#### ( Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Claire Joanne Stocker, Aston University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Raja Chakraborty, Sidho Kanho Birsha University, India Madarina Julia, Gadjah Mada University, Indonesia Sandra Lopez-Arana, University of Chile, Chile

\*CORRESPONDENCE Madhur Mangalam ⊠ mmangalam@unomaha.edu Ken Kiyono ⊠ kiyono.ken.es@osaka-u.ac.jp

RECEIVED 31 January 2024 ACCEPTED 03 April 2024 PUBLISHED 24 April 2024

#### CITATION

Isoyama Y, Nose-Ogura S, Ijitsu MJ, Kruse JGS, Nagai N, Kayaba M, Ogata H, Mangalam M and Kiyono K (2024) Age- and height-dependent bias of underweight and overweight assessment standards for children and adolescents. *Front. Public Health* 12:1379897. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1379897

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Isoyama, Nose-Ogura, Ijitsu, Kruse, Nagai, Kayaba, Ogata, Mangalam and Kiyono. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use,

distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Age- and height-dependent bias of underweight and overweight assessment standards for children and adolescents

Yosuke Isoyama<sup>1</sup>, Sayaka Nose-Ogura<sup>2,3</sup>, Mariko Jana Ijitsu<sup>1</sup>, João Gabriel Segato Kruse<sup>1</sup>, Narumi Nagai<sup>4</sup>, Momoko Kayaba<sup>5</sup>, Hitomi Ogata<sup>6</sup>, Madhur Mangalam<sup>7\*</sup> and Ken Kiyono<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Japan High-Performance Sport Center, Department of Sports Medicine and Research, Japan Institute Sports Sciences, Tokyo, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Tokyo Hospital, Tokyo, Japan, <sup>4</sup>School of Human Science and Environment, University of Hyogo, Himeji, Japan, <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan, <sup>6</sup>Graduate School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan, <sup>7</sup>Division of Biomechanics and Research Development, Department of Biomechanics, and Center for Research in Human Movement Variability, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, NE, United States

**Background:** Precision in evaluating underweight and overweight status among children and adolescents is paramount for averting health and developmental issues. Existing standards for these assessments have faced scrutiny regarding their validity. This study investigates the age and height dependencies within the international standards set by the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF), relying on body mass index (BMI), and contrasts them with Japanese standards utilizing the percentage of overweight (POW).

**Method:** We scrutinized a comprehensive database comprising 7,863,520 children aged 5–17 years, sourced from the School Health Statistics Research initiative conducted by Japan's Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology. Employing the quantile regression method, we dissected the structure of weight-for-height distributions across different ages and sexes, quantifying the potentially biased assessments of underweight and overweight status by conventional criteria.

**Results:** Applying IOFT criteria for underweight assessment revealed pronounced height dependence in males aged 11–13 and females aged 10–11. Notably, a discernible bias emerged, wherein children in the lower 25th percentile were classified as underweight five times more frequently than those in the upper 25th percentile. Similarly, the overweight assessment displayed robust height dependence in males aged 8–11 and females aged 7–10, with children in the lower 25th percentile for height deemed obese four or five times more frequently than their counterparts in the upper 25th percentile. Furthermore, using the Japanese POW criteria for assessment revealed significant age dependence in addition to considerably underestimating the percentage of underweight and overweight cases under the age of seven. However, the height dependence for the POW criterion was smaller than the BMI criterion, and the difference between height classes was less than 3-fold.

**Conclusion:** Our findings underscore the intricacies of age-dependent changes in body composition during the growth process in children, emphasizing the absence of gold standards for assessing underweight and overweight. Careful

judgment is crucial in cases of short or tall stature at the same age, surpassing sole reliance on conventional criteria results.

KEYWORDS

body mass index (BMI), height dependence, international obesity task force (IOFT), Japanese standards, overweight, underweight

## **1** Introduction

Child and adolescent thinness correlates with a range of adverse outcomes, including stunted growth (1-3), delayed maturation (1, 4), nutritional deficiencies (5, 6), and diminished cognitive capability (7, 8). This condition also heightens the risk of osteoporosis (9, 10), weakens the immune system (11, 12), leads to anemia (13, 14), respiratory failure (15, 16), and gives rise to complications in wounds (17, 18). In women, it contributes to infertility (19, 20), preterm birth (21-24), and an elevated risk of all-cause mortality (25-27). Conversely, obesity is linked to high blood pressure (28-30), elevated cholesterol levels (31, 32), type 2 diabetes (33-35), respiratory issues like asthma and sleep apnea (36-38), and the early onset of joint problems (39-41). Since childhood and adolescent thinness and obesity amplify morbidity and mortality risks later in life, precise assessment of these conditions is paramount for effective prevention and management. It is no surprise that evaluating thinness, underweight, overweight, and obesity status has become a cornerstone in numerous school health and medical care institutions. However, a common practice among practitioners in these fields is the routine application of traditional criteria such as the body mass index (BMI) to assess the growth and nutritional status of children and adolescents (42-48).

BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared, has long served as a widely used metric for assessing body thinness and fatness across all age groups, including children and adolescents. Over the past five decades, entities such as the World Health Organization (WHO), insurance companies, medical professionals, nutritionists, and elementary schools have employed some BMI-based criteria to assess thinness, underweight, overweight, and obesity status (42, 49–52). BMI has been established as a body mass assessment measure with almost no height dependence (53). Notably, it has been confirmed that the correlation between BMI and height is almost zero in adults (54).

However, persistent objections have been raised regarding the suitability of BMI for pediatric populations. Concerns include its failure to account for variations across race/ethnic groups (55–58), sex (57, 59–61), and age spans (57, 62, 63). Furthermore, critics argue that BMI is an imperfect measure of body fat content, as it does not consider factors like muscle mass, bone density, and overall body composition (64–66). Moreover, there is skepticism about the direct association between increased BMI and heightened cardiovascular and mortality risks (67). In this context, we have recently posed a fundamental question about the allometric uni-scaling relationship that typically links weight and height in children and adolescents (68). Specifically, we analyzed a large-scale Japanese database encompassing 7,863,520 children aged 5–17 using a newly introduced method to test scaling properties through quantile regression (68). Our investigation

revealed remarkable multi-scaling properties in males aged 5– 13 and females aged 5–11, converging to uni-scaling as they approached 17 years for both sexes, exhibiting a scaling exponent close to 2. While we affirmed the suitability of conventional BMI as an objective height-adjusted mass measure around 17 years, nearing adulthood, for both males and females, its validity was not confirmed in younger age groups. Our findings shed light on the complexity of children's weight-for-height relation, challenging the assumption of a simplistic growth pattern. Consequently, BMI-based cutoffs prove inadequate for assessing thinness and obesity in children and adolescents, emphasizing the need for more nuanced and age-specific approaches in evaluating weight status. Not surprisingly, assessing children's body structure is complex, and no gold standard method exists; improvements to evaluation methods continue to be proposed (69).

