

*Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry*

*Volume 10, Issue 4, Page 140-149, 2024; Article no.AJRAF.124358 ISSN: 2581-7418*

# **Correlations between Tree/Shrub Diversity and Herbaceous Biomass with Soil Physico-chemical Properties under** *Acacia saligna* **Canopy**

# **Redae Nigusse a\* and Kahsu Gebretsion <sup>b</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup> Alamata Agricultural Research Center, Tigray Agricultural Research Institute, P.O.Box 56, Alamata, Tigray, Ethiopia. <sup>b</sup> Shire Maytsebri Agricultural Research Centre, Tigray Agricultural Research Institute, P.O.Box 81, Shire, Tigray, Ethiopia.*

# *Authors contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Authors RN and KG designed the study and analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. Author RN collected the vegetation data, herbaceous data and soil samples. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajraf/2024/v10i4324](https://doi.org/10.9734/ajraf/2024/v10i4324)

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124358>

> *Received: 28/07/2024 Accepted: 30/09/2024 Published: 21/10/2024*

*Original Research Article*

#### **ABSTRACT**

*Acacia saligna* (*A. saligna*) is originated from South Western Australia, and it was brought to the Tigray region of Ethiopia in 1972 with the intention of restoring the ecosystem and conserving soil and water. This study aims to evaluate the correlations between tree/shrub diversity and herbaceous biomass with some soil physico-chemical properties under *Acacia saligna* canopy and away from the canopy in Atsibi Wemberta district, Tigray, Ethiopia. For collecting of vegetation data, herbaceous cover and soil sample, twelve A.saligna tree stands were used as a replication.Woody

*Cite as: Nigusse, Redae, and Kahsu Gebretsion. 2024. "Correlations Between Tree/Shrub Diversity and Herbaceous Biomass With Soil Physico-Chemical Properties under Acacia Saligna Canopy". Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry 10 (4):140-49. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajraf/2024/v10i4324.*

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: reddae02@gmail.com;*

*Nigusse and Gebretsion; Asian J. Res. Agric. Forestry, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 140-149, 2024; Article no.AJRAF.124358*

species identification, herbaceous cover and biomass production were collected under the canopy of A.saligna and 50 m away from the canopy at 1 m<sup>2</sup> for woody species, and 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> for herbaceous cover, and biomass production. Soil samples were taken using auger at 20 cm soil depth under the canopy and in the canopy gap A.saligna. The species richness under the canopy of *A.saligna* was positively insignificant correlated with pH ( $r = 0.48$ ,  $p = 0.11$ ), availability of phosphorus (Av.P) (r = 0.16, p = 0.63), total nitrogen (TN (r = 0.12, p = 0.7) and Silt (r = 0.71, p = 0.009). However, it is also negatively correlated with soil organic carbon (SOC ( $r = -0.06$ ,  $p = 0.85$ ). and sand (r = -0.77, p = 0.003). The Pearson's correlation matrix in the canopy gap of *A.saligna* showed that, Shannon diversity index is insignificantly positively correlated with SOC ( $r = 0.19$ ,  $p =$ 0.53), Av.P ( $r = 0.46$ ,  $p = 0.12$ ), availability of potassium (Av.K) ( $r = 0.43$ ,  $p = 0.16$ ) and TN ( $r = 0.13$ ,  $p = 0.69$ ). However, species richness and Shannon diversity index have insignificantly negatively correlated with pH(r = -0.13, p = 0.68, r = -0.15, p = 0.63) and sand (r = -0.23, p = 0.51, r = -0.44, p = 0.14), respectively. In the canopy gap of *A.saligna,* the herbaceous biomass was positively correlated with Av.K ( $r = 0.47$ ,  $p = 0.12$ ), silt ( $r = 0.39$ ,  $p = 0.20$ ), and clay( $r = 0.37$ ,  $p = 0.24$ ), but the Pearson's correlation matrix between herbaceous biomass and some soil parameters in the canopy gap is observed to be insignificantly negatively correlated with pH ( $r = -0.24$ ,  $p = 0.44$ ). SOC (r = -0.04, p = 0.9), Av.P (r = -0.34, p = 0.28), and TN (r = -0.33, p = 0.31). The species richness, Shannon diversity indexes and herbaceous biomass were insignificantly positively correlated with pH under the canopy of A.saligna, but for similar parameters in the canopy gap was observed negatively insignificant correlated with pH. Overall, the small positive correlation found in the current study between same soil physico chemical and diversity indexes under the *A. saligna* canopy suggests that the presence of these large *A. saligna* trees may increase soil nutrient availability through litterfall. The study found clear relationships between plant density and Shannon diversity with herbaceous biomass in Acacia saligna's canopy gap and beneath its canopy. In particular, both settings showed a substantial negative connection between herbaceous biomass and plant density, suggesting that increased plant density tends to diminish herbaceous biomass. On the other hand, a strong positive association was seen between Shannon diversity and herbaceous biomass, indicating that higher species diversity is linked to higher herbaceous biomass. Therefore, it is imperative to manage and conserve *A. saligna* and the woody species growing beneath the canopy in order to preserve and strengthen the favorable connections that exist between diversity indexes and the physical and chemical characteristics of soil.

