



International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 4, Page 380-385, 2024; Article no.IJECC.115837

ISSN: 2581-8627

(Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231-4784)

CO₂ and Climate Change: Unveiling the Missing Experimental Evidence

Andrej Pustišek^{a++*}

^a Hochschule für Technik Stuttgart University of Applied Sciences, Schellingstr-24, 70174 Stuttgart, Germany.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i44124

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115837>

Original Research Article

Received: 09/02/2024

Accepted: 13/04/2024

Published: 18/04/2024

ABSTRACT

Human activities releasing greenhouse gases are identified as dominant contributors to the observed climate change including global warming and its acceleration. The consequences for humanity are predicted to be severe. Therefore, to mitigate global warming, significant efforts are being devoted to reducing CO₂ emissions and stabilizing (or even reducing) atmospheric CO₂ concentration. This enormous endeavor of 'decarbonization' comes with substantial costs, running into trillions of USD in Western countries alone.

Fundamentally, the entirety of endeavors, actions, and outcomes hinges upon the central hypothesis stating that the increase of CO₂ concentration from approximately 0.03% to more than 0.04% causes a noticeable temperature rise. Given the paramount significance of this hypothesis, the generally accepted rules of science would necessitate rigorous scrutiny for substantiation. Such substantiation is typically provided by an experimental evidence.

Yet, surprisingly according to the results of this research, exactly this essential experimental evidence supporting the central hypothesis seems to be lacking, not fully adhering to fundamental principles of scientific analysis. Consequently, robust experimental evidence must be presented to substantiate the hypothesis, as the failure to do so would necessitate a reassessment of the emphasis on CO₂ emissions reduction as the primary solution to climate change.

⁺⁺ Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: andrej.pustisek@hft-stuttgart.de;

Keywords: *Experimental evidence; greenhouse gas effect; CO₂ concentration; CO₂ emissions; temperature increase; global warming; decarbonization.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The observed global warming and its acceleration in the past century have piqued scientific interest in understanding its underlying drivers. Recent research strongly supports the idea that human activities have become an (if not 'the') important contributor to climate change, in particular average global temperature increase, over the last 170 years. The primary reason for this global warming is strongly believed to be the enhanced greenhouse effect of the Earth's atmosphere caused by the release of greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). Notwithstanding the fact that these gases constitute not more than 0.05% of the air, it is widely undisputed that these gases trap heat from the Sun, preventing some of it from escaping back into space, and result in warming the Earth's surface and atmosphere. Scientists are particularly concerned about CO₂, which is released in large quantities through burning fossil fuels. Other significant greenhouse gases include methane from agriculture and landfills, nitrous oxide from agricultural activities and industries, and fluorinated gases used in various applications¹. Hence, unless the increase of atmospheric CO₂ concentration is mitigated or halted, there is a projected continuation of global warming and anticipation of substantial repercussions for humanity.

Consequently, worldwide substantial efforts are being dedicated to reducing CO₂ emissions, with the primary aim of either decreasing or stabilizing the atmospheric CO₂ concentration to mitigate the escalation of global warming. To this, various countries are taking measures to curtail the utilization of fossil fuels, i.e., coal, oil products, and natural gas, with the intent of transforming the transportation, heating, and energy sectors. However, this transition to alternative energy sources entails and is expected to entail considerable costs, reaching trillions of USD in Western countries alone.

In the face of this colossal and epochal undertaking known as 'decarbonization', with its

potential consequences for the very survival of humanity, there can be no room for complacency. Hence, it is imperative that the investigation of fundamental hypotheses is approached with unrelenting, unwavering, and ruthless scientific scrutiny (see the short description in 2.1).

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Background: Scientific Scrutiny and the Significance of Experiments

*"The principle of science, the definition, almost, is the following: The test of all knowledge is experiment. Experiment is the sole judge of scientific 'truth'."*² I.e., any hypothesis is not considered to be scientifically meaningful until it has been tested and supported by experimental evidence. In other words, essential for testing hypotheses is experimentation which helps to avoid the illusion of knowledge (*"The greatest enemy of knowledge is not ignorance; it is the illusion of knowledge"*³).