In Japan, to eliminate the height dependence of underweight and overweight status, cutoffs for obesity in schoolchildren have deviated from BMI-based criteria and instead rely on the percentage of overweight (POW) (70–73). The POW is calculated using the formula:

$$POW = \left(\frac{body \text{ weight} - standard body \text{ weight}}{standard body \text{ weight}}\right) \times 100, \quad (1)$$

wherein the standard body weight is calculated using a linear equation aH-b with the coefficients a and b determined by sex and age (74). POW  $\leq -20\%$  is categorized as underweight, and POW  $\geq 20\%$  is categorized as indicative of obesity. A recurring observation raises concerns–children classified as obese according to their POW measurement may not align with a clinical appearance of obesity. This discrepancy is particularly pronounced in the case of children with shorter stature, making POW overestimate obesity in children with diminished height (75). Also, Japanese standards utilizing the POW have demonstrated a poor correlation with adiposity (75, 76).

Recognizing the potential limitations of BMI and POW across different contexts, it becomes imperative to establish robust scientific methodologies for scrutinizing their foundations and validity. The challenges surrounding these metrics can be systematically categorized into three key domains: (i) The underlying principles of their respective formulae, (ii) The effectiveness of these metrics as precise measures of thinness and fatness across populations with varying heights, and (iii) The validity of cutoff values for nutritional status screening. Addressing these challenges requires the application of diverse scientific approaches, including a physiological methodology relying on body composition measurements, an epidemiological approach grounded in disease prevalence, and a statistical approach based on the analysis of extensive height and weight datasets. This study predominantly adopted a novel statistical approach to address these intricate issues.

The study outline unfolds as follows: we analyzed a vast database comprising 7,863,520 children aged 5-17 years, collated from the School Health Statistics Research initiative by Japan's Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology. Employing the quantile regression method, we dissected the weight-for-height distributions across various ages and sexes, quantifying the potentially skewed underweight and overweight assessments by conventional criteria. Our analysis revealed a significant height dependency in underweight and overweight assessments across different ages and genders, underscoring the nuanced age-related changes in body composition during childhood growth and highlighting the absence of universally accepted standards for underweight and overweight assessment. Thus, we advocate against adopting a one-size-fits-all metric for all ages and heights within this population. To facilitate the adoption of our methodology by clinicians and public health professionals, we provide sex- and age-specific plots (Supplementary Figures S1-\$26). These plots aid in estimating a child's percentile position by mapping the measured weight and height onto the centile curve space, offering a user-friendly visual tool for interpretation and application in diverse healthcare settings. A more precise evaluation of growth and nutritional status during childhood and adolescence is anticipated to enhance public health initiatives and policies, particularly in regions where malnutrition or childhood and adolescent obesity are prevalent.

## 2 Methods

In the realm of statistical analysis, conventional methods for determining underweight and overweight status in children and adolescents typically establish assessment criteria by considering the rare occurrence of specific combinations of sex, age, weight, and height-a methodology reminiscent of the reference interval used in clinical examinations, such as the 95% central range between the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of a test indicator. In assessing underweight and overweight, these conventional criteria strive for a height-independent evaluation method tailored to each age and sex. Using a comprehensive demographic analysis of school-age children from Japan, the present study questions the characteristics and validity of these traditional criteria for determining underweight and overweight status in children and adolescents. Our investigation highlights a notable height dependency observed in BMI and IOTF cutoffs. We illustrate that Japan's POW (proportion of overweight) criterion exhibits a significant dependence on height and age, lacking adjustment for the probability of extreme weight occurrences. While BMI cutoffs may seem reasonable upon initial scrutiny of BMI distributions, they fail to adequately account for the age-specific height dependence of weight. Our analysis strongly underscores that the POW criterion cutoffs in Japan are markedly influenced by age, overlooking the age-related variations within the weight-height distribution and the inherent asymmetry in weight distribution.

TABLE 1 The number of weight-for-height samples for each age and sex after removing samples with missing values.

Age	Number of samples	
[years]	Males	Females
5	358,375	355,134
6	260,536	260,090
7	261,178	260,666
8	261,374	260,903
9	261,852	261,426
10	261,898	261,643
11	262,405	261,994
12	427,950	428,705
13	428,295	429,324
14	429,119	429,896
15	239,003	241,696
16	238,935	241,314
17	238,851	240,958
Subtotal	3,929,771	3,933,749
Total	7,863,520	

# 2.1 Dataset: comprehensive demographic analysis of school-age children

We drew upon data from the School Health Statistics Research initiative by Japan's Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology. This nationwide survey employs a robust stratified random sampling approach, selecting schools within each prefecture based on student enrollment numbers. This ensures a representative mix of small and large institutions. Within these size strata, schools are chosen randomly for the survey, and the children included undergo a systematic sampling method, accounting for sex and age. The survey, conducted annually from April to June, traditionally captures a comprehensive snapshot of the physical development landscape. However, due to the unprecedented challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic 2020, the survey schedule was adapted for year-round implementation. Consequently, data collected post-2020 was not integrated into our analysis. The dataset consisted of crucial demographic factors such as sex, age, height, and weight of a staggering 7,863,520 children aged between 5-17 collected from 2008-2019. We excluded the data for 2.72% weight-for-height samples, accounting for missing values, resulting in 8,083,466 height-for-weight samples. The number of samples for each sex and age is summarized in Table 1. The utilization and analysis of the dataset were carried out with permission from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology. No ethical issues are associated with the use of this dataset.

### 2.2 Smoothed bootstrap

We analyzed height and weight data recorded in integer values, measured in centimeters (cm) and kilograms (kg). Recognizing the limitations of discrete distributions inherent in integer-valued data derived from real-valued measurements, we applied the smoothed bootstrap technique to bolster statistical confidence (77). In this approach, rows from the dataset are randomly sampled with replacement, creating samples of size N from the initial dataset of size N. Subsequently, random noise, generated from a bivariate Gaussian kernel density, is introduced to each pair of heights and weights in the sampled row. The smoothing bandwidth is determined using a ruleof-thumb bandwidth selector for bivariate Gaussian kernels (78). This study conducted the bootstrap technique 200 times, calculating a statistical estimate for each smoothed bootstrap replication.

# 2.3 Criteria for assessing underweight and overweight status

We used BMI-based criteria established by IOFT and POWbased criteria that are widely used in Japan. The BMI cut-off points established by the IOTF were obtained from data (97,876 males and 94,851 females from birth to 25 years of age) from six countries (Brazil, Great Britain, Hong Kong, The Netherlands, Singapore, and the United States) collected between 1963 and 1993. The BMI cutoffs for adults were extended to those for younger ages so that the positions of the centiles corresponding to the 18-yearold cutoff centiles (overweight (25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), obese (30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and underweight (level 1:18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; level 2:17.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and level 3:16.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) is maintained.