*Keywords: Acacia saligna; correlation; soil physico-chemical; herbaceous; diversity.*

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

- *SOC : Soil Organic Carbon*
- *TN : Total Nitrogen*
- *Av.P : Availability of phosphorus*
- *Av.K : Availability of potassium*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Establishing quickly growing exotic tree species in tropically degraded land is a good way to increase biomass productivity per unit area and, as well as to catalyze the succession of native woody species in the understory [1]. As a tropical nation, Ethiopia has seen the majority of its native woody species regenerated or initiated, primarily through the integration of exotic species, in an effort to restore degraded areas [2,3]. Even though plantations of exotic species have played a crucial role for environmental rehabilitation, they are also widely viewed in a negative light in relation to native woody species diversity [4].

*Acacia saligna* (Labill.) Wendl. (A. cyanophylla Lindley) is originated from South Western Australia [5], which is considered as an exotic tree species in many parts of the world and an invasive species to some countries [6]. One of Ethiopia's exotic tree species, *Acacia saligna (A.saligna),* was brought to the Tigray region of Ethiopia in 1972 with the intention of restoring the ecosystem and conserving soil and water [7]. It is an evergreen tree/shrub species that is grown in a variety of agro-ecological zones [8]. According to [9], *A. saligna* grows quickly and may thrive in a variety of unfavorable environmental situations, including places that are prone to drought, waterlogged areas, and soil dominated by alkaline or saline.

*A.saligna* is one of the species from the genus of *Acacia* that spreads outside of their original range and they establish easily in new reas which threats the natural ecosystem functions by reducing the native biodiversity [10]. Similarly described by [11], large-scale plantation of alien species like *A.saligna species* in open areas have a negative impact on the regeneration potential and diversity of native woody vegetation, such as reducing species richness of native plants, disturbing nutrient cycling and altering the structure of the vegetation in adjacent native areas.

*A. saligna* tree plantation was practiced in the Tigray region in 1972 to restore degraded areas of the region [7]. Although *A. saligna* is commonly grown in different parts of the region, but the relationship between woody species diversity, herbaceous biomass with some soil physico-chemical properties under its canopy has been not studied yet for the semi-arid degraded ecosystem. Therefore, the present study was conducted to assess the correlation between woody species diversity, herbaceous cover and biomass with some soil physicochemical properties under the canopy of *A.* 

*saligna* tree in semi-arid conditions of northern Ethiopia.

# **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Study Area**

The study was conducted in Atsbi-Womberta district, in the Eastern zone of Tigray, Ethiopia. The district is geographically bounded between 13º 36"North latitude and 39º 36``East longitude .The district has an altitudinal variation ranging from 988 to 3063 m a.s.l. Barka Adisebha is the particular study area, and geographically, it is situated between 39° 39'30''-39°47'0'' East longitude and 13° 45'30''- 13° 51'30'' North latitude and has an altitudinal range of 2171 to 2718 m a.s.l. with two agro-ecological zones (5.5% midland and (94.5%) highland (Fig. 1).

The consecutive eleven years (2006–2016) of the average monthly rainfall of Atsbi-Wemberta district was 613 mm, while the mean monthly temperature of the district varies from 6.4-22.8°C (Fig. 2). The detailed information regarding on the long term (11years) mean monthly temperature and rainfall of Atsbi-wenberta district is depicted (Fig. 2).



