

$$O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right):\frac{\partial v^{30}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}}+\mu_{1}'\left(0\right)\frac{\partial v^{20}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}}+\mu_{2}'\left(0\right)\frac{\partial v^{10}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}}=0$$
(31)

$$O\left(\varepsilon^{3} \delta\right): \frac{\partial v^{31}(0,0)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_{1}'(0)\frac{\partial v^{21}(0,0)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_{2}'(0)\frac{\partial v^{11}(0,0)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \frac{\partial v^{30}(0,0)}{\partial \tau} = 0$$

$$(32)$$

$$O\left(\varepsilon^{3} \,\delta^{2}\right) : \frac{\partial v^{32}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_{1}'\left(0\right) \frac{\partial v^{22}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_{2}'\left(0\right) \frac{\partial v^{12}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \frac{\partial v^{31}\left(0,0\right)}{\partial \tau} = 0.$$
(33)

For solution, we assume

$$v^{ij}(x,\hat{t},\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^{ij} \sin nx$$
(34)

where

$$\overline{U} = a_m \sin mx, \ m \ \text{is fixed}, \ \|a_m\| < 1.$$
(35)

We then solve the sequence of differential equations; on substituting (34) and (35) into (16), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{10}}{\partial t^2} + \left( n^4 - 2\lambda n^2 + 1 \right) v_n^{10} \right] \sin nx = 2Fm^2 a_m \sin mx.$$
(36)

Multiplying (36) by  $\sin mx$  and integrating from x = 0 to  $x = \pi$ , for n = m, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial t^2} + w_m^2 v_m^{10} = 2F \ m^2 a_m \tag{37}$$

where

$$w_m^2 = n^4 - 2\lambda n^2 + 1. ag{38}$$

The solution of (37) is

$$v_m^{10}(\hat{t},\tau) = \alpha_m^{10}(\tau)\cos w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{10}(\tau)\sin w_m \hat{t} + B_m,$$
(39)

where

$$B_m = \frac{2F m^2 a_m}{w_m^2}.$$
(40)

Applying (25) on (39) we obtain

$$v_m^{10}(0,0) = \alpha_m^{10}(0) + B_m = 0$$
  

$$\alpha_m^{10}(0) = -B_m$$
(41)

and

$$\beta_m^{10}(0) = 0.$$
 (42)  
When  $i = 1$  and  $j = 1$ , (34) becomes

$$v^{11}(x,\hat{t},\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^{11}(\hat{t},\tau) \sin nx.$$
(43)

Substituting (43) into (24), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{11} \right] \sin nx = \left( -2 \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + \frac{\partial v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}} \right) \sin mx.$$

$$\tag{44}$$

Multiplying by  $\sin mx$ , integrating from x = 0 to  $x = \pi$  and dividing through by 2, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_n^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{11} = -2 \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + \frac{\partial v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}} = -2 \Big( -\alpha_m^{10'} \sin w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{10'} \cos w_m \hat{t} \Big) + \Big( -\alpha_m^{10} \sin w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{10} \cos w_m \hat{t} \Big).$$
(45)

Applying the solvability condition, we have

$$2\beta_m^{10'} - \beta_m^{10} = 0 \tag{46}$$

and

$$2\alpha_m^{10'} - \alpha_m^{10} = 0. (47)$$

The solution of (46) and (47) are given respectively

$$\beta_m^{10}(\tau) = \beta_m^{10}(0)e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} = 0$$
(48)
and

$$\alpha_m^{10}(\tau) = \alpha_m^{10}(0)e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} = -B_m e^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$
(49)

Therefore

and

$$v_m^{10}(\hat{t},\tau) = \alpha_m^{10}(0)\cos w_m \hat{t} + B_m$$
(50)

$$v^{10}(x,\hat{t},\tau) = v_m^{10}(\hat{t},\tau)\sin mx.$$
 (51)