In Japan's School Health Statistics Survey, the weight-for-height distribution by sex and age is assumed to be approximated by a bivariate normal distribution. The standard weight-for-height relation is then modeled by a regression line fitted to data in its 95% probability elliptic region. This linear model calculates the standard weight for a given child's weight. Children with a Percent of Overweight (POW), defined as the percent deviation from the standard weight (as per Equation 1), of 20% or more are classified as obese, while those with a POW of -20% or less are considered underweight. The parameters of the linear model are derived from the Health Statistics Survey.

#### 2.4 Quantile regression

Quantile regression, a method introduced by Koenker in 1978, focuses on estimating conditional quantiles (centiles) of a response variable, thereby liberating the analysis from the assumption that variables behave uniformly across the tails of the distribution compared to the mean (79). For example, in the context of a quantile regression utilizing a cubic polynomial, the objective is to minimize the sum of weighted absolute residuals:

$$\sum_{\substack{i: w_i \ge f(h_i; \{a_j\}_{j=0}^3) \\ + \sum_{i: y_i < f(x_i; \hat{C}, \hat{\alpha})}} \tau \left| w_i - f(h_i; \{a_j\}_{j=0}^3) \right|,$$
(2)

with weight  $w_i$  as the response variable, *i* as the index of an individual,  $f(h_i; \{a_j\}_{j=0}^3) = a_0 + a_1h_i + a_2h_i^2 + a_3h_i^3$  as the cubic polynomial (Equation 2). We determined the model parameters as  $\{\hat{a}_j\}_{j=0}^3$ . To align our analysis with the targeted quantile (*q*), we set  $\tau$  equal to q/100 while applying the *q*-th quantile regression. A simple search algorithm (80) was employed for parameter identification (see Ogata et al. (68) for details). See Figure 1 for an illustration of quantile regression used to analyze weight-for-height distribution.

Quantile regression yields credible estimates of centile (percentile) curves within the weight-for-height distributions (*solid lines* in Figure 2B). An alternative method for determining percentile points, involving the division of height into strata, is illustrated in Figure 2A; however, this approach fails to provide continuous weight percentile curves. In contrast, quantile regression, assuming a cubic function (*solid lines* in Figure 2B), aligns well with the height strata-based method (Figure 2A), establishing itself as a reasonable approach to estimating continuous weight percentile curves.

## **3** Results

### 3.1 Height and age dependence of underweight and overweight assessment

Figure 3 presents the estimated results for the proportion of underweight and overweight individuals across sexes for specific age subgroups, ranging from the bottom 2.5–25% of height and the top 75–97.5% of height. The expectation is that, in the absence of height dependence, the three curves in each panel in Figure 3 should coincide. Notably, for males aged 16 years and older and females aged 14 years and older, the percentage of underweight and overweight cases, as per BMI standards, must remain consistent regardless of height differences, suggesting that BMI mitigates height dependence post-maturation. However, during the rapid growth phases of children and adolescents, height and age dependence persist in underweight and overweight assessments.

As indicated in Figure 3, it is noteworthy that the BMI-based IOFT criteria exhibited age ranges characterized by pronounced height dependence. Specifically, underweight assessment using the IOFT criteria exhibited a marked height dependence in males aged 11–13 (Figures 3A, B) and females aged 9–11 (Figures 3G, H), with children in the lower 25th percentile for height being designated underweight five times more often than those in the upper 25th percentile. In 12-year-old males (Figure 3A) and 11-year-old females (Figure 3H), the short subgroup exhibited an eightfold percentage of grade 2 thinness than the tall subgroup. Similarly, overweight assessment using the IOFT criteria displayed a substantial height dependence in males aged 8–11 (Figures 3D, E) and females aged 7–10 (Figures 3J, K). In this context, children in



#### FIGURE 1

Illustration of quantile regression analysis for a weight-for-height distribution. (A) Using observed weight and height data, this analysis estimates a curve (*solid red line*) through the *q*th quantile point of the weight distribution for each height. (B) The *q*th quantile curve (*solid red line*) can be estimated by applying the asymmetric weights to the residuals from a fitted curve and minimizing the sum of weighted absolute residuals. In the case of q = 0.1, the negative residuals result in a nine times larger weight (i.e., 1 - q = 0.9) than the positive ones (i.e., q = 0.1).



the lower 25th percentile for height were identified as obese four or five times more frequently than their counterparts in the upper 25th percentile. In 9-year-old males (Figure 3E) and 6-year-old females (Figure 3K), the tall subgroup exhibited an eightfold percentage of grade 2 thinness than the short subgroup. Comparable distinctions were noted among males and females across various age brackets, albeit with reduced magnitude. The height dependence illustrated in Figure 3 remained consistent throughout the years spanning 2008–2019.

On the contrary, POW-based assessment exhibited significant age dependence. However, variation in the percentage of underweight and obese cases across height subgroups was limited to less than threefold. The outcomes of the POWbased assessment revealed remarkably low percentages of thin and obese individuals at age 5 (Figures 3C, F, I, L), which contradicts the findings from the evaluation of the IOFT criteria. Regarding underweight assessment, the percentage for 11-year-old males was ten times higher than that for 5-yearold males (Figure 3C), with comparable disparities observed between 12-year-old females and 5-year-old females (Figure 3I). Consistent age dependence was observed throughout the period 2008–2019. Thus, this age-related pattern may indicate cutoff positions rather than accurately representing the percentage of underweight individuals.



Establishing BMI-based criteria for thinness and fatness in children and adolescents involves considering the tail probability of BMI within specific sex and age cohorts (42, 81). This enables the identification of potential anomalies in weight and height combinations with low observation probability. To validate this approach, we computed the BMI distribution by sex and age, delineating cutoffs for grade 1 and grade 2 thinness, overweight, and obese, as illustrated in Figure 4. The corresponding tail probabilities (percentages) for these cutoffs were also determined. In Figure 4, the upper-tail probability for obese cutoffs ranged from 1.5-3.5% for males and 1.4-2.2% for females, while the overweight cutoffs varied from 7.1-15.7% for males and 9.3-12.3% for females. Both sexes exhibited a consistent upper-tail probability of about 2% for obese cutoffs and around 10% for overweight cutoffs. The thinness assessment showed a lower-tail probability of about 10% and 2% for grade 1 thinness and grade 2 thinness cutoffs, respectively, in both males and females.

We also examined the POW distribution categorized by sex and age, outlining the cutoffs for underweight and obesity, as illustrated in Figure 5. While the age dependence of the POW distribution may seem inconspicuous initially, a closer inspection reveals significant variations in tail probabilities across age groups. We observed that, for males, the upper-tail probability of obesity ranged from 2.7–11.8%, and thinness exhibited a lower-tail probability spanning 0.4–3.3%. In females, the upper-tail probability of obesity varied from 2.7–9.2%, while thinness demonstrated a lower-tail probability ranging from 0.5–4.2%. Notably, the assessment based on the constant cutoff of POW revealed a strong age dependence in tail probability, unveiling a pronounced asymmetry in the assessment of underweight and obese status.

