

## Constraints to Agricultural Productivity in Kainji Lake National Park, Nigeria

O. R. Jeminiwa<sup>1\*</sup>, T. F. Okanlawon<sup>1</sup>, D. M. Taiwo<sup>1</sup>, S. O. Olaoti-Laaro<sup>1</sup>  
and M. S. Jeminiwa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Jericho Hill, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Southern Guinea Savanna Research Station, Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Mokwa, Niger State, Nigeria.

### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/AJRAF/2018/42853

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Lucia Bortolini, Assistant Professor, Department of Land, Environment, Agriculture and Forestry, University of Padova, Italy.

(2) Dr. Siripavee Charoenwattanasak, Professor, Department of Fishery, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Bello Muhammad Shehu, Bayero University, Nigeria.

(2) Nkeki Kamai, University of Miaduguri, Nigeria.

(3) Ani Nkang, Arthur Jarvis University, Nigeria.

(4) John Kanburi Bidzakin, Savanna Agricultural Research Institute, Ghana.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/26677>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 21 June 2018**

**Accepted 30 September 2018**

**Published 19 October 2018**

### **ABSTRACT**

The aim of this research article was to evaluate the constraints to agricultural productivity in Kainji Lake National Park (KLNP). This research was carried out between the months of January to December, 2011. There were five districts in Kainji Lake National Park in which three communities were selected from each district using simple randomised sampling technique. A total of 600 structured questionnaires were used for this study of which 40 copies were administered in each of the 15 villages sampled in the study area. The retrieved data were analysed using both descriptive and inferential statistics, stepwise multiple regression was also adopted to identify the contribution of agricultural constraints to the total food production in the study area. The results showed that the year class of 11-15 years had the highest duration of farmland cultivation of 35.8%, while the least farmland cultivation duration was class 20 years and above with 4.6% (Table 2). The size of randomly selected farmlands in the villages in all the districts revealed that the farm sizes less than

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: jeminiwaoluremi@gmail.com;

1 acre had the highest usage of 30.5%, while the farm sizes with the least usage was above 5 acres with 16.44% (Table 3). Maize and Guinea corn were the most commonly cultivated crop, while Millet and Vegetables were the least cultivated crops in the study area (Table 4). The regression analysis of the constraints indicated that high cost of human labour had the highest regression coefficient ( $R^2$ ) of 0.82, followed by the high cost of transportation with ( $R^2$ ) 0.80, inadequate extension services had ( $R^2$ ) of 0.78, lack of funds and credit facilities had 0.72  $R^2$  value, lack of modern farming equipment had  $R^2$  value of 0.60. While poor marketing had the least  $R^2$  value of 0.58. There were high levels of agricultural constraint in Kainji Lake National Park with commensurate negative effect on the survival of the surrounding communities. Hence, it was recommended that, modern farming equipment should be provided at subsidised rates by the government to encourage agricultural productivity among the farmers in the study area. Government should also construct better roads to ease transportation and movement of farm produces to the market centres. An urgent intervention is required to improve the livelihood of these communities to avoid over-exploitation and adverse impacts on conservation and sustainable management of Kainji Lake National Park.

*Keywords: Agriculture productivity; agricultural constraint; conflict; degradation.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture occupies more than one-third of the World's land area and it is the leading cause of habitat destruction on a global basis, be it on traditional/ small scale commercial systems. Malthus theory, in 1826 [1] says that the size and growth of the population depends on the food supply and agricultural methods. But Boserup's theory opposes this by saying that the agricultural methods depend on the size of the population. Malthus states that in times when food is not sufficient for everyone, the extra people will have to die. However, Boserup [2] states that in time of pressure, people will find ways to increase the production of food by increasing workforce, machinery and fertilisers among others.

Human demographic growth has caused an increased demand for natural resources [4]. In Africa, most people depend directly on these resources for their livelihood. In Nigeria like many other developing countries, the majority of population depends on agriculture for food, personal needs and income [5]. The relationship between agricultural practices and the environment has been relatively stable and favourable, but it has in recent times been disturbed by anthropogenic forces, leading to severe environmental degradation. This varies from country to country and Nigeria is not an exception. The quest to feed the ever increasing human population resulted in the expansion and advancement of agricultural systems to meet the demand of human race. Sekitoleko [6] reported that all agricultural activity upsets the natural ecosystem and the extent to which it is disturbed

depends on the nature, intensity and duration of such activity. She further described the activities in categories, which are land/soil degradation, drainage, over harvesting and burning of wetlands, pollution of water bodies, land and air, overfishing and encroachment of protected area. However, agricultural practices and food production are unavoidably receded by some constraints which are impediment to agricultural development in the world especially in developing countries. Constraint refers to a reduction on the degree of freedom of the elements of a system exerted by some collection of elements. It can also be defined as a limitation on the variability of change in the kind of such elements [7].

