Nutritional and Quality Content of Biscuits Made from Plantain, Chara Pona Fish, and Acha Flour Blends

Ayo, J. A [a*], Yunusa, B.M. [a], Oyedele D.S [b], Okpasu, A A [a], Bello, F. O [c] and Tyolumun, M. [a]

[a] *Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University Wukari, Wukari, Nigeria.*
[b] *Department of Food Science and Technology, Joseph Ayo Babalola University, Ikei-Arakeji, Nigeria.*
[c] *Department of Home Science, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The high cost and demand for wheat flour necessitate exploring alternative ingredients like acha and plantain. Combining these with chara pona fish flour could enhance the nutritional value of biscuits while promoting the utilization of underused crops. Plantain (*Musa balbisiana,),* charapona (*Labeorohita*) fish flour and acha (*Dititaria exilis*) based biscuits were produced. Plantain and acha flours were substituted in acha flour at 5:5, 10:5, 15:5, 15:10 and 15:15% with 100% wheat, acha and plantain flours respectively as controls. The biscuits were then evaluated for the proximate composition, minerals and vitamins and sensory properties. The result of the study indicates the break strength and thickness increases while diameter, volume, weight and density of the biscuits samples decreased as the level of plantain and fish flour blends increases. The bulk density

_____

*Corresponding author: Email: jeromeayo@gmail.com;

decreased whereas the foaming capacity, water absorption capacity and oil absorption capacity of the blend flours increased with increasing level of inclusion. The crude protein, fat, ash and crude fibre content increased while moisture and carbohydrate decrease from 77.46-67.76 with increase substitution of plantain and fish flour. The potassium and calcium increased from 208.17-325 mg/100g while magnesium decreased from 34.06 to 33.97 with addition of plantain and fish flour. Vitamin A, vitamins B1, and B2, of the flour blends biscuits increased from 0.61-0.86, 0.43-0.65 and 0.12-0.18 mg/100g respectively with increase in the level of plantain and fish flour. The acha-plantain and charapona fish blends biscuits were more acceptable up to 15:15%, but most of it was preferred at 75:15:10% added plantain, charapona fish and acha flours blends. The plantain and charapona fish flour could be used to enrich the quality of food products.

1. INTRODUCTION

Biscuits, a widely consumed and convenient snack, offer varying nutritional values depending on the flour used [1]. In Nigeria, especially among children, biscuits are a staple food item, commonly made from flour, fat, sugar, and water, with optional ingredients to enhance flavor and texture. The selection of ingredients and production methods, such as roll and cut, shape and slice, and scoop and bake, play a crucial role in biscuit quality [2]. Acha (digitaria exilis), a nutrient-rich cereal from West Africa, is underutilized despite its high protein and amino acid content [3]. It is traditionally grown in regions like Central Nigeria and has significant nutritional benefits, including essential minerals like iron, calcium, and phosphorus [4]. Acha is versatile, often used in local dishes and could be incorporated into biscuits and other products [3]. Plantain (musa balbisiana), another underexploited crop, is rich in carbohydrates, fiber, vitamins, and minerals. Widely produced in sub-Saharan Africa [5], plantain flour is a valuable ingredient for biscuits due to its nutritional profile and health benefits, such as lowering cholesterol and reducing disease risks [6]. Fish, particularly chara pona (labeo rohita), offers high-quality proteins and omega-3 fatty acids, making it a valuable nutritional component. Despite its benefits, the use of fish in biscuit production is limited by challenges in processing and maintaining quality. The high cost and demand for wheat flour necessitate exploring alternative ingredients like acha and plantain [7]. Combining these with chara pona fish flour could enhance the nutritional value of biscuits while promoting the utilization of underused crops. This study aims to evaluate the quality and acceptability of biscuits made from blends of acha, plantain, and chara pona fish flours, potentially offering a nutritious and cost-effective alternative to traditional wheat flour biscuits.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

The ingredietnts that were used in this study included Acha grains (*Digitaria exilis*), plantain (*Musa balbisiana*), chara pona fish, wheat flour, baking powder (double-acting), salt (palm salt), baking fats, sugar (Dangote), water (portable water) all was purchase in Wukari New Market , Wukari Local Government Taraba State.

2.1.1 Preparation of acha flour

Cream coloured acha was washed with portable water to remove dirts, de-stoned (sedimentation method) and sun dried (3 days). The dried acha was milled into flour (attrition mill) and sieve (0.2mm screen size) to produce acha flour [8]

2.1.2 Preparation of plantain flour

Plantains (*Musa paradisiaca)* was peeled manually (using stainless steel kitchen knives), pulp was sliced (1.5mm thickness), oven dried(50°C), milled (hammer mill) and sieved (0.2mm) to produce plantain flour [9].

2.1.3 Production of fish flour

The chara pona fish was washed with potable water, sun dried (3days), milled (attrition mill) and sieved (0.2mm screen size) to produce fish flour [10].

2.1.4 Production of acha– plantain-chara pona fish flour blend biscuits

Biscuits was prepared using a method as described by Jagarlamudi [11] with some variations in the recipe. The dry ingredients as shown in Table 1., were carefully mixed in a bowl by hand for 3minutes to produce batter. The batter was rolled and cut using biscuit cutter, arranged on oil greased baking trays, and (baked

at 180°C for 25min in the baking oven) to produce flour blend biscuits. The biscuits were cooled down to room temperature (38°C) and packed without air in a polyethylene material.