**Fig. 1. Map of the study area**



**Fig. 2. Walter -Lieth climatic diagram for Atsbi wemberta district (Source; Tigray Regional Meteorological service center)**

#### **2.2. Sampling Design and Data Collection**

Twelve exotic *A.saligna* tree stands were selected systematically with almost similar crown diameter, diameter at breast height, height and ages. Each *A.saligna* tree stands was considered a replication. The quadrates with a size of 1  $m<sup>2</sup>$ were visually placed under the canopy of *A.saligna* tree stand and 50 m away from the stand, in which the sampling points were taken along the four directions under *A.saligna* canopy at a distance of 1 m away from the trunk, and from 50 m away (canopy gap). Briefly, the identification of all woody plants and the total number, as well as the height and dsh of individual seedlings and saplings of each species, were recorded. Individual woody categorizations were made as height <0.5 m and dsh  $<$  2.5 for seedlings, h  $>$  0.5 m and dbh  $<$  5 cm for saplings [12].

The nested quadrate plot design was employed to sample the herbaceous biomass production. Accordingly, the sub plots with the size of 0.5 m x 0.5 m were established at the center of each main plot. In each sub plots destructive method was used to quantify the herbaceous biomass production. The harvested fresh herbaceous biomasses were weighted in each quadrats and sub sample from the fresh herbaceous biomass were oven dried at 65 <sup>o</sup>c for 24 hours and weighted again to determine the herbaceous biomass production.

The soil samples were taken from under canopy of *A.saligna* and 50 m away from the stand of *A.saligna* tree using an auger at fixed 0-20cm soil depth [13,14], and finally sub samples were pooled to obtain one composite soil sample. The soil samples were air-dried at room temperature of 19-21°C, crushed, homogenized and passed through 2 mm sieve and subjected to analysis for soil texture, soil pH, soil organic carbon, available phosphorus, total nitrogen, available potassium, organic matter. The collected soil samples were analyzed in Tigray Agricultural Research Institute (TARI), Mekelle Soil Research.

#### **2.3 Data Analysis**

Shannon diversity, Margalef (Richness), Simpson (dominance) and Equitability (evenness) were calculated using<br>Paleontological STatistics (PAST) software Paleontological STatistics (PAST) software version 1.93.The assumption of normality data on species diversity and herbaceous biomass were checked using the Shapiro – Wilk test. The correlation between indigenous woody species diversity indexes and herbaceous biomass with some soil physico-chemical parameters, was tested using the matrix Pearson correlation methods using SPSS for windows version 20.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **3.1 Correlation between Some Soil Physicochemical Parameters and Woody Species Diversity Indexes**

The species richness under the canopy of *A.saligna* was positively insignificant correlated with pH (r = 0.48, p = 0.11), Av.P (r = 0.16, p = 0.63), TN ( $r = 0.12$ ,  $p = 0.7$ ) and Silt ( $r = 0.71$ ,  $p =$ 0.009).However, it is also negatively correlated with SOC ( $r = -0.06$ ,  $p = 0.85$ ), and sand ( $r = -1$ 0.77,  $p = 0.003$ , Table 1). Similarly, Shannon diversity indexes were insignificantly positively correlated with pH ( $r = 0.09$ ,  $p = 0.78$ ), SOC ( $r =$ 0.54,  $p = 0.07$ , and TN ( $r = 0.27$ ,  $p = 0.39$ ), but negatively insignificant correlated with sand  $(r = 0.24$ ,  $p = 0.46$ , Table 1). The herbaceous biomass was insignificantly positively correlated with pH ( $r = 0.001$ ,  $p = 0.99$ ), SOC ( $r = 0.16$ ,  $p =$ 0.63), TN ( $r = 0.29$ ,  $p = 0.36$ ) and Av.P ( $r = 0.34$ ,  $p = 0.28$ ), but negatively insignificant correlated with Av.K ( $r = -0.06$ ,  $p = 0.88$ ), silt ( $r = -0.04$ ,  $p =$ 0.9) and clay ( $r = -0.04$ ,  $p = 0.9$ ) (Table 1).