We scrutinized the weight-for-height distribution across sexes and age brackets to unravel the intricate relationship between age and height within traditional standards. As illustrated in Figure 6 (see also Supplementary Figures S1–S26), we employed quantile regression to derive weight percentile curves, comparing with conventional cutoffs for thinness and fatness assessment. In Figure 6B, the gray shaded areas delineate the height distribution's 50%, 90%, and 99% central regions for 17-year-old males. The proximity and parallelism between centile and cutoff curves signify minimal height dependence in the assessment process. This examination sheds light on the nuanced interplay of height and age within conventional standards, adding depth to our understanding of weight-for-height dynamics.

In the two bottom right panels of Figures 7, 8, we observe that centile and cutoff curves exhibit near-parallel alignment for males and females aged 17. However, for other age groups, a pronounced height dependence of the cutoff becomes evident. The obese cutoff intersects multiple percentile curves within



the 80–99.5% range in the left panels showcasing IOFT cutoffs for 5-year-old and 9-year-old males in Figure 7 and females in Figure 8. This observation highlights a substantial heightdependent variation in the cutoff for these specific age groups. The POW criteria revealed shortcomings requiring attention, particularly in children under seven. One notable concern is the nonlinearity, represented by a curved shape, observed on the obese side of the centile curves (Figures 7, 8). This curvature introduces a height-dependent bias to the POW cutoff. Additionally, the cutoffs for underweight status fall below the 0.5% curve, indicating an excessively low threshold that warrants adjustment. Addressing these issues is crucial for refining the accuracy and reliability of the POW criteria, especially in assessing children under the age of seven.

# 3.2 Age dependence of 10th and 90th centiles in weight-for-height distribution

When assessing thinness in young children, recommended cutoffs for weight-for-height distribution, irrespective of age, have been proposed. Notably, the National Center for Health Statistics/WHO weight-for-height reference (82) is among such references. We critically examined the applicability of weight-for-height-based assessments for thinness in children aged 5–17. In Figures 9A–D, the 10th and 90th centile boundaries depict the range encompassing 2.5–97.5% of height at each age, providing a comprehensive visualization of the thinness assessment across different age groups.

As illustrated in Figures 9A, B, the 90th centile curves linked to obesity reveal a clear dependence on age and height. Consequently, relying solely on age-independent weight-for-height relationships for assessing obesity becomes impractical. In contrast, the 10th centile curve associated with thinness exhibits an age-independent structure, particularly noticeable in males under 14 and females under 12, as illustrated in Figures 9C, D. Further insight from Figures 9C, D suggests that the growth process in children can be categorized into at least two distinct phases. The initial growth phase is characterized by a uniform cutoff boundary independent of age. Specifically, the 10th centile curves for males aged 5-13 and females aged 5-11 converge into a single curve. The solid lines represent an approximate curve derived from a cubic function for the 10th centile of the weight-for-height distribution in males aged 5-13 (Figure 9C) and females aged 5-11 (Figure 9D), providing a clear visualization of these distinct growth phases. The estimated



Upper- and lower-tail probabilities (percent) corresponding to Japan's POW cutoffs by sex and age. The values (%) in each panel denote the percentiles of each POW distribution corresponding to the cutoffs. We analyzed data spanning 2008-2019.



2008-2019

function of the 10th centile cutoff for males was provided by

and for females was provided by

$$v = 18.94h^3 - 24.00h^2 + 14.89h + 3.74,$$
 (3)

$$w = 15.82h^3 - 18.01h^2 + 12.93h + 2.95,$$
 (4)

V



where *w* in Equations 3 and 4 represents body weight in kilograms (kg) and *h* represents height in meters (m). In the younger age groups, the cutoff obtained from the age-independent weight-for-height distribution (depicted by bottom *dotted lines* in Figures 9A, B) closely paralleled that established from the 10th centiles across each age bracket (depicted by bottom *solid black line* in Figures 9A, B). This alignment underscores the viability of using the lower centile of the weight-for-height distribution as an alternative method to delineate the cutoff within each cohort, specifically for males aged 5–13 and females aged 5–11.

The emergence of a second growth phase became noticeable in males aged 14 and older and females aged 12 and older. As depicted in Figures 9C, D, centile curves displayed a discernible upward shift within these age groups, indicating a dynamic progression. Consequently, the absence of a universally applicable cutoff curve independent of age became evident. The transition from the initial to the subsequent growth phases of the 10th centile curves might be attributed to the onset of secondary sexual characteristics in adolescence. Notably, as opposed to males, the 10th centile curves for females aged 16 and 17 exhibited striking similarity (lines overlap in Figures 9C, D), suggesting a near cessation of physical growth in females by age 16.

Suppose we consider using the rarity criterion based on the combination of weight and height to evaluate thinness and fatness; in that case, alternative assessment methods can be devised instead of relying solely on a BMI-based approach. For instance, the percentile position of a child could be quantitatively determined by plotting the measured weight and height on the centile curve space depicted in Figure 6 (refer to Supplementary Figures S1–S26; quick charts for the clinical assessment of thinness and obesity by sex and age). These graphical representations can assist clinicians and public health professionals determine a child's percentile position by graphing the measured weight and height on the centile curve space.

# 4 Discussion

Traditional assessments of thinness, underweight, overweight, and obesity have conventionally relied on the likelihood of weight/height combinations in the general population. In simpler terms, if a weight was observed with a perceived low likelihood of coexisting at a particular height, it prompted further scrutiny. This criterion lays the groundwork for the well-established BMI standard cutoffs for adults, a validity our analysis confirms for 17-year-olds approaching adulthood in both sexes. However, our quantile regression examination based on the IOFT criteria for underweight assessment unveiled marked height dependence in males aged 11-13 and females aged 10-11. Notably, a distinct bias surfaced at these specific ages, where children in the lower 25th percentile for height were classified as underweight five times more frequently than those in the upper 25th percentile. The overweight assessment also exhibited robust height dependence in males aged 8-11 and females aged 7-10. Children in the



lower 25th percentile for height were deemed obese four or five times more frequently than their counterparts in the upper 25th percentile. Our findings highlight the complexities of agedependent changes in body composition during the growth process in children, emphasizing the absence of gold standards for assessing underweight and overweight individuals in children and adolescents. Careful judgment is crucial in cases of short or tall stature at the same age.