Typically, the scientific method is a systematic approach to gathering and evaluating evidence to determine the validity of a hypothesis⁴. The method can be described by the following five steps:

1. Observation;
2. Hypothesis, i.e., developing a tentative explanation for the observation or question;
3. Experiment⁵;

² [5]

³ Often attributed to Stephen Hawking, but also to [6]
⁴ see similar, e.g. [7,8]

⁵ A physical experiment is a controlled and systematic procedure conducted to investigate, observe, and measure the behavior of natural phenomena, materials, or systems. In a physical experiment, researchers manipulate independent variables, while carefully controlling and monitoring other relevant constants or control variables, to observe and measure the dependent variables, which are the outcomes or responses of interest. The goal of a physical experiment is to test hypotheses or gain a deeper understanding of the underlying principles governing the observed phenomenon.

Key components of a physical experiment include:

- **Manipulation:** intentional variation of independent variables to observe their effects on the dependent variables.
- **Control:** To ensure the validity and reliability of the results, all factors that could potentially influence the dependent variable are carefully controlled.
- **Randomization:** assignment of participants or samples to different experimental conditions to reduce bias.

¹ [1] is often cited as a turning point in the public's understanding of climate change. Here it is The IPCC report, which was authored by hundreds of scientists from around the world, concluded that it was "extremely likely" that human activities were the main cause of climate change.

See also, *inter alia* [2,3,4]

4. Data analysis; and
5. Conclusion

It is widely acknowledged that a scientific hypothesis can never be proven true, but it can only be falsified by experimental evidence. I.e., if the results of an experiment do not match the predictions of a hypothesis, then the hypothesis must be rejected⁶.

2.2 Research

The experiment, including its description and results, imagined and sought, is supposed to demonstrate the validity of the central hypothesis, i.e., that an increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration from approximately 0.03% to more than 0.04% induces a discernible rise in temperature.

A comprehensive review of the literature was performed⁷. Yet, it failed to yield or find any relevant experiments confirming the central hypothesis. Therefore, additionally multiple institutions and experts in Germany, the Netherlands, Austria, the UK and the USA were consulted to seek their support and guidance in locating a reference to such an experiment. The (anonymized) summary can be found in the Appendix.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of literature research were unambiguously confirmed by the answers of internationally recognized experts and institutions: no experimental evidence for the central hypothesis of all 'decarbonization' efforts, i.e., that an increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration from approximately 0.03% to more than 0.04% (or similar values in this magnitude) results in a measurable increase in temperature, exists or has been published.

On the contrary,

- it has been shown that increasing the CO₂ content does not increase the temperature: *"Based on the Stefan Boltzmann's law, this should increase the temperature of the air in the rear chamber by 2.4 to 4 degrees,*

• *Replication: Conducting the experiment multiple times enhances the robustness and generalizability of the results.*

⁶ *"No amount of observational evidence can ever prove a scientific theory. But if it is contradicted by a single observation, the theory is falsified." [9]*

⁷ *in four languages, German, English, French and Italian and using a variety of tools, including AI tools.*

but no such increase was found." [10] and "These findings might question the fundament of the forcing laws used by the IPCC." [10] and "The increase in observed back radiation should give us a measurable temperature increase in the rear chamber. Such increase is not observed in the experiment" [11] and

- *experiments are presented, e.g. [12,13,14]⁸ which demonstrate, that even in a (nearly) pure CO₂-atmosphere - which does not correspond to the ~0.04% CO₂-concentration in air - the increase of temperature is in the range of 2 to 4 K (only).*

4. CONCLUSION

Science relies on empirical evidence obtained through experiments or observations to support or refute hypotheses. Consequently, and with regard to its fundamental importance, it is necessary that the central hypothesis of all 'decarbonization' efforts is evidenced experimentally. Neglect this, and science's principles falter.

Presently, the experimental data accessible either indicate the absence of a measurable temperature effect or confirm that the temperature augmentation within an atmosphere composed solely of CO₂ does not surpass 4 K. These findings might be utilized by researchers endeavoring to refute the general impact of greenhouse gases on climate. Consequently, it becomes increasingly imperative to furnish robust experimental evidence of the central hypothesis of 'decarbonization' efforts.