Similarly, the Pearson's correlation matrix in the canopy gap of *A.saligna* showed that, Shannon diversity index is insignificantly positively correlated with SOC ( $r = 0.19$ ,  $p = 0.53$ ), Av.P ( $r = 0.19$  $= 0.46$ ,  $p = 0.12$ ), Av.K (r = 0.43,  $p = 0.16$ ) and TN  $(r = 0.13, p = 0.69)$  (Table 1). However, species richness and Shannon diversity index have insignificantly negatively correlated with  $pH(r = -0.13, p = 0.68, r = -0.15, p = 0.63)$  and sand ( $r = -0.23$ ,  $p = 0.51$ ,  $r = -0.44$ ,  $p = 0.14$ ), respectively (Table 1).

In the canopy gap of *A.saligna,* the herbaceous biomass was positively correlated with Av.K ( $r =$ 0.47,  $p = 0.12$ , silt (r = 0.39,  $p = 0.20$ ), and clay(  $r = 0.37$ ,  $p = 0.24$ ), but the Pearson's correlation matrix between herbaceous biomass and some soil parameters in the canopy gap is observed to be insignificantly negatively correlated with pH (r  $= -0.24$ ,  $p = 0.44$ ), SOC (r =  $-0.04$ ,  $p = 0.9$ ), Av.P  $(r = -0.34, p = 0.28)$ , and TN  $(r = -0.33, p = 0.31)$ (Table 1).

In this study, woody species richness was found insignificant positive correlation with Av. K and silt in the canopy gap and under the canopy of *A.saligna.* Similarly, other studies showed that some positive kind of relationships between woody species richness and soil nutrient availability such as soil fertility index like,. Ca, P and K contents [15]. On the contrary, many researchers reported that species richness decreases at higher soil fertility [16]. That difference in pattern between woody species richness and some soil factors may be caused by the different type of species found at different agro ecology zones of the world. Additionaly, the canopy cover of *A.saligna* has no an effect on the correlation between species richness and some soil parameters like., With Av. K and silt.

Species richness was not correlated with total N under the canopy and in the canopy gap of *A.saligna*. This result in lines with [17] that tree species richness was not correlated to Mg. Fe, Cu, Zn, and Mn contents, C/N ratio, and total N. However, this is also in contrary with the findings of [18], who found that total N was highly positively related to tree species richness. This difference might be generated due to the difference annual rain fall available and the rainfall itself affects the availability of nutrient cycling.

The soil pH showed several insignificant positive correlations with species richness, Simpson, Shannon diversity index and herbaceous biomass under the canopy of *A.saligna.* The reason for the low correlation of woody species diversity and soil pH might be due to the negative effect of soil pH for the important nutrients. This result disagrees with [19], higher species diversity was observed at high pH value. However, the correlation of soil pH with species richness, Simpson, Shannon diversity index and herbaceous biomass in the canopy gap of *A.saligna* was observed negatively insignificant correlation. Similar result was reported by [20], that soil pH negatively affected the availability of essential nutrients like: N and P, which correlated negatively with the essential nutrients at high rainfall availability.

On this study, the Av.P was positively insignificant with species richness and diversity in the canopy gap and under the canopy of *A.saligna*. This might be due to the available of optimum soil nutrients for providing plant nutrition and their distribution. This is similarly reported by [21], highest number of species in grasslands was recorded below the optimum soil phosphorus level and at the optimum soil potassium level; beyond this optimum, species richness decreased.

# **3.2 Correlation between Some Woody Species Parameters and Herbaceous Biomass**

In this study, the woody species density was negatively correlated with herbaceous biomass under the canopy ( $r = -0.63$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ) and in the canopy gap  $(r = -0.49, p = 0.04)$  (Table 2). However, Shannon diversity shows a positively significant correlation with herbaceous biomass under the canopy ( $r = 0.35$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ) and in the canopy gap ( $r = 0.36$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ) (Table 2). We observed no significant correlation between species richness and herbaceous biomass under the canopy  $(r = 0.25, p = 0.052)$  and in the canopy gap ( $r = 0.29$ ,  $p = 0.053$ ) (Table 2).