2.2 Analytical Methods

2.2.1 Determination of functional properties of acha– plantain-chara pona fish flour blends

2.2.1.1 Determination of bulk density

A 5 g flour sample was put into 100ml measuring cylinder. The cylinder was tapped continuously until a constant volume was obtained. The bulk density (g/cm³) was calculated as weight of flour (g) divided by flour volume (cm³) [12]

$$\text{Bulk density} = \frac{\text{weight of sample (g)}}{\text{Volume of sample (ml (1)}} \quad (1)$$

2.2.1.2 Water absorption capacities

Oil and water absorption capacity was determined as described by Oyet and Chibor [13]. One gram of the sample was added to 3ml of water/corn oil in a 15ml conical graduated centrifuge tube. The emulsion was mixed with a vortex mixer for 2 min, incubated at room temperature for 30 min and later centrifuge at 4896 x g for 25 min. After centrifuging, the supernatant was decanted, dried and weighed and calculated as in Equ, 2.

$$\text{Water absorption capacity (g / g)} = \frac{\text{Density of water x volume absorbe}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \quad (2)$$

2.2.1.3 Determination of Oil Absorption Capacity (OAC)

Oil absorption capacity of samples was determined as described by Makanjuola, and Adebowale [14]. One (1 g) of sample was mixed with vegetable oil (specific gravity 0.9092) and allowed to stand at room temperature (30±2 °C) for 30 minutes, then centrifuged for 30 minutes at

3000 rpm. Oil absorption was calculated as Equ.3

$$\text{OAC (mL/g)} = \frac{m2}{m1} \quad (3)$$

2.2.1.4 Determination of emulsion capacity emulsion capacity and stability

Emulsion capacity and stability was determined as described by Zidani and Boudraa [15]. Emulsions were formed inside a 600 ml beaker using a continuous stirring apparatus. The sample (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.00 and 1.25 g) was dissolved in 25 ml of distilled water making 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5% slurries (w/v), respectively. pH adjustment was made to ensure maximum solubilisation of the protein. The mixture was stirred for 30 min in order to disperse the sample. After then, oil was injected from a burette at a rate of 1.00 ml/s until the emulsion collapsed, as seen by a sudden drop in motor current. The volume of oil added up to inversion point was noted and the emulsion capacity expressed as ml oil per g of sample. The emulsion stability was determined by allowing the emulsion prepared to stand in a graduated cylinder and the volume of oil separated at time of 0.00, 0.5, 1, 2, 3 up to 24 h was noted in each case and calculated using Equs. 4 and 5.

$$\text{EC (\%)} = \frac{V2}{ME} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{ES (\%)} = \frac{\text{Height of the emulsified layer}}{\text{Height of the total content}} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

2.2.1.5 Foam capacity and foam stability

The method described by Mshayisa [16] was used for the determination of foaming capacity (FC) and foaming stability (FS). Two grams of flour sample was added to 50 ml distilled water at 30 ± 2°C in a 100 ml measuring cylinder. The suspension was mixed using glass rod and was properly shaken to foam and the volume of the

Table 1. Formulation of acha- plantain, acha- chara pona fish composite biscuit

Sample	Acha flour (%)	Plantain flour (%)	Fish flour (%)	Wheat (%)
A	100	-	-	-
B	-	100	-	-
C	90	5	5	-
D	85	10	5	-
E	80	15	5	-
F	75	15	10	-
G	70	15	15	-
H	-	-	-	100

foaming capacity after 30 seconds (s) was recorded. The foaming capacity was expressed as the percentage was increased in volume mixed thoroughly and was analysed using the Rapid Visco Analyser (RVA) using the manufacturer recommended parameters**.**

2.2.1.6 Swelling capacity

his was determined by the method described by *Heydari et al. [17])* with modification for small samples. One gram of the flour sample was mixed with 10 ml distilled water in a centrifuge tube and heated at 80ºC for 30 min. This was continually shaken during the heating period, the suspension was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm, decanted and the paste was weighed. The swelling power was calculated as indicated Equ. 6

Swelling power = weight of the paste/weight of dry flour.

$$\text{Swelling index (ml/ g)} = \frac{\text{water absorbed (ml)}}{\text{Weight of dry sample (g)}} \quad (6)$$

2.2.2 Physical properties of composite biscuits

2.2.2.1 Spread ratio

"The spread ratio was calculated as diameter of biscuits divided by height" [18]. "Two rows of five well-formed biscuits were made and the height was measured, arranged horizontally edge to edge and the sum of the diameters was also measured as well" [18].

2.2.2.2 Break strength

Oyet and Chibor[19]method was used. Biscuit of known thickness was placed centrally between two parallel metal bars (3 cm apart). Weights were added on the biscuit until the biscuit snapped. The least weight that caused the breaking of the biscuit was regarded as the break strength of the biscuit.

2.2.2.3 Thickness and weight

Thickness of the biscuit sample was determined using a venire calliper, while the weight of the sample was determined with a top loading balance Oyet and Chibor [19].