A notable issue with the POW criterion is its ambiguous rationale: the POW distribution is age-dependent, making it impractical to use a constant value independent of age as the cutoff. The observed underestimation of the POW criteria, particularly in children seven and younger, is deemed unacceptable compared to the international BMI criteria assessment results. Therefore, at the very least, the POW cutoff should be modified to include age-dependent cutoffs to enhance its accuracy and relevance. The School Health Statistics Survey in Japan previously assumed that the weight-for-height distribution, categorized by sex and age, could be represented by a bivariate normal distribution, a regression line fitted to data within its 95% probability elliptic region modeled the standard weight-for-height relationship. However, our analysis-as depicted in Figures 7, 8-reveal that the weight-for-height distribution does not conform to a bivariate normal distribution for most children of both sexes. The standard weight-height relationship also exhibits a downward convex curve as age decreases. This challenges the mathematical expectation that all centile curves should be straight and parallel if a bivariate normal distribution approximates them (see Supplementary Figures S1–S26).

Underestimating the prevalence of underweight and obesity is particularly pronounced in individuals who are stunted or have shorter stature, and disparities in height due to geographical and ethnic variations further exacerbate this issue (83-89). The prevalence of stunting in children is alarmingly high, reaching 32% in Africa, 24% in Asia, and 11% in Latin America (90). Stunting is linked to severe adverse effects on child health and development, encompassing an elevated risk of morbidity, mortality, cognitive deficits, and potential long-term risk of metabolic syndrome in adulthood (91-95). The WHO has set an ambitious goal to reduce by 2025 the number of stunted children by 40% (96). However, this target may face formidable challenges, particularly in regions like sub-Saharan Africa, where the projected decrease in stunting prevalence is a mere 6% (from 38% to 32%) by 2025, with the actual number of stunted children expected to remain unchanged (97). On the opposite end of the spectrum, childhood obesity has reached epidemic proportions in developed countries, with 25% of US children being overweight and 11% classified as obese (98-100). Disturbingly, a notable 70% of obese adolescents are at risk of transitioning into obese adults (101, 102). The upward trajectory of childhood obesity has been consistent in developed nations since 1971 (98). Notably, obesity is linked to no less adverse outcomes in adulthood (103-105). This suggests that policies incorporating even slight discrepancies in the assessment of underweight and overweight status will affect a



significant number of children and adolescents. To avoid this, it is crucial to accurately assess underweight and overweight status in children and adolescents with short stature. Assessing underweight and overweight status in childhood and adolescence is vital to surveillance and prevention efforts. The present study provides a framework that underscores the importance of considering age, height dependence, and variations when making such assessments.

Improving growth and nutritional status during childhood and adolescence can positively influence current and future generations' wellbeing. Precise estimation of the malnutrition burden at a population scale is vital for guiding interventions and assessing progress over time. Although we commonly use international standards like those set by the IOTF, incorporating metrics such as BMI and POW in the case of Japan—both of which rely on weight-for-height relationship, there is a notable risk of arriving at misguided conclusions about adolescent prevalence estimates if the underlying methodological limitations of the indicators and references are not thoroughly considered. Notably, the present findings highlight a paradox in utilizing the weight-forheight relationship for assessing thinness and fatness in children and adolescents. The extremities of the weight distribution, representing individuals at the highest nutritional or clinical intervention risk, face the greatest potential for misclassification, especially during periods of rapid growth. Our results underscore the need for heightened caution in assessing significantly lighter and heavier individuals during the rapid growth phases of children and adolescents. We advocate against using a universally applicable metric for all ages and heights in this population; instead, a more stratified approach is warranted, considering the individual's height relative to their age.

Finally, the BMI criteria outlined by the WHO or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) exhibit slight variations in value compared to the criteria used by the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) in our study. These discrepancies lead to minor parallel shifts of the criterion curve in the weight-forheight distribution, as illustrated in Figures 7, 8. Consequently, any criterion derived from BMI is subject to similar biases as those discussed in this study.

In conclusion, we advocate for a discerning approach when employing anthropometric indicators, such as weight and height, to classify growth and nutritional status during childhood and adolescence. Stressing the importance of a broader perspective, we call for systematically collecting and considering information beyond anthropometry in policy and program decision-making. While recognizing limitations, we do not advocate for the outright dismissal of the IOTF or POW cutoffs. While the global nutrition community grapples with challenges, encompassing malnutrition in underdeveloped and developing nations and the obesity epidemic in developed nations, striving for a single international growth reference capturing universal childhood and adolescent growth patterns may not be prudent. We encourage nutrition researchers to prioritize exploring improved methods for assessing underweight and overweight status, particularly considering the age and height dependence of weight distributions in diverse populations.

### Data availability statement

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/restrictions: access to this data is controlled by Japan's Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) in accordance with the policy of the Japanese school health survey. The data are available with permission from Japanese MEXT.

### Author contributions

YI: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing—original draft. SN-O: Conceptualization, Writing—review & editing. MI: Formal analysis, Writing—review & editing. JK: Formal analysis, Writing—review & editing. NN: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing—review & editing. MK: Conceptualization, Writing—review & editing. HO: Conceptualization, Funding

## References

1. Abubakar A, Holding P, Van de Vijver FJ, Newton C, Van Baar A. Children at risk for developmental delay can be recognised by stunting, being underweight, ill health, little maternal schooling or high gravidity. *J Child Psychol Psychiat*. (2010) 51:652–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02193.x

2. Prentice AM, Ward KA, Goldberg GR, Jarjou LM, Moore SE, Fulford AJ, et al. Critical windows for nutritional interventions against stunting. *Am Clin Nutr.* (2013) 97:911–8. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.052332

3. Rogol AD, Clark PA, Roemmich JN. Growth and pubertal development in children and adolescents: Effects of diet and physical activity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2000) 72:521S–8S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/72.2.521S

4. Kulin HE, Bwibo N, Mutie D, Santner SJ. The effect of chronic childhood malnutrition on pubertal growth and development. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (1982) 36:527–36. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/36.3.527

5. Akseer N, Al-Gashm S, Mehta S, Mokdad A, Bhutta ZA. Global and regional trends in the nutritional status of young people: a critical and neglected age group. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2017) 1393:3–20. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13336

acquisition, Project administration, Writing—review & editing. MM: Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. KK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. MM was supported by the Center for Research in Human Movement Variability at the University of Nebraska at Omaha, funded by the NIH (P20GM109090). KK was supported by MHLW FA Program Grant (JPMH22FA1023) and JSPS KAKENHI Grant (23H01647).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024. 1379897/full#supplementary-material

6. Deka MK, Malhotra AK, Yadav R, Gupta S. Dietary pattern and nutritional deficiencies among urban adolescents. *J Family Med Primary Care.* (2015) 4:364. doi: 10.4103/2249-4863.161319

7. Bisset S, Fournier M, Pagani L, Janosz M. Predicting academic and cognitive outcomes from weight status trajectories during childhood. *Int J Obes*. (2013) 37:154–9. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2012.106

8. Gunstad J, Spitznagel MB, Paul RH, Cohen RA, Kohn M, Luyster FS, et al. Body mass index and neuropsychological function in healthy children and adolescents. *Appetite.* (2008) 50:246–51. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2007. 07.008

9. Bialo SR, Gordon CM. Underweight, overweight, and pediatric bone fragility: Impact and management. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* (2014) 12:319–28. doi: 10.1007/s11914-014-0226-z