			Under the canopy of A.saligna		In the canopy gap			
	<b>Species</b> <b>Richness</b>	Simpson_D	Shannon	<b>Herbaceous</b> <b>biomass</b>	<b>Species</b> <b>Richness</b>	Simpson_D	Shannon	<b>Herbaceous</b> biomass
pH	0.48	0.15	0.09	0.001	$-0.13$	$-0.06$	$-0.15$	$-0.24$
P_value	0.11	0.65	0.78	0.99	0.68	0.85	0.63	0.44
<b>SOC</b>	$-0.06$	0.42	0.54	0.16	0.18	0.10	0.19	$-0.04$
P_value	0.85	0.17	0.07	0.63	056	0.75	0.53	0.9
Av.P	0.16	0.48	$-0.07$	0.34	0.42	0.34	0.46	$-0.34$
P_value	0.63	0.11	0.83	0.28	0.17	0.27	0.12	0.28
Av.K	0.19	$-0.35$	0.18	$-0.06$	0.36	0.43	0.41	0.47
P_value	0.54	0.25	0.56	0.88	0.24	0.16	0.18	0.12
TN	0.12	0.47	0.27	0.29	0.04	0.28	0.13	$-0.33$
P_value	0.7	0.12	0.39	0.36	0.91	0.37	0.69	0.31
Sand	$-0.77**$	$-0.35$	$-0.24$	0.04	$-0.23$	$-0.52$	$-0.44$	$-0.43$
P_value	0.003	0.26	0.46	0.89	0.51	0.08	0.14	0.16
Silt	$0.71**$	0.52	0.20	$-0.04$	0.37	0.56	0.56	0.39
P_value	0.009	0.08	0.5	0.9	0.23	0.06	0.06	0.20
Clay	$0.64*$	0.03	0.21	$-0.04$	$-0.12$	0.28	0.12	0.37
P_value	0.02	0.9	0.51	0.9	0.72	0.36	0.70	0.24

**Table 1. Pearson's correlations between soil physicochemical parameters, woody diversity, and herbaceous biomass**

*R: Pearson's coefficient of correlation; P: significance; \*P<0.05*

# **Table 2. Correlation between some woody species parameters and herbaceous biomass quantities**



*\* shows that the significant value at (P< 0.05)*

The Pearson correlation matrix shown that, the density of woody species have a significant negatively relationship with herbaceous biomass quantities (p<0.05) and Shannon diversity index have also a positive significant relationship with herbaceous biomass under the canopy of *A.saligna* and in the canopy gap (p<0.05) (Table 2), whereas, herbaceous biomass and woody species richness had non-significant relation. Another research done by [22], indicates that, isolated individual trees had positive effects on herbaceous biomass, most likely trees could be enrich soil organic matter, but the effects of individual trees could explain the effects of increasing tree density for low biomass of herbaceous production, because the more woody species density leads to depressed of herbaceous biomass productivity. In the area where, trees are widely spaced, many intercanopy areas are remained entirely unshaded by trees, whereas at high tree densities the shadows cast by individual trees may overlap ,so that grass/herbaceous species in most of the inter-canopy zone is shaded and have a negative relationship with tree densities [23]. Similarly, herbaceous species biomass might be affected by dense tree roots that extend beyond the canopy radius and deplete water or nutrients in the inter-canopy zone [24]. This study suggests that woody species density can progress markedly reduce the herbaceous biomass, because the more density plant community components was either severely reduced or completely absent of herbaceous species, such effect could become increasingly important at high tree densities. As a result the herbaceous biomass exhibits negatively relationship with the increasing woody species density due to low level of plant and herbaceous species interaction. Adding to the fact that, evapotranspiration is also another factor for increasing herbaceous biomass, and the moderate density of woody species could be contributed to reduce the amount of contributed to reduce the amount of evapotranspiration that leads to increase the herbaceous biomass .Similar study conducted by [25,26], low tree density can also facilitate herbaceous biomass by reducing sub-canopy evapotranspiration.