The solution of the remaining equation in (45) is

$$v_m^{11}(\hat{t},\tau) = \alpha_m^{11}(\tau)\cos w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{11}(\tau)\sin w_m \hat{t}.$$
(52)

Using the appropriate initial conditions we obtain

$$\alpha_m^{11}(0) = 0 \tag{53}$$

$$\beta_{m}^{11}(0) = -\frac{\alpha_{m}^{10'}(0)}{w_{m}} = \frac{B_{m}}{w_{m}}.$$
(54)

When i = 1 and j = 2, (34) become

$$v^{12}(x,\hat{t},\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^{12}(\hat{t},\tau) \sin nx.$$
 (55)

Substituting (55) into (18), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{12}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{12} \right] \sin nx = \left( -2 \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{11}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} + \frac{\partial v_m^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}} - \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} \right) \sin nx$$
(56)

Multiplying by  $\sin mx$ , integrating from x = 0 to  $x = \pi$  and dividing through by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{2} v_{n}^{12}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}} + w_{n}^{2} v_{n}^{12} = -2 \frac{\partial^{2} v_{m}^{11}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} + \frac{\partial v_{m}^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}} - \frac{\partial^{2} v_{m}^{10}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau}$$
$$= -2 w_{m} \left( -\alpha_{m}^{11'} \sin w_{m} \hat{t} + \beta_{m}^{11'} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} \right)$$
$$+ w_{m} \left( -\alpha_{m}^{11} \sin w_{m} \hat{t} + \beta_{m}^{11} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} \right) - \alpha_{m}^{10''} \cos w_{m} \hat{t}.$$
(57)

Using the secularity condition in (57), we get

$$-2\beta_m^{11'} + \beta_m^{11} = \frac{\alpha_m^{10''}}{w_m},\tag{58}$$

$$-2\alpha_m^{11'} + \alpha_m^{11} = 0.$$
 (59)

The solution to (58) is

$$\beta_m^{11}(\tau) = -e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \left( \int_0^{\tau} \left( \frac{\alpha_m^{10''}}{w_m} \right) e^s ds + k_1 \right), \tag{60}$$

$$\beta_m^{11}(\tau) = -e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \left( \int_0^\tau \left( \frac{\alpha_m^{10''}}{w_m} \right) e^s ds - \frac{B_m}{w_m} \right) = -\frac{B_m}{w_m} e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \left( \int_0^\tau e^s ds - 1 \right).$$
(61)

Solution to (59) is

$$\alpha_m^{11}(\tau) = \alpha_m^{11}(0)e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} = 0.$$
(62)

Therefore

$$v^{11}(x,\hat{t},\tau) = v_m^{11}(\hat{t},\tau)\sin mx.$$
(63)

The solution of the remaining equation in (57) is

$$v_m^{12}(\hat{t},\tau) = \alpha_m^{12}(\tau)\cos w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{12}(\tau)\sin w_m \hat{t}.$$
 (64)

Applying the proper initial condition we have

$$\alpha_m^{12}(0) = 0, \tag{65}$$

$$\beta_m^{12}(0) = -\frac{\beta_m^{11'}(0)}{w_m} = \frac{-2B_m}{w_m^2}.$$
(66)

Therefore

$$v^{12}(x,\hat{t},\tau) = v_m^{12}(\hat{t},\tau)\sin mx.$$
(67)

Furthermore, substituting (34) into (19) when i = 2 and j = 0, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{20}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{20} \right] \sin nx = -2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} \sin mx + \alpha \left( v_m^{10} \right)^2 \sin^2 mx$$
$$= -2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} \sin mx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( v_m^{10} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \cos 2mx \right)$$
(68)

Multiplying (67) by  $\sin mx$ , integrating from x = 0 to  $x = \pi$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{20}}{\partial t^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{20} \right] = -\pi \mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( v_m^{10} \right)^2 \int_0^\pi (1 - \cos 2mx) \sin mx \, dx.$$
(69)