10. Hanafy NM, Elsehaimy LA, Alzokm SM, Abd El-Raheem SI. Bone mineral density and risk factors of osteoporosis in children. *Egyptian Rheumatol.* (2022) 44:257–60. doi: 10.1016/j.ejr.2022.01.003

11. Cunningham-Rundles S, McNeeley DF, Moon A. Mechanisms of nutrient modulation of the immune response. J Aller Clin Immunol. (2005) 115:1119–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2005.04.036

12. Dobner J, Kaser S. Body mass index and the risk of infection-from underweight to obesity. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* (2018) 24:24–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.02.013

13. Christian P, Smith ER. Adolescent undernutrition: global burden, physiology, and nutritional risks. *Ann Nutr Metabol.* (2018) 72:316–28. doi: 10.1159/0004 88865

14. Mason J, Bailes A, Beda-Andourou M, Copeland N, Curtis T, Deitchler M, et al. Recent trends in malnutrition in developing regions: vitamin A deficiency, anemia, iodine deficiency, and child underweight. *Food Nutr Bull.* (2005) 26:59–108. doi: 10.1177/156482650502600108

15. Chen MY, Yang YJ. Being underweight is an independent risk factor for poor outcomes among acutely critically ill children. *Nutr Clin Pract.* (2018) 33:433–8. doi: 10.1177/0884533617712225

16. Do JG, Park CH, Lee YT, Yoon KJ. Association between underweight and pulmonary function in 282,135 healthy adults: a cross-sectional study in Korean population. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:14308. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-50488-3

17. Kao AM, Arnold MR, Prasad T, Schulman AM. The impact of abnormal BMI on surgical complications after pediatric colorectal surgery. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2019) 54:2300–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2019.04.020

18. O'Byrne ML, Kim S, Hornik CP, Yerokun BA, Matsouaka RA, Jacobs JP, et al. Effect of obesity and underweight status on perioperative outcomes of congenital heart operations in children, adolescents, and young adults: an analysis of data from the society of thoracic surgeons database. *Circulation*. (2017) 136:704–18. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026778

19. Jokela M, Kivimäki M, Elovainio M, Viikari J, Raitakari OT, Keltikangas-Järvinen L. Body mass index in adolescence and number of children in adulthood. Epidemiology. (2007) 18:599–606. doi: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181257158

20. Laru J, Nedelec R, Koivuaho E, Ojaniemi M, Järvelin MR, Tapanainen J, et al. BMI in childhood and adolescence is associated with impaired reproductive functiona population-based cohort study from birth to age 50 years. *Hum Reproduct.* (2021) 36:2948–61. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deab164

21. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet*. (2008) 371:75–84. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4

22. Han Z, Mulla S, Beyene J, Liao G, McDonald SD. Maternal underweight and the risk of preterm birth and low birth weight: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40:65–101. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq195

23. Han Z, Lutsiv O, Mulla S, McDonald SD, Group KS, et al. Maternal height and the risk of preterm birth and low birth weight: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *J Obstetr Gynaecol Canada*. (2012) 34:721–46. doi: 10.1016/S1701-2163(16)35337-3

24. Pedersen DC, Bjerregaard LG, Rasmussen KM, Nohr EA, Baker JL. Associations of maternal birth weight, childhood height, BMI, and change in height and BMI from childhood to pregnancy with risks of preterm delivery. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2022) 115:1217–26. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqab416

25. Bjørge T, Engeland A, Tverdal A, Smith GD. Body mass index in adolescence in relation to cause-specific mortality: A follow-up of 230,000 Norwegian adolescents. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2008) 168:30–37. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwn096

26. Olofin I, McDonald CM, Ezzati M, Flaxman S, Black RE, Fawzi WW, et al. Associations of suboptimal growth with all-cause and cause-specific mortality in children under five years: a pooled analysis of ten prospective studies. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e64636. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064636

27. Strand BH, Kuh D, Shah I, Guralnik J, Hardy R. Childhood, adolescent and early adult body mass index in relation to adult mortality: results from the British 1946 birth cohort. *J Epidemiol Commun Health*. (2010) 66:225. doi: 10.1136/jech.2010.110155

28. Falkner B. Children and adolescents with obesity-associated high blood pressure. J Am Soc Hypertens. (2008) 2:267–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2008.01.003

29. Paradis G, Lambert M, O'Loughlin J, Lavallée C, Aubin J, Delvin E, et al. Blood pressure and adiposity in children and adolescents. *Circulation*. (2004) 110:1832–8. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000143100.31752.B7

30. Ribeiro J, Guerra S, Pinto A, Oliveira J, Duarte J, Mota J. Overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: relationship with blood pressure, and physical activity. *Ann Hum Biol.* (2003) 30:203–13. doi: 10.1080/0301446021000054587

31. Boyd GS, Koenigsberg J, Falkner B, Gidding S, Hassink S. Effect of obesity and high blood pressure on plasma lipid levels in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. (2005) 116:442–6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-1877

32. Williams DP, Going SB, Lohman TG, Harsha DW, Srinivasan SR, Webber LS, et al. Body fatness and risk for elevated blood pressure, total cholesterol, and serum lipoprotein ratios in children and adolescents. *Am J Public Health*. (1992) 82:358–63. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.82.3.358

33. Bjerregaard LG, Jensen BW, Ängquist L, Osler M, Sørensen TI, Baker JL. Change in overweight from childhood to early adulthood and risk of type 2 diabetes. *New Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:1302–12. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1713231

34. Hannon TS, Rao G, Arslanian SA. Childhood obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics*. (2005) 116:473-80. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-2536

35. Goran MI, Ball GD, Cruz ML. Obesity and risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in children and adolescents. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (2003) 88:1417–27. doi: 10.1210/jc.2002-021442

36. Narayanan A, Yogesh A, Mitchell RB, Johnson RF. Asthma and obesity as predictors of severe obstructive sleep apnea in an adolescent pediatric population. *Laryngoscope*. (2020) 130:812–7. doi: 10.1002/lary.28029

37. Tauman R, Gozal D. Obesity and obstructive sleep apnea in children. *Paediatr Respir Rev.* (2006) 7:247–59. doi: 10.1016/j.prrv.2006.08.003

38. Verhulst SL, Aerts L, Jacobs S, Schrauwen N, Haentjens D, Claes R, et al. Sleepdisordered breathing, obesity, and airway inflammation in children and adolescents. *Chest.* (2008) 134:1169–75. doi: 10.1378/chest.08-0535

39. Kessler JI, Jacobs JC, Cannamela PC, Shea KG, Weiss JM. Childhood obesity is associated with osteochondritis dissecans of the knee, ankle, and elbow in children and adolescents. *J Pediatric Orthopaedics*. (2018) 38:e296–9. doi:10.1097/BPO.00000000001158