2.2.3 Determination of chemical composition

2.2.3.1 Proximate composition analysis

Nutrient composition of the food samples was determined in triplicate using the standard procedures of Association of Official Analytical Chemists [20] as follows:

2.2.3.2 Determination of moisture contents

Determination of Moisture Content Two (2g) of each sample was weighed inside a clean dried crucible (W1) and was dried at 60°C in a hot stimulating oven for 24 hours to a constant weight. It was cooled in desiccators for 30 minutes and weighed (W2). The crucible was washed, dried in the oven and the weight was recorded (W0) [21].

$$\text{Moisture content (\%)} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1 \, W_0} \times 100 \quad (7)$$

Where;

W1 = weight of sample + empty crucible
W0 = weight of empty crucible
W2 = weight of dried sample + empty crucible

2.2.3.3 Determination of crude protein

Determination of crude protein The crude protein content (N x 6.25) was determined as described by D'Hooghe et al. [22]. A quantity (0.5 g) of each sample was added to 10 ml of conc. sulphurics acid and 1g of the catalyst mixture. It was then heated cautiously on digestion rack under fume hood until a greenish clear solution appeared, cooled, and then made up to 50 ml with distilled water. The digested sample was transferred into distillation apparatus and distilled. 10 ml of the distillate was titrated with 0.1M HCL to first pink colour. The nitrogen content was calculated and multiply by the factor to obtain the protein content.

2.2.3.4 Determination of crude fiber

"Two (2g) gram of the sample was defatted with 99% ethanol, boiled under reflux for 30 minutes with 200 ml of a solution containing 1.25 g of H_2SO_4 per 100 ml of solution" [23]. "And was filtered with Whatmann No 1 filter paper, washed with boiled water until the washing was no longer acid. The residue was transferred to a beaker and boiled for 30 minutes with 200ml of a solution containing 1.25g of carbonate free

sodium hydroxide per 100ml. It was filtered and transferred into a crucible. The residue was dried in the oven at 600C in a muffle furnace and the dried weight recorded" [23].

$$\text{Crude fiber (\%)} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_0} \text{x } 100 \qquad (8)$$

Where,

W_0 = weight of sample (g)
W_1 = weight of dried sample (g)
W_2 = weight of ash sample (g)

2.2.3.5 Determination of crude fat

"Crude fat was determined by exhaustively extracting each sample in petroleum ether in a soxhlet extractor. The weighed sample (W0) was poured into a thimble and covered with a clean white cotton wool. 99% ethanol (200 ml) was poured into a 250 ml extraction flask which was previously dried in the oven at 1050C for 30 minutes and weighed (W2). Extraction was done for 5 hours. And was cooled in desiccators and reweighed (W1)" [23].

$$\text{Crude fat (\%)} = W_1 \text{-} W_2 \text{ x } 100 \text{ / } W_0 \qquad (9)$$

2.2.3.6 Determination of ash content

Two(2 g) gram sample was transferred into a previously heated, cooled and weighed crucible (W0) and then weighed (w1). It was placed into a Gallenkamp muffle furnace(550°C for 3 hours), cooled(in desiccators) and weighed (W2) [24].

$$\% \text{ Ash } = \frac{W_2 - W_0}{W_1 - W_0} \text{ x } \frac{100}{1} \qquad (10)$$

2.2.3.7 Determination of carbohydrate content

Carbohydrate content was determined by difference using the method described by Ikafa et al. [24]. Total carbohydrate content of the samples was calculated (subtracting the sum of percent moisture, crude protein, crude fibre, crude fat, and ash from 100%).

2.2.4 Micronutrients analysis

2.2.4.1 Determination of minerals

2.2.4.1.1 Calcium

Calcium was determined by titrimetric method after precipitation as calcium oxalate as outlined by Stanković et al. [25]. About 5 mL of samples

was mixed with 1 mL of ammonium oxalate solution. pH was adjusted to 8 using ammonium hydroxide solution and adjusted again to 5 using dilute acetic acid. The mixtures was allowed to stand for 4 hours, centrifuged and decanted. About 2 mL dilute sulphuric acid was added and heated. Titration was then carried out using 0.02 N potassium permanganate (1 mL = 0.0004g Ca) until colour changes. Calcium was calculated using Equ. 11

$$Calcium = \frac{A_s \text{ x } C_{ss} \text{ x } D_f}{A_{ss} \text{ } X \text{ } S_V} \text{ x } 100 \qquad (11)$$

Where A_s is absorbance of sample, C_{ss} is concentration of standard solution, D_f is Dilution factor, A_{ss} is aborbance of standard solution and S_v is sample volume.

2.2.4.1.2 Magnesium

Colourimetric method was used to determined magnesium content as described by Stanković et al. [25]. About1 mL of magnesium buffer and 2.5 mL of eriochrome blue black tea was added to 5 mL of sample. This was allowed to stand for 10 minutes. Absorbance was taken at 520 nm using a colourimeter. Magnesium was calculated using Equ.12.

$$Magnesium = \frac{A_s \text{ x } C_{ss} \text{ x } D_f}{A_{ss} \text{ } X \text{ } S_V} \text{ x } 100 \qquad (12)$$

Where A_s was absorbance of sample, C_{ss} was concentration of standard solution, D_f was dilute on factor, A_{ss} was absorbance of standard solution and S_v was sample volume.