On appropriate substitution of the value of  $V_m^{10}$  in (69) and simplification, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_n^{20}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{20} = -2\mu_1' \left( w_m^2 \alpha_m^{10} \cos w_m \hat{t} \right) + \frac{16\alpha}{3\pi m} \left[ \left( \frac{\left( \alpha_m^{10} \right)^2}{2} + B_m \right) + \frac{\left( \alpha_m^{10} \right)^2}{2} \cos 2w_m \hat{t} + 2B_m \alpha_m^{10} \cos w_m \hat{t} \right]$$
(70)

To ensure uniformly valid asymptotic solution in  $\hat{t}$ , we equate to zero in (70) the coefficients of  $\cos w_m \hat{t}$ , we obtain

$$\mu_1'(\tau) = \frac{16\alpha B_m}{3m\pi w_m^2}.$$
(71)

The remaining equation in (70) is

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_n^{20}}{\partial t^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{20} = r_0 + r_1 \cos 2w_m \hat{t}$$
(72)

where

$$r_0\left(\tau\right) = \frac{16\alpha}{3m\pi} \left( \frac{\left(\alpha_m^{10}\left(\tau\right)\right)^2}{2} + B_m^2 \right)$$
(73)

$$r_1(\tau) = \frac{8\alpha}{3m\pi} \left(\alpha_m^{10}(\tau)\right)^2. \tag{74}$$

The solution of (72) is

$$v_m^{20}(\hat{t},\tau) = \alpha_m^{20}(\tau)\cos w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{20}(\tau)\sin w_m \hat{t} + \frac{r_0}{w_m^2} - \frac{r_1\cos 2w_m \hat{t}}{3w_m^2}.$$
(75)

We substitute (34) into (20) when i = 2 and j = 1, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{21}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{21} \right] \sin nx = \left( -2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} - 2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} - \mu_1' \frac{\partial v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}} - \mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{20}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} + \frac{\partial v_m^{20}}{\partial \hat{t}} + 2\mu' \frac{\partial v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}} \right) \sin nx + 2\alpha v_m^{10} v_m^{11} \sin^2 mx.$$

$$(76)$$

Multiplying (76) sin mx and integrating from 0 to  $\pi$ , we get

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{21}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{21} \right) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \left( 2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + 2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} + \mu_1'' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{20}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} - \frac{\partial v_m^{20}}{\partial \hat{t}} - 2\mu' \frac{\partial v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}} \right) + 2\alpha \int_0^{\pi} v_m^{10} v_m^{11} \sin^3 mx \, dx.$$
(77)

On appropriate substitution, we have

$$\frac{\partial^{2} v_{n}^{21}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}} + w_{n}^{2} v_{n}^{21} = -\left(-2\mu_{1}^{\prime}\beta_{m}^{11}w_{m}^{11}\sin w_{m}\hat{t} - 2w_{m}\alpha_{m}^{10^{\prime}}\sin w_{m}\hat{t}\right) + 2\left(-w_{m}\alpha_{m}^{20^{\prime}}\sin w_{m}\hat{t} + w_{m}\beta_{m}^{10^{\prime}}\cos w_{m}\hat{t} + \frac{2w_{m}r_{1}^{\prime}\sin 2w_{m}\hat{t}}{3w_{m}^{2}}\right) - w_{m}\alpha_{m}^{20}\sin w_{m}\hat{t} + w_{m}\beta_{m}^{20}\cos w_{m}\hat{t} + \frac{2w_{m}r_{1}\sin 2w_{m}\hat{t}}{3w_{m}^{2}} - 2w_{m}\mu_{1}^{\prime}\alpha_{m}^{10}\sin w_{m}\hat{t}\right) + \frac{16\alpha\beta_{m}^{11}}{3m\pi}\left(\frac{\alpha_{m}^{10}}{2}\sin 2w_{m}\hat{t} + B_{m}\sin w_{m}\hat{t}\right).$$
(78)