Conflict between agriculture and environment quality is a challenge to mankind for survival. Conflicts may also arise when people who traditionally use natural resources around them are either controlled or forbidden on such resources [8]. Biodiversity conflict according to O' Leary and Bingham [9] occur when there are fundamental and ongoing differences amongst parties concerning value and behaviour as they relate to the environment. In addition, conflicts are situations where people deliberately, with or without knowledge of the consequences of their actions destroy biodiversity, particularly when they perceived a positive impact on their livelihood [10,11]. For instance, decision to establish a park where cultivation and grazing is prohibited requires removal of some people who used these lands.

Yoram and Heinrich, [12] reported that about one-third of vertebrates have suffered either

extinction or a drastic reduction in population because of human activities, whether hunting, agricultural practices, urban industrial development or poisoning. Although hunting was the main cause of several species extinction, habitat destruction has also been responsible for the disappearance of large numbers of species. The major natural resources, which include land, water, associated soil, plants and animals are of great importance to man. Most of the food comes from plants grown on the land or from animals, which themselves live by eating plants. Therefore, man's survival depends on agriculture, and food production is limited by some constraints as evident in Kainji Lake National Park communities. Natural areas which shelter ethnic groups dependent on hunting, fishing, and food gathering preserve the heritage of human wisdom derived from a long association with nature, such as the use of wild plants and animals for medicinal purposes.

There is a high level of agricultural constraints in the Kainji Lake National Park which has resulted in negative effect on the survival of the surrounding communities. Hence, this research was targeted at enumerating the types and levels of constraints to agricultural productivity in the Kainji Lake National Park.

**2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Data was obtained from both primary and secondary sources. The primary data was collected with the use of questionnaires and field observation method Diaw et al. [3]. While, the secondary data collected was the villages' population data which was obtained from National Population Commission, Abuja, Nigeria. The study area (KLNP) has a total population of about 59,823 (Table 1) as compiled by the Global Environmental Facility (GEF) World Bank assisted projects [14].

**2.1 The Administration of Questionnaires**

A total of six hundred structured questionnaires were administered in all the five districts. Forty questionnaires were administered at each of the three villages in each district, Five districts were examined totalling fifteen villages in all. (40 questionnaires x 3 villages x 5 districts = 600 questionnaires) to determine the demographic characteristics and duration of cultivation of farmlands in each districts. (Table 2) [13].

**Table 1. Population of selected villages in the five districts of Kainji Lake National Park, Nigeria**

District	Villages	Population
Wawa	Gada Olli	10,050
	Sabon Kadi	5,000
	Leshibe	2,500
Babanna	Kubli	6,000
	Kwasure	4,000
	Garuji	693
Zugurma	Patiko	4,000
	Muliya	3,500
	Faje	4,200
Kemeji	Tenebu	3,000
	Nanu shugaba	6,000
	Bezira	2,800
Dekala	Gulbi	2,000
	Benya	3,580
	Bezhi	2,500
<b>Total</b>		<b>59,823</b>

Source: Global Environmental Facility (GEF) World Bank Assisted Project. Zone Communities as at 26th June, 2009. [14]

**2.2 Field Observation**

The size of randomly selected farmlands in three villages from each of the five districts were measured (Below 1 acre, 1 – 2 acres, 2.5 - 5 acres and above 5 acres) Table 3. The types of crops cultivated were also observed (Plate 1 and 2). Simple Randomized Sampling technique was employed in selecting the villages from each district. Three communities were sampled from each district. Data obtained were analysed using both descriptive and inferential statistics.