2.2.4.1.3 Potassium

Potassium stock solution and standard dilute potassium solution was prepared with the method for sodium solution as described by Stanković et al. [25]. A calibration graph was prepared from the reading obtained. About 2 mL of sample was mixed with 2 mL of sodium cobaltonitrate and allowed to stand for 45 minutes. About 2 mL of water was added to the mixture and centrifuged for15minutes. The supernatant was obtained and mixed with 2 mL of 99 % ethanol. The mixture was centrifuged for 5 minutes and the supernatant boiled in a water bath for 10 minutes. About 1 ml of 1 % chlorine hydrochloride, 1 ml potassium fericyanide and 2 mL of distilled water was added to the extract. Absorbance was determined at 620 nm using a colorimeter. The sample solution was then read

and potassium content was calculated using Equ 13

$$\text{Potassium} = \frac{A_s \times C_{ss} \times D_f}{A_{ss} \times S_V} \times 100 \qquad (13)$$

Where A_s = absorbance of sample, C_{ss} = concentration of standard solution, D_f = Dilution factor, A_{ss} = aborbance of standard solution and S_v = sample volume.

2.2.4.2 Determination of vitamins

Vitamin A, Thiamine (B1) Riboflavin (B2) was the vitamins analysed for the food formulation by the method described by Choi and Row [26]. This was performed at the optimum separation condition by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) with isocratic binary mobile phase consisting of methanol: water (65:35 v/v) with flow rate of 1 ml per minute The pH was measured using pH meter combined with a glass electrode. A 320R Hettich centrifuge and a digital 10P ultrasonic bath was used. A calibration curve was prepared for each vitamin and the correlation coefficient based on the concentration curve was obtained.

2.2.5 Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluations of the biscuits was determined using twenty-member panellist consisting of staff and students of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of wukari Taraba state. The biscuits samples prepared from each flour blend was presented in coded white microwavable plastic container. The order of presentation of samples to the panellists was randomized. Distilled water was provided to rinse the mouth between evaluations. The panellists was instructed to evaluate the coded samples for taste, aroma, colour, texture, crispiness and overall acceptability. Each sensory attribute was rated on a 9-point Hedonic scale (for taste, aroma and overall acceptability, 1 = disliked extremely, 5 = neither like nor dislike, while 9 = liked extremely. For colour, 1 = extremely white, 5 = neither brown nor white, while 9 = extremely brown. For texture:

2.2.6 Statistical analysis

All the analyses was carried out in duplicate. Data obtained was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA); differences between means was evaluated using Turkey's multiple

comparison tests with 95% confidence level. The statistical package in Minitab software version 16 was used. Means was separated with Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 95% confidence level (p=0.05)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Chemical Composition of Acha-Plantain-Chara Pona Fish Blends Biscuits

3.1.1 Proximate composition of acha-plantain-chara pona fish blends biscuits

The proximate composition of acha-plantain and chara pona fish blend biscuit is presented in Table 2. When plantain flour and chara pona fish blends were added to biscuits, their moisture content increased from 3.27 to 3.72%, which was higher than when wheat flour was used. The moisture level was notably, p<0.05, lower than the 17.01 to 26.23% observed by Akoja and Coker [27] on wheat flour biscuits including okra powder and Sengev et al. [28] on cookies made with sweet potato and mango mesocarp flours, which rose from 7.9 to 10%. The ash content of the enriched biscuits also increased on addition of plantain and fish flours with sample G (70:15:15%) The study agrees with Akoja and Coker [27]) who also observed "an increased ash content on addition of okra flour (0.91 – 4.17%)". Usman et al. [29] reported "similar increase in ash content (0.52 – 1.12%) as carrot extract was added at varying degrees to wheat and maize flour composite blends. Fish and plantain are rich sources of minerals in human diet".

Ash content of a food material is a measure of nutritionally essential minerals present in that food material. High ash content in the biscuits signifies higher mineral content.

"The protein content of the enriched biscuit increased on addition of okra pod flour and was consistent with" (Akoja and Coker [27]). "Also carrot vegetable increased the protein content 11.4 to 23.2%) of biscuit" [29]. "The protein content of the enriched biscuit ranged from 7.31 to 11.90%, the highest at sample G with 15% fish flour. This content was higher than 5.1 to 9.5% on cookies produced from mango mesocarp" [28].

The difference in the protein content could be attributed to the high protein content in fish used.

Protein is needed in the body for the growth and repair of worn out tissues, forms major enzymes and hormones and boosts the immune system The fat content of the biscuits increased on addition of fish flour. This shows that fish flour is also high in protein. The result agrees with Akoja and Coker [27] and Kinn-Kabiari et al. [30] reported "fat content of 17.90 to 20.79% but their results were significantly (p<0.05) higher than that obtained from the present study. The difference may be as a result of recipe used. The use of margarine and fat in bakery also predisposes consumers to high intake of fat". However, Ajibola et al. [31] who worked on "whole wheat biscuits incorporated with moringa flour and cocoa powder reported fat content 13.19 to 15.00% which agreed with that obtained from the present study". "Fat is required for the metabolism of fat soluble vitamins such as vitamin A, D, E and K and it also forms hormones systems in the body.