Using the so called secularity condition, we obtain that

$$\beta_m^{20}(\tau) \equiv 0, \tag{79}$$

$$\alpha_m^{20}(\tau) = e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \left( \int_0^{\tau} h_1(s) e^s ds + k_2 \right),$$
(80)

$$h_{1}(\tau) = -2\left(\mu_{1}'\beta_{m}^{11}w_{m} + \alpha_{m}^{10'} + \mu_{1}'\alpha_{m}^{10} + \frac{8\alpha\beta_{m}^{11}B_{m}}{3m\pi}\right).$$
(81)

The solution to the remaining equation in (78) is

$$v_m^{21}(\hat{t},\tau) = \alpha_m^{21}(\tau)\cos w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{21}(\tau)\sin w_m \hat{t} - \frac{r_2 \sin 2w_m \hat{t}}{3w_m^2}.$$
(82)

We substitute (34) into (21) when i = 2 and j = 2, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{22}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{22} \right) \sin nx = \left( 2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{12}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} - 2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{11}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} - \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{21}}{\partial \hat{t} \partial \tau} - \mu_1'' \frac{\partial v_m^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}} + \frac{\partial v_m^{21}}{\partial \hat{t}} + \mu_1' \frac{\partial v_m^{11}}{\partial \hat{t}} + \frac{\partial v_m^{20}}{\partial \tau} \right) \sin nx \\ + \alpha \left( v_m^{11} \right)^2 \sin^2 nx + 2\alpha v_m^{10} v_m^{12} \sin^2 nx \tag{83}$$

Multiplying (83) by sin mx, integrating from 0 to  $\pi$ , and making appropriate substitution we get

$$\frac{\partial^{2} v_{m}^{22}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}} + w_{m}^{2} v_{m}^{22} = -2\mu_{1}' \beta_{m}^{12} w_{m}^{2} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} - 2\mu_{1}' \beta_{m}^{11'} w_{m} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} + \alpha_{m}^{21'} w_{m} \sin w_{m} \hat{t} - \beta_{m}^{21'} w_{m} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} \\ + \frac{2w_{m} r_{2}'}{3w_{m}^{2}} \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} - \alpha_{m}^{21} w_{m} \sin w_{m} \hat{t} + \beta_{m}^{21} w_{m} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} - \frac{2w_{m} r_{2}}{3w_{m}^{2}} \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} + \mu_{1}' \beta_{m}^{11} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} \\ + \alpha_{m}^{20'} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} + \beta_{m}^{20'} \sin w_{m} \hat{t} + \frac{r_{0}'}{w_{m}^{2}} - \frac{r_{1}'}{3w_{m}^{2}} \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} \\ + \frac{8\alpha}{3m\pi} \left[ \frac{\left(\beta_{m}^{11}\right)^{2}}{2} \left(1 - \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t}\right) + \frac{\beta_{m}^{12}}{2} \left(\alpha_{m}^{10} \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} + 1\right) + \beta_{m}^{12} B_{m} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} \right].$$
(84)

Using the solvability condition on (84), we get

$$\beta_{m}^{21}(\tau) = e^{-\tau} \left( \int_{0}^{\pi} h_{2}(s) e^{s} ds + k_{3} \right),$$
(85)

and

$$\alpha_m^{21}(\tau) \equiv 0. \tag{86}$$

The solution to the remaining equation in (84) is

$$v_m^{22}(\tau) = \alpha_m^{22} \cos w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{22} \sin w_m \hat{t} + \frac{r_3}{w_m^2} - \frac{r_4}{3w_m^2} \cos 2w_m \hat{t},$$
(87)

and

$$v^{22}(x,\hat{t},\tau) = v_m^{22}(\hat{t},\tau)\sin mx.$$
(88)  
We substitute (34) into (22) when  $i = 3$  and  $j = 0$ , we get  

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_n^{30}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + w_n^2 v_n^{30} \right) \sin nx = \left( -(\mu_1')^2 \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} - 2\mu_1' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{20}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} - 2\mu_2' \frac{\partial^2 v_m^{10}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} \right) \sin mx + \frac{\alpha}{2} v_m^{10} v_m^{20} (1 - \cos 2mx) + \frac{\beta}{4} (v_m^{10})^3 (3\sin mx - \sin 3mx).$$
(89)