40. Krul M, van der Wouden JC, Schellevis FG, van Suijlekom-Smit LW, Koes BW. Musculoskeletal problems in overweight and obese children. *Ann Family Med.* (2009) 7:352–356. doi: 10.1370/afm.1005

41. Taylor ED, Theim KR, Mirch MC, Ghorbani S, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Adler-Wailes DC, et al. Orthopedic complications of overweight in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics.* (2006) 117:2167–74. doi: 10.1542/peds.2005-1832

42. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: International survey. *BMJ*. (2000) 320:1240. doi: 10.1136/bmj.320.7244.1240

43. Conde WL, Monteiro CA. Body mass index cutoff points for evaluation of nutritional status in Brazilian children and adolescents. *J Pediatr.* (2006) 82:266–72. doi: 10.2223/JPED.1502

44. Li Z, Kim R, Vollmer S, Subramanian S. Factors associated with child stunting, wasting, and underweight in 35 low-and middle-income countries. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2020) 3:e203386. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3386

45. Lister NB, Baur LA, Felix JF, Hill AJ, Marcus C, Reinehr T, et al. Child and adolescent obesity. Nat Rev Dis Prim. (2023) 9:24. doi: 10.1038/s41572-023-00435-4

46. Phelps NH, Singleton RK, Zhou B, Heap RA, Mishra A, Bennett JE, et al. Worldwide trends in underweight and obesity from 1990 to 2022: a pooled analysis of 3663 population-representative studies with 222 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet.* (2024) 403:16–22. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)02750-2

47. Rachmi CN, Agho KE Li M, Baur LA. Stunting, underweight and overweight in children aged 2. 0-49 years in Indonesia: Prevalence trends and associated risk factors. *PLoS ONE*. (2016) 11:e0154756. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154756

48. Wang Y, Lobstein T. Worldwide trends in childhood overweight and obesity. Int J Pediatr Obes. (2006) 1:11–25. doi: 10.1080/17477160600586747

49. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). About child & teen BMI. (2022). Available online at: https://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/childrens\_bmi/about\_childrens\_bmi.html (accessed February 14, 2024).

50. Dietz WH. Health consequences of obesity in youth: childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics.* (1998) 101:518–525. doi: 10.1542/peds.101.S2.518

51. Ogden CL, Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in overweight among US children and adolescents, 1999-2000. JAMA. (2002) 288:1728-32. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.14.1728

52. World Health Organization (WHO). *Growth reference data for 5-19 years*. (2022). Available online at: https://www.who.int/toolkits/growth-reference-data-for-5to19-years (accessed February 14, 2024).

53. He Q, Karlberg J, BMI. in childhood and its association with height gain, timing of puberty, and final height. *Pediatr Res.* (2001) 49:244–51. doi: 10.1203/00006450-200102000-00019

54. Han T, Seidell J, Currall J, Morrison C, Deurenberg P, Lean M. The influences of height and age on waist circumference as an index of adiposity in adults. *Int J Obes.* (1997) 21:83–90. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0800371

55. Flegal KM, Ogden CL, Yanovski JA, Freedman DS, Shepherd JA, Graubard BI, et al. High adiposity and high body mass index-for-age in US children and adolescents overall and by race-ethnic group. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2010) 91:1020-6. doi: 10.3945/aicn.2009.28589

56. Freedman DS, Wang J, Thornton JC, Mei Z, Pierson Jr RN, Dietz WH, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in body fatness among children and adolescents. *Obesity*. (2008) 16:1105–11. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.30

57. Gallagher D, Visser M, Sepulveda D, Pierson RN, Harris T, Heymsfield SB. How useful is body mass index for comparison of body fatness across age, sex, and ethnic groups? *Am J Epidemiol.* (1996) 143:228–39. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a008733

58. Heymsfield SB, Peterson CM, Thomas DM, Heo M, Schuna Jr J. Why are there race/ethnic differences in adult body mass index-adiposity relationships? A quantitative critical review. *Obes Rev.* (2016) 17:262–75. doi: 10.1111/obr.12358

59. Camhi SM, Bray GA, Bouchard C, Greenway FL, Johnson WD, Newton RL, et al. The relationship of waist circumference and BMI to visceral, subcutaneous, and total body fat: sex and race differences. *Obesity*. (2011) 19:402–8. doi: 10.1038/oby.2010.248

60. Power ML, Schulkin J. Sex differences in fat storage, fat metabolism, and the health risks from obesity: possible evolutionary origins. *Br J Nutr.* (2008) 99:931–40. doi: 10.1017/S0007114507853347

61. Staiano A, Katzmarzyk P. Ethnic and sex differences in body fat and visceral and subcutaneous adiposity in children and adolescents. *Int J Obes*. (2012) 36:1261–9. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2012.95

62. Johnson W, Li L, Kuh D, Hardy R. How has the age-related process of overweight or obesity development changed over time? Co-ordinated analyses of individual participant data from five United Kingdom birth cohorts. *PLoS Med.* (2015) 12:e1001828. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001828

63. Silva AM, Shen W, Heo M, Gallagher D, Wang Z, Sardinha LB, et al. Ethnicityrelated skeletal muscle differences across the lifespan. *Am J Hum Biol.* (2010) 22:76–82. doi: 10.1002/ajhb.20956

64. Meeuwsen S, Horgan G, Elia M. The relationship between BMI and percent body fat, measured by bioelectrical impedance, in a large adult sample is curvilinear and influenced by age and sex. *Clin Nutr.* (2010) 29:560–6. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2009.12.011

65. Müller MJ, Braun W, Enderle J, Bosy-Westphal A. Beyond BMI: conceptual issues related to overweight and obese patients. *Obesity Facts.* (2016) 9:193–205. doi: 10.1159/000445380

66. Rothman KJ. BMI-related errors in the measurement of obesity. Int J Obes. (2008) 32:S56-9. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2008.87

67. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH. Cause-specific excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. *JAMA*. (2007) 298:2028–37. doi: 10.1001/jama.298.17.2028

68. Ogata H, Nose-Ogura S, Nagai N, Kayaba M, Isoyama Y, Joao, et al. Allometric multi-scaling of weight-for-height relation in children and adolescents: Revisiting the theoretical basis of body mass index of thinness and obesity assessment. (2024) *bioRxiv*, 2024-03. doi: 10.1101/2024.03.13.584792

69. Cole TJ, Lobstein T. An improved algorithm to harmonize child overweight and obesity prevalence rates. *Pediatr Obes.* (2023) 18:e12970. doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12970

70. Asayama K, Ozeki T, Sugihara S, Ito K, Okada T, Tamai H, et al. Criteria for medical intervention in obese children: a new definition of 'obesity disease' in Japanese children. *Pediatr Int.* (2003) 45:642–6. doi: 10.1046/j.1442-200X.2003. 01795.x

71. Isojima T, Yokoya S. The value of anthropometric indices for childhood obesity in Japan. *Ann Hum Biol.* (2019) 46:293–7. doi: 10.1080/03014460.2019.1643404

72. Okuda M, Sugiyama S, Kunitsugu I, Hinoda Y, Okuda Y, Shirabe K, et al. Use of body mass index and percentage overweight cutoffs to screen Japanese children and adolescents for obesity-related risk factors. *J Epidemiol*. (2010) 20:46–53. doi: 10.2188/jea.JE20090036

73. Shirasawa T, Ochiai H, Nanri H, Nishimura R, Ohtsu T, Hoshino H, et al. Trends of underweight and overweight/obesity among Japanese schoolchildren from 2003 to 2012, defined by body mass index and percentage overweight cutoffs. J Epidemiol. (2015) 25:482–8. doi: 10.2188/jea.JE20140144

74. The Japanese society for pediatric endocrinology physical evaluation of Japanese children (2020). Available online at: http://jspe.umin.jp/medical/taikaku.html (accessed February 14, 2024).