The crude fiber content of the enriched biscuit increased plantain and fish flour addition with highest value at sample F (15:10%) plantain and fish flour inclusion. This was consistent with previous studies" [27,30,32]. Fiber increases bulk of food and aids digestion of food. It is very essential component of food and can help stop constipation. Carbohydrate content of the enriched biscuit reduced on addition of and fish flour. The present study was consistent with (Akoja and Coker [27,31] but lower than 62.32 – 70.01% reported by Omah and Okafor [33] on legume based cookies. "This shows that animal sources have lower carbohydrate contents compared to plants". [27].

3.1.2 Minerals composition of acha-plantain-chara pona fish flour blends

Calcium, magnesium, potassium and content of the enriched biscuit increased on plantain and fish flour substitution and were highest in sample G compared to the control samples (Table 3). This shows that plantain and fish flour blends are good sources of micronutrients. The study was similar with Usman et al., [29] and Akoja and Coker, [27]. However, some studies reported lower values on addition of legumes [34], vegetables [30] and nuts [35].

3.1.3 Vitamins content of acha-plantain-fish flour blends bread

The vitamin contents of biscuit samples are presented in Table 4. Vitamin A, vitamin B1 and B2 ranged from 0.31 to 0.86, 0.11 to 0.65 and 0.11 to 0.18 mg/100 g respectively. The maximum level of vitamin A content of biscuit (0.86 mg/100 g) was observed in the 70:15:15% substitution levels of acha-plantain-fish flour blends biscuit and significantly higher than the values obtained from other samples. Lowest value of vitamin A (0.31 mg/100 g) observed in 100% acha biscuit which could be attributed to non-incorporation of plantain and fish flour blends which are rich sourcse of vitamin A [36]. There are significant differences (p≤0.05) in vitamin B2 (riboflavin) and B1 (thiamin) contents of the samples. Vitamin B2 and B1 helps to break down proteins, fat and carbohydrates band plays a vital role in maintaining of body's energy supply. This result is in agreement with the work of [36] that reported 0.157 mg/100 g to 0.477 mg/100 g for ready to eat snacks.

3.2 Functional properties of Acha-Plantain-Chara Pona Fish Flour Blends

The functional properties of the flour are shown in Table 5. "A significant variation was observed among the samples where the bulk density ranged from 1.89 – 2.33g/ml. Bulk density is a measure of the heaviness of the flour and it is generally affected by the particle size. The low value of the bulk density observed in this study facilitates easy packaging and transportation, which improves overall cost efficiency of the end bakery product" [37].

"Foam capacity ranged from 3.33–4.88%. Samples differ significantly. Foaming capacity contributes to dough formation and stability of the flour. A high foaming capacity is a criterion for good quality product" [38]. "The water and oil absorption capacities of the blends ranged from 2.45 – 3.85% and 1.85 – 3.05%. It increased progressively as the level of the fish flour increased in the flour blend. Sample G had the highest values both for oil and water absorption capacities. Both the water and oil absorption capacities are reported to be influenced by the nature and behavior of the micro molecules, especially protein and the nature of starch" [38]. For the OAC, a significant variation (p<0.05) existed among the blends, while for WAC, no significant difference (p < 0.05) existed among the blends.

Table 2. Proximate composition of acha-plantain-chara pona fish blend biscuit

Sample	Moisture%	Crude protein (%)	Crude fat (%)	Crude fibre (%)	ash%	carbohydrate%
A	2.43[d]±0.03	9.69[b]±0.04	8.55[c]±0.16	3.13[a]±0.02	3.13[a]±0.02	73.07[b]±0.24
B	3.18[cd]±0.01	7.00[c]±0.61	9.24[c]±0.86	2.1[b]±0.07	0.1[d]±0.07	78.38[a]±1.53
C	3.27[c]±0.4	7.31[c]±0.0	11.46[b]±1.12	0.2[c]±0.14	0.3[c]±0.14	77.46[a]±0.57
D	3.29[c]±0.4	7.32[c]±0.1	11.81[b]±0.24	0.05[d]±0	0.06[e]±0	77.77[a]±0.74
E	3.39[c]±0.42	7.39[c]±2.03	12.91[b]±2.28	0.28[c]±0.11	0.27[c]±0.11	75.76[b]±3.79
F	3.99[a]±0.29	10.2[a]±0.21	15.79[a]±0.76	0.21[c]±0.04	0.33[c]±0.04	70.48[b]±0.23
G	3.72[b]±0.21	11.9[a]±0.21	16.02[a]±1.7	0.18[c]±0.11	0.42[c]±0.11	67.762[c]±1.17
H	2.32[d]±0.01	9.11[b]±0.12	9.91[c]±0.11	1.82[b]±0.01	1.83[b]±0.01	75.01[b]±0.12

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly(p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test. Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF, AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

Table 3. Minerals composition of acha-plantain-chara pona fish flour blends

SAMPLE	Potassium (mg/100g)	Magnesium (mg/100g)	Calcium (mg/100g)
A	31.95[g]±0.71	29.06[c]±0.69	39.55[f]±0.01
B	705.63[a]±2.52	38.91[a]±0.6	101.8[e]±1.28
C	208.17[e]±1.51	34.06[b]±0.54	150.32[c]±9.92
D	254.78[cd]±0.0	30.83[c]±0.81	169.74[c]±4.34
E	256.19[c]±3.02	29.67[c]±0.83	176.07[c]±3.87
F	260.83[c]±0.51	30.18[c]±1.1	223.45[b]±4.88
G	325.95[b]±1.0	33.97[b]±0.11	290.11[a]±4.86
H	171.93[f]±0.0	31.58[bc]±0.01	140.96[d]±0.69