Multiplying through by  $\sin mx$  at n = m, simplifying the coupling terms and integrating form 0 to  $\pi$  we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{2} v_{m}^{30}}{\partial \hat{t}^{2}} + w_{m}^{2} v_{m}^{30} = (\mu_{1}')^{2} w_{m}^{2} \alpha_{m}^{10} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} - 2\mu_{1}' \left( -\alpha_{m}^{20} w_{m}^{2} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} + w_{m}^{2} \beta_{m}^{20} \sin w_{m} \hat{t} - \frac{4r_{1}}{3} \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} \right) + 2\mu_{2}' w_{m}^{2} \alpha_{m}^{10} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} + \frac{3\beta}{4} \left( \frac{(\alpha_{m}^{10})^{3}}{4} \left( \cos 3w_{m} \hat{t} + 3\cos w_{m} \hat{t} \right) + \frac{3(\alpha_{m}^{10})^{2} B_{m}}{2} \left( \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} + 1 \right) \right) + \frac{8\alpha}{3m\pi} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_{m}^{10} \alpha_{m}^{20}}{2} \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} + \frac{\alpha_{m}^{10} \beta_{m}^{20}}{2} + \frac{\alpha_{m}^{10} r_{0}}{w_{m}^{2}} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} - \frac{\alpha_{m}^{10} r_{1}}{6w_{m}^{2}} \left( \cos 3w_{m} \hat{t} + \cos w_{m} \hat{t} \right) + B_{m} \alpha_{m}^{10} \cos w_{m} \hat{t} + B_{m} \beta_{m}^{20} \sin w_{m} \hat{t} + \frac{B_{m} r_{0}}{w_{m}^{2}} - \frac{B_{m} r_{1}}{3w_{m}^{2}} \cos 2w_{m} \hat{t} \right\}.$$
(90)

To ensure uniformly valid asymptotic solution in  $\hat{t}$ , we equate to zero in (90) the coefficients of  $\cos w_m \hat{t}$ and  $\sin w_m \hat{t}$ 

$$\therefore \mu_2'(0) = \frac{\beta B_m^2}{\left(m\pi w_m^2\right)^2} s_{30} \tag{91}$$

where

$$s_{30} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} \left\{ \frac{32}{9w_m} - \left( \frac{16}{27} + \frac{9}{4} (m\pi w_m)^2 \right) \right\}.$$
 (92)

The solution of the remaining equation in (90) is

$$v_m^{30}(\hat{t},\tau) = \alpha_m^{30} \cos w_m \hat{t} + \beta_m^{30} \sin w_m \hat{t} + \frac{r_5}{w_m^2} - \frac{r_6 \cos 2w_m \hat{t}}{3w_m^2} - \frac{r_7 \cos 3w_m \hat{t}}{8w_m^2}.$$
(93)

Thus the emotional state  $u(x, \hat{t}, \tau)$  becomes

$$u(x, \hat{t}, \tau) = \varepsilon \left( v_m^{10} \sin mx + \delta v_m^{11} \sin mx + \delta^2 v_m^{12} \sin mx + \cdots \right) + \varepsilon^2 \left( v_m^{20} \sin mx + \delta v_m^{21} \sin mx + \delta v_m^{21} \sin mx + \delta^2 v_m^{12} \sin mx + \cdots \right) + \varepsilon^3 \left( v_m^{30} \sin mx + v_{3m}^{30} \sin mx + \delta \left( v_m^{31} \sin mx + v_{3m}^{31} \sin mx \right) + \cdots \right) + \cdots$$
(94)