75. Matsuda T, Kuroyanagi Y, Okasora K, Takitani K, Takaya R, Ashida A. Percentage of overweight is overestimated in children of short stature. *Pediatr Int.* (2022) 64:e14985. doi: 10.1111/ped.14985

76. Abe Y, Kikuchi T, Nagasaki K, Hiura M, Tanaka Y, Ogawa Y, et al. Lower birth weight associated with current overweight status is related with the metabolic syndrome in obese Japanese children. *Hypert Res.* (2007) 30:627–34. doi:10.1291/hypres.30.627

77. Silverman B, Young G. The bootstrap: To smooth or not to smooth? *Biometrika*. (1987) 74:469–79. doi: 10.1093/biomet/74.3.469

78. Scott DW. Multivariate Density Estimation: Theory, Practice, and Visualization. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons. (2015). doi: 10.1002/9781118575574

79. Koenker R, Bassett G. Regression quantiles. *Econometrica*. (1978) 46:33-50. doi: 10.2307/1913643

80. Nelder JA, Mead R. A simplex method for function minimization. *Comput J.* (1965) 7:308–13. doi: 10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308

81. Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AA. Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in children and adolescents: International survey. *BMJ*. (2007) 335:194. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39238.399444.55

82. Jelliffe DB, Organization WH. The Assessment of the Nutritional Status of the Community. Geneva, Switzermand: World Health Organization (1966).

83. Bonthuis M, Jager KJ, Abu-Hanna A, Verrina E, Schaefer F, van Stralen KJ. Application of body mass index according to height-age in short and tall children. *PLoS ONE*. (2013) 8:e72068. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072068

84. Chambers M, Tanamas SK, Clark EJ, Dunnigan DL, Kapadia CR, Hanson RL, et al. Growth tracking in severely obese or underweight children. *Pediatrics.* (2017) 140:e20172248. doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-2248

85. de Wilde J, Dekker M, Middelkoop B. BMI-for-age in South Asian children of 0-20 years in the Netherlands: secular changes and misclassification by WHO growth references. *Ann Hum Biol.* (2018) 45:116–22. doi: 10.1080/03014460.2018.1445288

86. de Wilde J, Peters-Koning M, Middelkoop B. Misclassification of stunting, underweight and wasting in children 0-5 years of South Asian and Dutch descent: Ethnic-specific v. WHO criteria. *Public Health Nutr.* (2020) 23:2078–87. doi:10.1017/S1368980019004464

87. Himes JH. Challenges of accurately measuring and using BMI and other indicators of obesity in children. *Pediatrics.* (2009) 124:s3-s22. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-3586D

88. Naga Rajeev L, Saini M, Kumar A, Sinha S, Osmond C, Sachdev HS. Weightfor-height is associated with an overestimation of thinness burden in comparison to BMI-for-age in under-5 populations with high stunting prevalence. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2022) 51:1012–21. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab238

89. Reilly J, Dorosty A, Emmett P. Identification of the obese child: Adequacy of the body mass index for clinical practice and epidemiology. *Int J Obes*. (2000) 24:1623–7. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0801436

90. UNICEF, World Health Organiation, World Bank. *Global and regional trends by UN regions: Stunting 1990-2025.* (2015). Available online at: http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.NUTUNREGIONS?lang=en (accessed February 14, 2024).

91. Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, De Onis M, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet.* (2013) 382:427–51. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60937-X

92. de Onis M, Blössner M, Borghi E. Prevalence and trends of stunting among pre-school children, 1990-2020. *Public Health Nutr.* (2012) 15:142-148. doi: 10.1017/S1368980011001315

93. DeBoer MD, Lima AA, Oría RB, Scharf RJ, Moore SR, Luna MA, et al. Early childhood growth failure and the developmental origins of adult disease: Do enteric infections and malnutrition increase risk for the metabolic syndrome? *Nutr Rev.* (2012) 70:642–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2012.00543.x

94. Dewey KG, Begum K. Long-term consequences of stunting in early life. *Maternal Child Nutr.* (2011) 7:5–18. doi: 10.1111/j.1740-8709.2011.00349.x

95. Prendergast AJ, Humphrey JH. The stunting syndrome in developing countries. *Paediatr Int Child Health*. (2014) 34:250–65. doi: 10.1179/2046905514Y.0000000158

96. de Onis M, Dewey KG, Borghi E, Onyango AW, Blössner M, Daelmans B, et al. The World Health Organization's global target for reducing childhood stunting by 2025: Rationale and proposed actions. *Maternal Child Nutr.* (2013) 9:6–26. doi: 10.1111/mcn.12075

97. Mosites E, Dawson-Hahn E, Walson J, Rowhani-Rahbar A, Neuhouser ML. Piecing together the stunting puzzle: a framework for attributable factors of child stunting. *Paediatr Int Child Health.* (2017) 37:158–65. doi: 10.1080/20469047.2016.1230952

98. Dehghan M, Akhtar-Danesh N, Merchant AT. Childhood obesity, prevalence and prevention. *Nutr J.* (2005) 4:24. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-4-24

99. Han JC, Lawlor DA, Kimm SY. Childhood obesity. Lancet. (2010) 375:1737-48. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60171-7

100. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011-2012. JAMA. (2014) 311:806-14. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.732

101. Parsons TJ, Power C, Logan S, Summerbelt C. Childhood predictors of adult obesity: a systematic review. *Int J Obes*. (1999) 23:S1–S107.

102. Whitaker RC, Wright JA, Pepe MS, Seidel KD, Dietz WH. Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *New Engl J Med.* (1997) 337:869–73. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199709253371301

103. Must A, Strauss RS. Risks and consequences of childhood and adolescent obesity. *Int J Obes*. (1999) 23:S2–S11. doi: 10.1038/sj/ijo/0800852

104. Reilly JJ. Descriptive epidemiology and health consequences of childhood obesity. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metabol. (2005) 19:327–41. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2005.04.002

105. Weiss R, Caprio S. The metabolic consequences of childhood obesity. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (2005) 19:405–19. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2005.04.009