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly (p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF, AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

Table 4. Vitamins content of acha-plantain-fish flour blends

Sample	Vitamin A (mg/100g)	Vitamin B1 (mg/100g)	Vitamin B2 (mg/100g)
A	0.31[f]±0.01	0.11[d]±0.14	0.11[c]±0.07
B	0.42[e]±0.02	0.36[c]±0.0	0.12[c]±0.01
C	0.61[c]±0.0	0.43[c]±0.01	0.12[c]±0.0
D	0.65[c]±0.0	0.41[c]±0.01	0.12[c]±0.01
E	0.71[b]±0.01	0.43[c]±0.02	0.13[c]±0.0
F	0.79[b]±0.01	0.56[ab]±0.0	0.16[b]±0.0
G	0.86[a]±0.01	0.65[a]±0.01	0.18[a]±0.0
H	0.51[d]±0.14	0.47[bc]±0.06	0.13[c]±0.01

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly(p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test. Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF, AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

Table 5. Functional properties of acha-plantain-chara pona fish flour blends

Sample	Bulk density (%)	Foaming capacity(%)	Water absorption capacity (%)	Oil absorption capacity (%)
A	1.89[c]±0.05	3.33[c]±0.00	2.45[a]±0.64	1.85[a]±0.07
B	1.41[e]±0.03	3.36[c]±1.03	2.85[a]±0.07	2.05[a]±0.07
C	2.33[a]±0.08	3.36[c]±0.04	2.90[a]±0.00	1.95[a]±0.07
D	1.9[c]±0.03	3.46[d]±0.02	3.00[a]±0.14	1.95[a]±0.07
E	1.82[cd]±0.05	3.61[b]±0.06	3.15[a]±0.07	2.05[a]±0.21
F	1.42[b]±0.12	4.65[d]±0.02	3.74[a]±0.00	2.95[a]±0.21
G	1.39[e]±0.05	4.88[b]±0.06	3.85[a]±0.07	3.05[a]±0.21
H	1.7[d]±0.04	3.79[a]±0.21	2.90[a]±0.00	1.9[a]±0.00

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly(p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF, AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

3.3 Physical Properties of Acha-Plantain-Chara Pona Fish Flour Blends

The physical properties of the acha-plantain-fish flour blend biscuits is shown in Table 6. There was an increase in break strength and thickness ranging from 277.5 to 2222.5kg and 0.27 to 0.38cm respectively, as a result of the increase in level of plantain and fish flour substitution. The reverse was observed for the volume, diameter, weight and density of the biscuit which ranges from 10.5-8.0cm, 4.00- 3.68cm, 8.48-5.54 g and 8.48 – 0.55g/ml respectively. Sample G had the highest breaking strength and thickness, but had lower values in volume, diameter and density. The effects of adding plantain and fish flour

blends are significantly (p<0.05) between all the samples. The increased break strength and thickness observed in plantain and fish flour substituted biscuit samples could be due to the difference in the particle sizes and characteristics of the constituent flours Joel et al. [39], Olapade et al. [40] reported similar trend in biscuits from wheat and full fat soya and biscuit from acha flour supplemented with cowpea flour.

3.4 Sensory Evaluation of Acha-Plantain-Fish Flour Blends Biscuits

The results of Sensory evaluation of acha-plantain-fish flour blends biscuit are presented in

Table 7. The mean scores of aroma ranged from 5.0 of sample, A (100% acha flour) to 6.50 of sample H. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in samples. The mean scores for texture ranged from 4.65 to 6.75 with sample H (100%) having the highest rating for texture.

There was a significant difference in samples. Mean scores of colour and taste ranged from 5.20 to 7.10 and 5.15 to 7.40 respectively. All the samples were accepted however, sample H containing 100% wheat flour was most preferred followed by sample E with 75:15:10% acha-plantain-fish flour substitution level.

Table 6. Physical properties of acha-plantain-chara pona fish flour blends

Sample	Break stength (g)	Diameter (cm)	Thickness (cm)	Volume (cm)	Weight (g)	Density (g/cm)
A	227.5^e±3.54	3.81^b±0.01	0.27^d±0.01	10.50^b±0.71	6.86^d±0.00	0.69^c±0.00
B	3020^a±7.07	4.00^a±0.00	0.27^d±0.01	10.00^b±0.00	8.48^a±0.04	0.85^a±0.00
C	1390^c±7.07	3.95^a±0.07	0.28^{cd}±0.01	12.00^a±0.00	7.82^b±0.03	0.78^b±0.00
D	2225^b±0.00	3.92^a±0.03	0.29^{cd}±0.03	11.00^{ab}±0.00	7.21^c±0.01	0.73^b±0.00
E	850.00^d±7.07	3.86^b±0.03	0.30^c±0.01	10.00^b±0.00	7.04^c±0.08	0.71^{bc}±0.01
F	930.00^d±7.07	3.81^b±0.04	0.34^{bc}±0.00	9.50^{bc}±0.00	6.79^d±0.01	0.68^c±0.00
G	1417.5^c±10.61	3.71^c±0.06	0.36^b±0.01	9.00^c±0.71	6.60^{de}±0.03	0.62^d±0.00
H	2222.5^b±3.54	3.84^c±0.03	0.38^a±0.03	8.00^d±1.41	5.54^f±0.03	0.55^e±0.00