However, should such experimental evidence prove elusive, it is not only permitted and reasonable but scientifically mandatory to question this central hypothesis. In absence of experimental evidence, it might become necessary to reevaluate the focus, i.e., other in-depth investigations into the various potential sources of climate change are warranted. Furthermore, a comprehensive explanation will be required to address the discrepancy between the prevailing 'scientific consensus'⁹ on climate

⁸ *The explanation provided for the only slight increase of temperature despite the high concentration [14] is neither evidenced nor theoretically supported.*

⁹ *i.e., the general acceptance of the causality between an increase of the CO₂-concentration in the atmosphere and the average global temperature, see, e.g., [15,16] and the overview [17]*

change and the absence of its experimental evidence. Such discussion should be conducted objectively, fair, and open minded, embracing diverse perspectives.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. World Meteorological Organization & United Nations Environment Programme. Report of the first session of the WMO/UNEP Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Geneva: s.n; 1988.
2. Schneider SH. The changing climate. *Scientific American*. 1989;9:70-79.
3. Revelle R, Suess H. Carbon dioxide exchange between atmosphere and ocean and the question of an increase of atmospheric CO₂ during the Past Decades. *Tellus*. 1957;9.
4. Callendar G. The artificial production of carbon dioxide and its influence on temperature. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*. 1938;275 64.
5. Feynman R, Leighton R, Sands M. The Feynman lectures on physics, Volume I; mainly mechanics, radiation, and heat. s.l.: California Institute of Technology; 1963.
6. Boorstin D. The creators: A History of Heroes of the Imagination. s.l.:Vintage; 1993.
7. Lexis L, Julien B. How to do science - A guide to researching human physiology. Melbourne, AUS: La Trobe University Ebureau; 2017.
8. Medicine I. o. Responsible science: Ensuring the integrity of the research process: Volume I. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press; 1992.
9. Popper KR. The logic of scientific discovery (2nd Ed.). London: Routledge; 1959.
10. Seim TO, Olsen BT. The influence of IR absorption and backscatter radiation from CO₂ on Air temperature during heating in a simulated Earth/Atmosphere Experiment. *Atmospheric and Climate Sciences*. 2020;10:168-185.
11. Seim TO, Olsen BT. IR absorption and back radiation from CO₂ in a simulated. *Science of Climate Change*. 2023;3:408-414.
12. Sahin S, Schlüpmann J. *Sonnentaler.net*; 2021. Available:<https://www.sonnentaler.net/aktivitaeten/meteorologie/klima/klima-planet-ich/ue3/co2.html>
13. Lesch H, o.J. Youtube: Klimawandel verstehen und handeln; LMU Klimakoffer. Available:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IUucND1s0IM>
14. Scorza C, Strähle M, Mayer B, Lesch H. Wissenschaftliche Erläuterung: Experiment zur Wärmeabsorption durch CO₂; 2022. Available:https://klimawandel-schule.de/sites/default/files/2022-08/wissenschaftliche_erlaeuterung_treibhauseffekt_experiment_lmu-physik.pdf
15. Oreskes N. The scientific consensus on climate change. *SCIENCE*. 2004;306 (5702):1686.
16. Cook J. et al. Quantifying the consensus on anthropogenic global warming in the scientific literature. *Environ. Res. Lett*; 2013.
17. NASA. Do scientists agree on climate change?; 2023. Available:<https://climate.nasa.gov/faq/17/do-scientists-agree-on-climate-change/#:~:text=Yes%2C%20the%20vast%20majority%20of,global%20warming%20and%20climate%20change.>[Accessed 2 8 2023].

APPENDIX - SUMMARY OF ANSWERS RECEIVED

The institutions approached were asked the following question:

1. in English: "Together with my colleagues, I have developed a lecture series titled "Sustainability, Environment, and Responsibility" at the University In order to provide more substantive content in this initial part, I intend to showcase not only the "classic" theoretical explanation of the greenhouse gas effect but also support it with the results of an experiment. It is precisely in this search for an experiment that I have encountered difficulties. Despite reaching out to colleagues from various other universities and conducting a literature search, I have been unable to find relevant information. I am searching for an experiment, including its description and results, which demonstrates that an increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration from 0.03% to 0.04% (or similar values within this range) results in a measurable increase in temperature within the respective system. (To narrow it down, I am not seeking an experiment that generally demonstrates the greenhouse gas effect.)"
2. in German: " an der ... habe ich mit weiteren Kollegen gemeinsam eine Ringvorlesung mit dem Titel „Nachhaltigkeit, Umwelt und Verantwortung“ entwickelt. Um in diesem ersten Teil etwas mehr inhaltliche Substanz zu vermitteln, beabsichtige ich nicht nur die („klassische“) theoretische Darstellung des Treibhausgas-effektes zu zeigen, sondern dies auch durch die Ergebnisse eines Experimentes zu untermauern. Und genau bei dieser Suche komme ich nicht weiter. Denn sowohl die Anfrage bei Kollegen, auch von einigen anderen Hochschulen, als auch die Literatursuche halfen bisher nicht weiter ... gesucht wird ein Experiment, bzw. dessen Beschreibung und dessen Ergebnisse, mit welchem gezeigt wurde/wird, dass bzw. unter welchen Bedingungen die Erhöhung des CO₂-Anteils in der Luft von 0,03% auf 0,04% (oder ähnliche Werte, aber in dieser Größenordnung) zu einem messbaren Anstieg der Temperatur führen (in dem entsprechenden System). (Um es ausschließend einzuschränken: ich suche nicht ein Experiment, welches mir allgemein den Treibhausgas-effekt zeigt.)"

The answers to the questions are summarized in the table below.

Institution	First request	Answer received	Second request	Answer received	Result	Translation or Remark
A	13.05.2023		29.05.2023	06.06.2023	„Ein Labor-Experiment welches den CO ₂ Anstieg von ~300 auf ~400 ppm in der Atmosphäre mit einem direkten, einfach messbaren Temperaturanstieg in Verbindung bringt, ist uns leider nicht bekannt.“	<i>A laboratory experiment that directly correlates the increase of CO₂ from ~300 to ~400 ppm in the atmosphere with a measurable temperature rise is unfortunately not known to us.</i>
B	21.06.2023	21.06.2023			„Well, frankly, I am not so sure that there is some simple experiment for you to do that shows the correlative and causative effect of CO ₂ and temperature, but there is certainly plenty of empirical evidence of the relationship...“	
C	23.05.2023	25.05.2023			„Ich habe das Video rausgesucht, in dem Harald Lesch nachweist, dass CO ₂ für die Temperaturerhöhung verantwortlich ist – und wie der Zusammenhang aussieht. Er zeigt es anhand verschiedener einfacher Experimente. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IUucND1sOIM “	<i>I have found the video in which Harald Lesch demonstrates that CO₂ is responsible for the temperature increase - and what the connection looks like. He illustrates it with various simple experiments. (Remark: 100% CO₂ atmosphere)</i>
D	23.05.2023		20.06.2023	22.06.2023	„Mir ist solches Experiment auch nicht bekannt.“	<i>Such an experiment is not known to me.</i>
E	03.02.2023	03.02.2023			See "experimental proof"	(Remark: 100% CO ₂)

Institution	First request	Answer received	Second request	Answer received	Result	Translation or Remark
					of greenhouse gas effect" http://hharde.de/index_html_files/Harde-Schnell-GHE-m.pdf	atmosphere)
F	11.06.2023	07.07.2023			„.... Im Internet finden sich dazu auch zahlreiche Anleitungen, wie z.B.: https://www.sonnentaler.net/aktivitaeten/meteorologie/klima/klima-planet-ich/ue3/co2.html “	<i>... there are also numerous instructions for it on the internet, such as: https://www.sonnentaler.net/aktivitaeten/meteorologie/klima/klima-planet-ich/ue3/co2.html</i> (Remark: (nearly) 100% CO ₂ atmosphere)
G	20.06.2023		11.07.2023	11.07.2023	“We have forwarded your request but so far, the request was turned down because there is no capacity.”	
H	14.06.2023		11.07.2023		No reaction	
I	05.06.2023	05.06.2023			Kein Experiment bekannt	<i>No experiment known</i>
J	25.07.2023	01.08.2023			„Leider bin ich derzeit aber so massiv mit Anfragen und Projekten aller Art ausgelastet, dass ich hier leider nicht für Sie tätig werden kann.“	<i>Unfortunately, I am currently so heavily occupied with inquiries and projects of all kinds that I am unable to assist you here at the moment.</i>
K	12.09.2023		09.10.2023		No reaction	
L	09.10.2023		03.11.2023		No reaction	

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115837>