### **4** Discussion

Cortisol is the main byproduct of HPA axis activities. Stress situations trigger the HPA axis, which is essential in raising the concentration of cortisol that leads to release of energy to the organism. Stress, both acute and chronic, has been identified as an essential etiological factor of depression. Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 show that hypercortisolism and hypocortisolism have significant effect on the mood variation of bipolar II disorder patient. HPA axis hyperactivity or hypoactivity can be termed as HPA axis dysregulation that might partly mediate the increased risk of BD relapse following intense psychosocial stress [18]. HPA axis hyperactivity predicted clinical relapses [19] and hypoactivity is associated with a higher number of mood episodes [20]. [21] explains that relative hypercortisolism were common among younger and more often females while hypocortisolism is common among older patients; must often inherent in patients that was not on Lithium. Since hypercortisolism is more peculiar among younger BD patients, we can suggest that hypocortisolism results from accumulated stress load from physiology, environmental and severe ailment episodes. This view is buttressed by [21,22] with studies performed on stress – related disorder and general population samples that collectively point at increased chronic stress and increased accumulated stress load as central to the development of the phenomenon.



Fig. 1. Mood variation and level of cortisol in the blood ( $\mu gm/100ml$ ), when  $\delta = 0.01$ ,  $\alpha = -30$ ,  $\beta = 40$ , F = 0.50,  $\lambda = 0.43$ .



Fig. 2. Mood variation and level of cortisol in the blood ( $\mu gm/100ml$ ), when  $\delta = 0.05$ ,  $\alpha = 30$ ,  $\beta = -40$ , F = 0.50,  $\lambda = 0.5$ .

Fig. 3 relates the mood variation and mood as the circadian rhythm of the cortisol is regulated. The observation shows that there is no significant change but the mood and its variation responds to the physiological reactions and environmental pulls. Fig. 4 is a typical circadian rhythm of plasma cortisol regulations in the blood stream for a normal human; hence, the oscillation buttress the indication in Figs. 1, 2 and 3. Figs. 5 - 7 represent cortisol secretion profile for the different episode of bipolar II disorder patients. They show that there are no significant circadian phase shifts associated with the of ailment. The increase and decrease in cortisol secretion in BD patients in both depressed and hypomanic phases suggest that cortisol level is not emblematic of bipolar II disorder but should not be relegated.



Fig. 3. Mood variation versus mood and level of cortisol in the blood ( $\mu gm/100ml$ ), when  $\delta = 0.05$ ,  $\alpha = 30, \beta = -40, F = 0.50, \lambda = 0.5$ .



Fig. 4. Circadian rhythm of Plasma cortisol in the blood (µgm/100ml) for normal human adopted from Encyclopedia Britannica 2018

BD has a multifactorial etiology, depending on both genetic and environmental factors. Lately [23] estimated that monozygotic twin show concordance between 40 and 70%, and a heritabitity of around 90%. Enormous research has been conducted on the genetic risk factors of BD [24], genes related to HPA axis activity were not found to be significant risk factors for BD. Common polymorphisms of HPA – related genes are associated with different clinical features of BD. Variants of "clock" genes confer a vulnerability to circadian rhythms instability and to BD itself [25]. Environmental stressors such as parental neglect can lead to a vertical, intergenerational transmission of HPA axis abnormalities [21]; suggested that it might occur as a result of childhood trauma and dysregulated parenting style [20]. Recently [26] showed that childhood traumatic experiences can determine epigenetic modifications of the glucocortisolic receptors (GR) gene in patients with BD, HPA axis dysfunction might act as a mediator, on the basis of gene – environmental interactions [27]. Summarily, HPA axis hyperactivity or hypoactivity can be considered as an

endophenotype, i.e. an etiological factor of BD but rather as a pathogenetic mechanism that can contribute to shape the clinical presentation of the disorder, on the ground of genetic – environmental interplay.



Fig. 5. Mean 24-h cortisol secretion of normal controls (open circles,) and patients with bipolar disorder in depressed (black circles), hypomanic (asterisks) and euthymic (open triangles) phases of their illness



Fig. 6. The 24-h cortisol secretion profile of Ms. G.C. in 3 mood phases of bipolar disorder. Black circles, depressed phase; triangles, euthymic phase; asterisks, hypomanic phase



Fig. 7. The 24-h cortisol secretion profile of Mr. J.B. in 3 mood phases of bipolar disorder. Black circles, depressed phase; triangles, euthymic phase; asterisks, hypomanic phase.