Stepwise multiple regression analysis method was adopted to identify the contribution of agricultural constraints to total food production in the study area [15]. For this study, our dependent variable Y is the total acreage cultivated and total food production in tonnes, while the independent variables 1 - 6 are the constraints. Thus, it was expressed statistically as follows:

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 \dots\dots b_n X_n + e$$

Where

- Y = acre
- a = Intercept
- b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>n</sub> = parameter estimates
- e = standard error
- X<sub>1</sub> = Lack of modern farming equipments
- X<sub>2</sub> = poor marketing

- X<sub>3</sub> = High cost of human labour
- X<sub>4</sub> = Inadequate extension services
- X<sub>5</sub> = Lack of funds / credit facilities
- X<sub>6</sub> = High cost of transportation

of the respondents have been cultivating their farmlands for over 20 years.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.2 Size of Farmlands in the Communities

#### 3.1 Duration of Cultivation of Farmland

Farmland size was used as a proxy to measure agricultural productivity as often considered in Africa following the methods used by Mamudu [16], Bhandari, [17] and Thapa [18]. The size of farmlands which was determined by measurement revealed that, in the five districts and across all the communities farmlands less than 1 acre in size had the highest of 30.5%, Farm sizes between 1 - 2 acre had 26.7%, 2.1 - 5 acres farmlands had 26.3%, while farmlands with 5 acres and above had the least of 16.44% (Table 3).

The duration of cultivation of the farmlands was determined from the questionnaires administered. Table 2 showed that 20.1% of the respondents spend less than five years on their farmland, while 33.0% have been cultivating these farmlands between 5-10 years and 35.8% between 11-15 years respectively. Similarly, 6.4% of the respondents have been cultivating their farmlands between 16-20 years, while 4.6%




Plate 1. Map showing Kainji Lake National Park, Nigeria  
 Source: Amusa et al. [19]

**Table 2. Duration of cultivation on farmland**

Districts	Villages	Below 5 yrs	5 – 10 years	11 – 15 years	16 – 20	Above 20
Wawa	Gada Oli	8	6	12	3	1
	Sabon kadi	4	16	8	5	0
	Leshibe	2	14	6	2	3
Babanna	Kubli	6	12	8	2	1
	Kwasure	8	14	10	0	3
	Garuji	0	10	16	1	1
Zugurma	Patiko	2	16	12	0	1
	Muliya	4	8	16	3	2
	Faje	8	8	10	1	2
Kemije	Tenebu	10	6	12	3	0
	Nanu	8	6	2	1	0
	Shugaba					
Deakala	Bezira	0	8	12	1	0
	Gulbi	6	12	8	4	2
	Benya	14	6	16	0	1
	Bezhi	8	2	10	2	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>88 (20.1%)</b>	<b>144 (33.%)</b>	<b>156 (35.8%)</b>	<b>28 (6.4%)</b>	<b>20 (4.6%)</b>

Source: Field Data, 2011.

**Table 3. Size of farmland in the study area (Acre)**

Districts	Villages	Size in Acres			
		Less than 1	1 - 2	2.1 – 5	Above 5
Wawa	Gada Oli	2	3	5	1
	Sabon kadi	3	4	3	2
	Leshibe	6	2	4	1
Babanna	Kubli	4	5	3	3
	Kwasure	3	2	4	2
	Garuji	7	6	4	3
Zugurma	Patiko	4	4	1	2
	Muliya	5	5	5	3
	Faje	3	3	4	4
Kemije	Tenebu	6	5	3	3
	Nanu Shugaba	5	4	4	2
	Bezira	4	3	6	3
Deakala	Gulbi	2	2	4	1
	Benya	5	6	3	3
	Bezhi	6	3	3	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>65</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Total (%)</b>		<b>30.50</b>	<b>26.76</b>	<b>26.30</b>	<b>16.44</b>

Source: Field Data, 2011

### 3.3 Crops Cultivated in the Study Area

The crops cultivated at the study area as unveiled by the field observation revealed that Maize and Guinea corn were commonly cultivated in all the districts. While, Millet and Vegetable crops were less cultivated in the study area. Other crops which were cultivated at the study area include yam, cassava and groundnut (Table 4, Plates 1 and 2).

### 3.4 Stepwise Multiple Regression Results of the Agricultural Constraints in Kainji Lake National Park

The stepwise multiple regression analysis was used to measure the contribution of each constraint to the variation in the total agricultural productivity in the study area (Table 5). The multiple regression models showed a strong positive relationship among the variables tested.