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly(p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test. Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF, AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

Table 7. Sensory evaluation of acha-plantain-fish flour blends biscuits

Sample	Aroma	Texture	Colour	Taste	Overall Acceptability
A	5.90^b±1.8	5.30^{bc}±2.62	6.45^{ab}±1.64	5.85^c±1.98	5.75^{bc}±2.22
B	5.80^b±2.24	4.65^c±2.56	5.85^{ab}±1.95	6.05^b±2.14	6.30^{ab}±1.78
C	6.40^a±2.09	6.15^{ab}±2.08	6.15^b±1.98	6.15^b±2.13	5.90^b±2.22
D	5.05^c±2.42	4.60^c±2.11	6.20^c±2.35	5.60^{cd}±1.98	5.10^c±2.07
E	6.55 ^{a}±2.35	5.80^b±2.59	5.60^c±2.11	6.50^b±2.14	6.10^{ab}±1.77
F	5.45^{bc}±2.56	6.35^a±2.5	5.95^b±1.99	5.15^d±1.90	5.50^{bc}±2.44
G	5.75^b±2.20	6.10^{ab}±2.27	6.90^{ab}±1.65	5.90^{bc}±2.15	5.80^b±2.09
H	6.50^a±1.91	6.75^a±1.92	7.10^a±1.33	7.40^a±1.39	6.90^a±1.59

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly(p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test. Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF, AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

4. CONCLUSION

The result of this study showed that addition of plantain and chara pona fish flour blends improved the functional properties, fibre, ash, minerals and vitamins content. The acha-plantain- fish flour blend biscuits was generally accepted up to 15:15% of plantain- chara pona fish flour inclusion however the 75:15:5% acha-plantain- chara pona fish flour blends was the most preferred. There was also corresponding improvement in the fibre content and minerals of the blend biscuits. The break strength which directly determines the texture of the biscuit was improved.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gbenga-Fabusiwa FJ, Oladele EP, Oboh G, Adefegha SA, Oshodi AA. Nutritional properties, sensory qualities and glycemic response of biscuits produced from pigeon pea-wheat composite flour. Journal of Food Biochemistry. 2018;42(4):e12505.
2. Kumar KS, Vikram S, Vigneswaran SJ, Sudhanhari CTA. Manufacturing methods of healthy and edible cups-An integrative review. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. 2021;1055(1):012017.
3. Patalano RV. The Environmental Context of the Earliest Acheulean at Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania (Doctoral dissertation, University of Calgary (Canada); 2019.
4. Ayo JA, Ojo MO, Popoola CA, Ayo VA, Okpasu A. Production and quality evaluation of acha-tigernut composite flour and biscuits. Asian Food Science Journal. 2018;1(3):1-12.
5. Monnet AF, Laleg K, Michon C, Micard V. Legume enriched cereal products: A generic approach derived from material science to predict their structuring by the process and their final properties. Trends in Food Science and Technology. 2019; 86:131-143.
6. Gómez JA, Pino-Hernández E, Abrunhosa L, Matallana LG, Sánchez ÓJ, Teixeira JA, Nobre C. Valorisation of rejected unripe plantain fruits of Musa AAB Simmonds: From nutritional characterisation to the conceptual process design for prebiotic production. Food and Function. 2021;12(7):3009-3021.
7. Ayo JA, Atondo DM. Functional, sensory and cooking qualities of acha-tigernut Noodles. Asian Food Science Journal. 2020;16(4):40-48.
8. Tanko OO, Hussaina TO, Donaldben NS. Evaluation, physicochemical and sensory properties of composite bread produced from wheat, sweet potatoes and cashew nut flour. Asian Food Science Journal. 2023;22(9):74-87.
9. Adanse J, Sussana AB, Bigson K, Sitsofe KR. Composition and sensory properties of wheat, plantain and cocoyam flour doughnuts. Eurasian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2021;5(2):169-183.
10. Sabry F. Closed ecological systems: How the resources accessible to life can be used over and over again? One Billion Knowledgeable. 2021;2.
11. Jagarlamudi L. Bakery and confectionery products: Processing, quality assessment, packaging and storage techniques. CRC Press; 2022.
12. Duruanyanwu AB, Obiegbuna JE. Proximate composition and microbiological evaluation of cookies produced from whole wheat flour, unripe plantain flour (R. markham) and Moringa oleifera Leaf Powder. Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition. 2023;2(4):571-582.
13. Oyet GI, Chibor BS. Nutrient Composition and physical characteristics of biscuits produced from composite blends of wheat, coconut and defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour. Journal of Nutrition Food Science and Technology. 2020;1(1):1-8.
14. Makanjuola OM, Adebowale JO. Vitamins, functional and sensory attributes of biscuit produced from wheat-cocoyam composite flour. Journal of Scientific and Innovative Research. 2020;9(2), 77-82.
15. Zidani S, Boudraa S. Effect of different drying methods on the heating under reflux and microwave extraction on techno-functional properties from the *Elaeagnus angustifolia L.,* fruit. Journal of

Agroalimentary Processes and Technologies. 2020;26(2).