## **5** Conclusion

Hyperactive hypothalamic – pituitary – adrenal (HPA) axis is eminent occurrence in bipolar disorder. Nevertheless, hypocortisolism has also been designed and concomitant with depression, low quality of life and cardiovascular risk factors in BD patients. Although the pathophysiology related to hypocortisolism in BD is basically unknown, hypocortisolism is associated with lingering stress exposure and after prompting an initial rise in cortisol long – term stress may result in a transition to hypocortisolism. BD patients are throughout life often exposed to chronic stress. We therefore hypothesized that higher age would be associated with lower HPA – axis activity especially among patients without previous mood stabilizing treatment [21].

In general, humans respond to stress through a complex system that affects nearly every part of the body, from the brain down to the stomach. But once cortisol suppresses the immune system, it slows down digestion and causes a blockage that could lead to sleeplessness, depression, and obesity. When a person is suffering from bipolar disorder or depression, they experience high level go s of cortisol in their system as a result of long-term stress. Over time, chronic stress causes the body to into crisis mode, which in turn drops cortisol levels low enough to trigger even more weight gain.

Bipolar II disorder and HPA axis activities are highly related. HPA axis hyperactivity in young folks and hypoactivity in older folks are associated with significant capacity to the hypomanic episode, likewise the depressive episode; as a result HPA abnormalities will partly affect BD patient. Numerous evidence suggests that HPA axis abnormalities should not be considered as an etiological or endophenotype factor of BD but as a pathogenetic and pathophysiological mechanism that contributes to shaping BD clinical presentation [24,28]. Conclusively, the HPA axis might be a great target pharmacologically to the improvement of bipolar II disorder patients regardless that there are no significant circadian phase shifts in the various episodes.

### **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# References

- [1] Chrousos G. Editorial: Ultradian, Circadian and stress related hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis activity a dynamic digital to analog modulation. Endocrinology. 1998;139:437.
- [2] Vladimir MM, Cupic Z, Vladana V, Kolar Anic L. Predictive modeling of the hypothalamic pituitary – adrenal (HPA) axis response to acute and chronic stress: Endocrine Journal Advance Ej11 – 0037; 2011.
- [3] Miller WL, Chrousos GP. The Adrenal cortex. In Felig P, Frohman L (eds) Endocrinology and metabolism. McGraw Hill, New York. 2001;387–524.
- Tsigos C, Chrousos GP. Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal axis, neuroendocrine factors and stress. J Psychsom Res. 2002;53:865–871.
- [5] Stavreva DA, Wiench M, John S, Couway Campbell BL, McKenna MA, Pooley JR, et al. Ultradian hormone stimulation induces glucocorticoid receptor – mediated pulses of gene transcription. Nat cell Biol. 2009;11:1093–1102.
- [6] Russel GM, Henley DE, Leendertz J, Douthwaite JA, Wood SA, Stevens A, Woltersdorf WW, Peeters BW, Ruigt GS, White A, Veldhius JD, Lightman SL. Rapid glucocorticoid receptor – mediated inhibition of hypothalamic – pituitary – adrenal ultradian activity in healthy males. J Neurosci. 2010;30:6106–6115.
- [7] Jeffrey G, Tasker and James PH. Mechanism of rapid glucocorticoid feedback inhibition of the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis. Informa Healthcare. 2011;14(4):398–406.
- [8] Jelic S, Cupic Z, Kolar Anic LJ. Modeling of the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal System activity based on the stoichiometric analysis. In Romano E, De Luca S (eds) New research on neurosecretory systems. Nova Science Publishers Inc. New York. 2008;225–245.
- [9] Walker JJ, Terry JR, Lightman SL. Origin of Ultradian pulsatility in the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis. Porc R Soc B. 2010;277:1627–1633.
- [10] Markovic V, Jelic S, Vukojevic V, Kolar Anic LJ. Modelling the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis response to external perturbations with cortisol. Physical Chemistry 2010. Society of Physical Chemists of Serbia, Belgrade. 2010;212–214.
- [11] Hosseinichimch, Nujousha, Rahmandad, Hazhir and Wittenbom, Andrea K. Modeling the hypothalamic – pituitary – adrenal axis: A review and extension. Mathematical Biosciences. 2015; 268:52–65.
- [12] Dickmics T, Weger BD, Weger M. The circadian clock and glucocorticoids interactions across many time scales. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology. 2013;380(1–2):2–15.
- [13] Albrecht U. Timing to perfection: The biology of central and peripheral circadian clocks. Neuron. 2012;74(2):246–260.
- [14] Bornstein SR, England WC, Ehrhart BM, Herman JP. Dissociation of ACTH and glucocorticoids. Trends in Endocrinology and metabolism TEM. 2008;19(5):175 180.
- [15] Vanltallic TB. Stress: a risk factor for serious illness. Metabolism. 2002;51:40–45.