It was observed from the regression table that, high cost of human labour ( $X_3$ ) had the highest multiple regression coefficients ( $R^2$ ) of 0.82 and the most severe constraint to increased food production. This was followed by high cost of transportation ( $X_6$ ) with ( $R^2$ ) of 0.8, inadequate extension services ( $X_4$ ) with ( $R^2$ ) 0.78, lack of funds/ credit facilities ( $X_5$ ) with 0.72 ( $R^2$ ), lack of modern equipments ( $X_1$ ) with ( $R^2$ ) of 0.64 and poor marketing ( $X_2$ ) with the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) of 0.58 respectively. The positive parameter estimates observed were lack of funds, inadequate extension services and high cost of transportation which revealed that, they contributed to the dependent variable. While, the negative parameter estimates observed were poor marketing and high cost of human labour.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Agriculture provides basic economic activities in and around the study area. Agricultural constraints in Kainji Lake National Park have a profound impact on the survival of the communities located in the five districts of the park. Farmers in Africa enjoyed mixed cropping system of farming as a number of advantages are derived in the practice like increase farm yield, better labour utilisation and erosion control as evident in the study area Evidence suggested that, the duration of cultivation of farmland varied considerably in the sampled communities as it had a positive relationship with crop production which agreed with the findings of Olawepo [15] in

a research that determined the rural farmers income in Nigeria. Newell, [20] also affirmed positive relationship between the duration of farmland cultivation, farm size and agricultural productivity in Gujarat as observed in Kainji Lake National Park communities. The farm size had also being used as proxy to measure agricultural productivity in Africa [16]. Since, research results revealed that farm size often determine the level of productivity. Bhandari [17] attested that, there was a positive relationship between farm size and productivity in his study of mass production of agricultural crops in Nepal. However, this was in contrary to the findings of Sen [21], who observed an inverse relationship between farm size and output per hectare in Indian agriculture. Nevertheless, the largest population of farmers cultivated lands less than one acre, while the least percentage of the population cultivated on lands above five acres. This may be attributed to lack of modern farming equipment and high cost of human labour in the sampled communities; which also agreed with the findings of Olawepo [15].

The prevalent constraint to agricultural productivity in KLNP was high cost of human labour due to sole dependence on human labour to carry out all the farming operations. High cost of transportation to urban centres also had a profound impact on agricultural productivity due to lack of good access road to ease transportation and movement of farm produces and products to market centres.

**Table 4. Types of crops grown in the study area**

Districts	Villages	Crop specialization
Kwawa	Gada Oli Sabon kadi Leshibe	Guinea-corn, Groundnut, Rice, Maize and Cowpea
Babanna	Kubli Kwasure Garuji	Yam, Maize, Guinea-corn, Cassava, Groundnut and Vegetables
Zugurma	Patiko Muliya Faje	Yam. Guinea-corn, Cowpea, Maize, Groundnut and Cassava
Kemeji	Tenebu Nanu-Shugaba Bezira	Cotton, Rice, Maize, Guinea-corn, Groundnut and Cassava
Dakala	Gulbi Benya Bezhi	Guinea-corn, Millet, Maize, Yam, Cowpea and Cassava

Source: Field Data, 2011





**Plate 2. Mixed cropping cultivation**



**Plate 3. Millet cultivation**

**Table 5. Stepwise multiple regression analysis for the agricultural constraints in Kainji Lake National Park**

<b>Agricultural constraints</b>	<b>Parameter estimates</b>	<b>Standard error</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>% Cummulative</b>
Modern farming equipment	0.03	0.01	0.87	0.64	-	64
Poor Marketing	-3.21	0.41	0.65	0.58	-6	58
High cost of human labour	-2.92	1.02	0.90	0.82	24	82
Inadequate extension services	1.54	2.21	0.86	0.78	-4	78
Lack of funds/ credit facilities	3.09	1.29	0.77	0.72	-6	72
High cost of transport to urban centres	2.11	1.63	0.89	0.80	8	80

Source: Field Data, 2011

## 5. CONCLUSION

The impacts of constraints to agricultural productivity has a profound effect on farmers within Kainji Lake National Park (KLNP), with a high cost of human labour having the most significant impact and poor marketing being the least constraint to agricultural productivity in the study area. These problems and lack of funds and access to credit facilities ranked high in receding increase food productivity in the communities. Hence, concerted effort should be expended and focus on strategies that shall include the followings:

- Modern farming equipment should be provided at subsidised rates by the government to encourage agricultural productivity among the farmers in the study area.
- Provision of short and long time loans for the farmers in the communities to combat the problem of insufficient funds.
- Farmers co-operative society should be established in order to encourage better marketing of the agricultural products
- Government should construct better roads to ease transportation and movement of farm produces to the market centres.
- Creation of awareness by extension officers on improved agricultural practices should be encouraged.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Malthus T. An essay on the principle of population, Cambridge University Press, edited by Patricia James; 1989.
2. Boserup E. Development theory: An analytical framework and selected application. Population and Development Review. 1996;505-515.
3. Diaw, K., Blay, D. And Adu-Anning, C. Socio-economic survey of forest fringe communities: Krokosua Hills Forest reserve. A report submitted to the forestry commission of Ghana. 2002;86.
4. Oramah IT. The effects of population growth in Nigeria. Journal of Applied Sciences. 2006;6:1335-1337. Available:<https://scialert.net/abstract/?doi=jas.2006.1332.1337>
5. Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations. Unequal Access to Natural Resources; 2006. Available:[www.fao.org/newsroom/en/news/2006/1000342/index.html](http://www.fao.org/newsroom/en/news/2006/1000342/index.html).
6. Sekitoleko V. Resolution of conflict between agriculture and environment protection in Uganda. Nordic Journal of African Studies. 1993;2(2):103-108.
7. Jon U, Matteo M, Constraint W, Dubitzky O, Wolkenhauer KHY. Encyclopedia of science biology. Springer. 2013;490-493. 978-1-4419-9863-7. <10.1007/978-1-4419-9863-7>. <halshs-00792440. Available:<https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-00792440>
8. Norton – Griffiths M. Property rights and the Marginal Wildbees: An Economic Analysis of Wildlife Conservation Options



- in Kenya. *Biodiversity Conservation*. 1996; 5:1557-1577.
9. O Leary R, and Bingham L. The promise and performance of environmental conflict resolution. Resources for the future. Washington D.C; 2003.
  10. Young J, Nowicki P, Alard D, Henle K, Johnson R, Matouch S, Niemela J, Watt AD. Conflicts between human activities and the conservation of biodiversity in agricultural landscapes, grasslands, forests, wetlands and uplands in Europe. A Report of the BIOFORUM Project. CEH, Banchory; 2003.
  11. Young J, Watt AD, Nowicki P, Alard D, Clitherow J, Henle K, Johnson R, Laczko E, McCracken D, Matouch S, Niemela J. Towards sustainable land use: identifying and managing the conflicts between human activities and biodiversity conservation in Europe. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 2005;14(7):1641-1661.
  12. Yoram, Yom-Tiv, Heinrich M. Changes in the distribution and abundance of vertebrates in Israel during the 20<sup>th</sup> Century. *The Zoogeography of Israel*. W. Junk Publishers; 1998.
  13. Hammond R, Mccullagh P. Quantitative techniques in geography. Oxford University Press Limited. 1978;113.
  14. Global Environmental Facility (GEF) World Bank assisted project as at June, 2009, carried out at Kainji Lake National Park, Niger state, Nigeria.
  15. Olawepo RA. Determining rural farmers' income: A rural Nigeria experience. *Journal of African Studies and Development*. 2010;2(3):99–108.
  16. Mamudu AA. Agricultural productivity, credit and Farmsize nexus in Africa: A case study of Ghana. *Agricultural Finance Review*. 2016;76(2):288–308.
  17. Bhandari R. Searching for a weapon of mass production in Nepal: Can market Assisted Land Reforms Live up to their promise? *Journal of Developing Societies*. 2006;22:111–143.
  18. Thapa S. The Relationship between Farm Size and Productivity: Empirical evidence from Nepalese mid – hills. *European Association of Agricultural Economists*. 106<sup>th</sup> Seminar: Montpellier, France. 2007; 106:7940.
  19. Amusa TO, Jimoh SO, Aridanzi P, Haruna M. Ethnobotany and conservation of plant resources in Kainji Lake National Park; 2010. Available:[www.ethnobotanyjournal.org/vol8/i1547-3465-08-181.pdf](http://www.ethnobotanyjournal.org/vol8/i1547-3465-08-181.pdf)
  20. Newell, et al. Farm size and Intensity of Land use in Gujarat. *Oxford Economic Papers*. 1997;49:307–315.
  21. Sen AK. Peasants and Dualism with or without surplus labour. *Journal of Political Economy*. 1966;7:425–450.

© 2018 Jeminiwa et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/26677>