16. Mshayisa VV. Physico-chemical, techno-functional and structural properties of native and glycated proteins isolated from black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae. Doctoral dissertation, Cape Peninsula University of Technology; 2021.

17. Heydari MM, Najib T, Meda V. Investigating starch and protein structure alterations of the processed lentil by microwave-assisted infrared thermal treatment and their correlation with the modified properties. Food Chemistry Advances. 2022;1:100091.

18. Cauthen J, Jones D, Gugerty MK, Anderson CL. Banana and plantain value chain: West Africa. Gates Open Research. 2019;3(39):39.

19. Ariyo O, Dudulewa BI, Atojoko MA. Nutritional and sensory properties of biscuits based on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), beniseed seed (*Sesamum indicum*) and sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) composite flour. Agro-Science. 2022;21(2):66-73.

20. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of official Analytical Chemists. 18th edition, AOAC. Arlington. 2015;806-814.

21. Bello AA, Gernah DI, Ariahu CC, Ikya JK. Physico-chemical and sensory properties of complementary foods from blends of malted and non-malted sorghum, soybean and Moringa Oleifera seed flours. American Journal of Food Science and Technolology. 2020;8(1):1-13.

22. D' Hooghe SMJ, Bosch G, Sun M, Cools A, Hendriks WH, Becker AA, Janssens GP. How important is food structure when cats eat mice? British Journal of Nutrition. 2023;1-15.

23. Ganesan G, Selvam G, Varatharasu A, Annamalai P. Physico-chemical and qualitative, quantitative chemical compounds analysis of Pleurotus florida in Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India. Internationa Journal of Life Science and Pharmaceutical Research. 2023;13(2):L8-L17.

24. Ikala GU, Ochelle PO, Idoko BO. Quality evaluation of "Ahuma": A traditional breakfast porridge meal from African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*) sold in makurdi metropolis. Journal of Current Research in Food Science. 2020;1(1): 46-51.

25. Stanković A, Šafranko S, Jurišić K, Balić I, Bijelić J, Jokić S, Medvidović-Kosanović M. Investigation of system complexity and addition of vitamin C on calcium oxalate precipitation. Chemical Papers. 2020;74: 3279-3291.

26. Choi DM, He S, Row KH. Optimization of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) conditions for isoflavones using deep eutectic solvents (DESS) as mobile phase additives by the HCI program. Analytical Letters. 2023;1-12.

27. Akoja SS, Coker OJ. Physicochemical, functional, pasting and sensory properties of wheat flour biscuit incorporated with Okra powder. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 2018;3(5):64-70.

28. Sengev IA, Gernah DI, Bunde-Tsegba MC. Physical, chemical and sensory properties of cookies produced from sweet potato and mango Mesocarp flours. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2015;15(5). ISSN 1684 5374.

29. Usman GO, Ameh UE, Alifa ON, Babatunde RM. Proximate composition of biscuits produced from wheat flour and maize bran composite flour fortified with carrot extract. Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences. 2015;5:(5).

30. Kiin-Kabari DB, Emelike NJT, Ebere CO. Influence of drying techniques on the quality characteristics of wheat flour cookies enriched with moringa (*Moringa oleifera*) leaf powder. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 2017;2(3):94-99.

31. Ajibola FC, Oyerinde VO, Adeniyan OS. Physicochemical and antioxidant properties of whole-wheat biscuits incorporated with *Moringa oleifera* leaves and cocoa powder. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. 2015;7(3):195-206.

32. Grah BAM, Yapo BM, Dakia AP, Niaba K, Gnakri PVD. Manufacture of biscuit from the flour of wheat and lentil seeds as a food supplement. European Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014;2(2):23-32.

33. Omah EC, Okafor GI. Selected functional properties, proximate composition of flours and sensory characteristics of cookies from wheat and millet-pigeon pea flour blends. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2015;14(9):581-585.

34. Ndife J, Fagbemi FS. Production and quality assessment of enriched cookies

from whole wheat and full fat soya European Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014;2(1):19- 28.

35. Nzeagwu OC, Onuwudiwe ET. Nutrient composition and sensory properties of tiger nut (Cyperus esculentus) biscuit. Nigerian Journal of Nutritional Sciences. 2016; 37(1):157-164.

36. Olakunle MM, Olalekan JA. Vitamins, functional and sensory attributes of biscuit produced from wheat-cocoyam composite flour. Journal of Scientific and Innovative Research. 2020;9(2):77-82.

37. Oladipo FY, Nwokocha LM. Effect of *Sida acuta* and *Corchorus olitorius* Mucilages on the physicochemical properties of maize and sorghum starches. Asian Journal of Applied Sciences. 2011;4:514-525.

38. Ukpong SE, Njoku HO, Ire FS. Quality evaluation of flour and biscuits produced from wheat and African Yam Bean Tempeh Flours. GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2021; 17(01):124–132.

39. Javed F, Sharif MK, Pasha I, Jamil A. Probing the nutritional quality of ready-to-use therapeutic foods developed from locally grown peanut, chickpea and mungbean for tackling malnutrition. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2021;58(1).

40. Olapade AA, Akingbala JO, Oguntunde AO, Falade KO. Effect of processing method on the quality of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) flour for akara preparation. Plant Food for Human Nutrition. 2011;58:1-10.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118302