- [16] Belmaker RH, Agam G. Major depressive disorder. The new England Journal of Medicine. 2008; 358(1):47–60.
- [17] Rand RH, Armbruser J. Perturbation methods, bifurcation theory and computer algebra. New York: Springer Verlag; 1985.
- [18] Weiss RB, Stange JP, Boland EM, Black SK, LaBelle DR, Abramson LY, Alloy LB. Kindling of life stress in bipolar disorder: comparison of sensitization and autonomy models. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 2015;124:4–16.
- [19] Havermans R, Nicolson NA, Berkhof J, Devries MW. Patterns of salivary cortisol secretion and responses to daily events in patients with remitted bipolar disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2011;36(2):258–265.
- [20] Ellenbogen MA, Linnen AM, Santo JB, Aan Het Rot M, Hodgins S, Young SN. Salivary cortisol and interpersonal functioning: an event-contingent recording study in the offspring of parents with bipolar disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2013;38:997–1006.
- [21] Maripuu M, Wikgren M, Karling P, Adolfsson R, Norrback KF. Hyper- and hypocortisolism in bipolar disorder - A beneficial influence of lithium on the HPA-axis? J. Affect. Disord. 2017;204: 187–196.
- [22] Gustafsson PE, Janlert U, Virtanen P, Hammarstrom A. The association between long-term accumulation of temporary employment, the cortisol awakening response and circadian cortisol levels. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2012;37:789–800.
- [23] Craddock N, Sklar P. Genetics of bipolar disorder. Lancet. 2013;381:1654–1662.
- [24] Belvederi Murri M, Pariante C, Mondelli V, Masotti M, Atti AR, Mellacqua Z, et al. HPA axis and aging in depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016;41:46– 62.
- [25] Gonzalez R. The relationship between bipolar disorder and biological rhythms. J. Clin. Psychiatry. 2014;75:e323–e331.
- [26] Perroud N, Dayer A, Piguet C, Nallet A, Favre S, Malafosse A, Aubry JM. Childhood maltreatment and methylation of the glucocorticoid receptor gene NR3C1 in bipolar disorder. Br. J. Psychiatry. 2014;204:30–35.
- [27] Ostiguy CS, Ellenbogen MA, Walker CD, Walker EF, Hodgins S. Sensitivity to stress among the offspring of parents with bipolar disorder: a study of daytime cortisol levels. Psychol. Med. 2011;41(11):2447–2457.
- [28] Watson S, Gallagher P, Porter RJ, Smith MS, Herron LJ, Bulmer S, Young AH, Ferrier IN. A randomized trial to examine the effect of mifepristone on neuropsychological performance and mood in patients with bipolar depression. Biol. Psychiatry. 2012;72(11):943–949.

© 2018 Michael and Oyesanya; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.