The positive correlation between woody species diversity and herbaceous biomass under the canopy and in the canopy gap was due to the diverse plant community that exerts a prevailing influence on the herbaceous biomass quantities. The diverse plant community has diverse characteristics in increasing and/or decreasing in

herbaceous biomass [27]. Even though, the correlation was very weak, the diverse plant community which provides to increases the herbaceous biomass production might have a better distribution. Consistent result had been reported by [28], which indicates that, the optimum conservation biodiversity provides high herbaceous biomass production. However, the insignificant correlation between woody species richness and herbaceous biomass suggests that, woody species richness at the initial establishment rate of herbaceous species couldn't be play a crucial role to be correlated more positively. It is also similarly suggested by [29], the direction for the total species richness and biomass were nearly perpendicular, indicating that species richness and biomass was not strongly linearly related. The reason for the productivity of woody species richness for maintaining optimal herbaceous biomass might be sustained by the climatic factor, which causes high inter annual variability of herbaceous biomass. Another study by [30,31], indicates that, in the unproductive areas, external factors such as moisture or other environmental factors are thought to be much more important than internal interactions between the relationship of species richness and herbaceous biomass. In addition to this, the important species in the sites might have in the process of succession.

# **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TION**

The results of this study provided with evidence that, the correlation made between species diversity and soil physico-chemical parameters under the canopy of *A.saligna* and in the canopy gap showed that diversity of indigenous woody species and herba ceous biomass was insignificantly negative correl ated with pH in the canopy gap, but for similar pa rameters were insignificant positively correlated with pH under the canopy of A.saligna. Generally, the insignificant positive correlation between Ph and diversity indexes under the canopy of A.saligna in the current study indicates that the presence of these large A.saligna trees might increase the availability of pH through litterfall and more number of nutrient-competent indigenous woody species are available. However, the connection between SOC,Av.K,Av.P and TN with diversity indices and herbaceous biomass in the canopy gap and under the canopy of *A. saligna*'s did not differ noticeably. The results indicated that there were no significant differences in these parameters between the two environments. This suggests that the presence of *A. saligna* does not markedly alter the soil nutrient dynamics, biodiversity, or herbaceous biomass.

The study revealed distinct correlations between plant density and Shannon diversity with herbaceous biomass in both the canopy gap and under the canopy of *Acacia saligna*. Specifically, herbaceous biomass exhibited a significant negative correlation with plant density in both environments, indicating that higher plant density<br>tends to reduce herbaceous biomass. tends to reduce herbaceous biomass. Conversely, Shannon diversity showed a significant positive correlation with herbaceous biomass, suggesting that greater species diversity is associated with increased herbaceous biomass. Thus, proper management and conservation of *A. saligna* and the woody species growing under the canopy is very crucial to maintain and enhance the positive correlations between diversity indexes and soil physicocemical properties for the benefit of present and future generations.

# **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

# **FUNDING**

World Vision Australia funded project for providing full financial support required for the research work.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to give their great appreciation to World Vision Australia funded project for providing full financial support required for the research work.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

1. Brockerhoff EG, Jactel H, Parrotta JA, Quine CP, Sayer J. Plantation forests and biodiversity: oxymoron or opportunity?. Biodiversity and Conservation. 2008;17: 925-51. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9380-x)

[008-9380-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9380-x) 2. Aubin I, Messier C, Bouchard A. Can

- plantations develop understory biological and physical attributes of naturally regenerated forests?. Biological Conservation. 2008;141(10):2461-76. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.07.007) [008.07.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.07.007)
- 3. Alem S, Woldemariam TA. comparative assessment on regeneration status of indigenous woody plants in Eucalyptus grandis plantation and adjacent natural forest. Journal of Forestry Research. 2009;20:31–36. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-009-0006-2) [009-0006-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-009-0006-2)
- 4. Carnus JM, Parrotta J, Brockerhoff EG, Arbez M, Jactel H, Kremer A, Lamb D, Hara KO, Walters B. Planted forests and biodiversity: UNFF inter-sessional experts meeting on the role of planted forests in sustainable forest management. New Zealand; 2003.
- 5. Pedley LF. Derivation and dispersal of Acacia (Leguminosae), with particular reference to Australia, and the recognition of Senegalia and Racosperma. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society. 1986;92(3):219-254. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1986.tb01429.x) [8339.1986.tb01429.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1986.tb01429.x)
- 6. Holmes PM, Cowling RM. The effects of invasion by *Acacia saligna* on the guild structure and regeneration capabilities of South African fynbos shrublands. Journal of Applied Ecology. 1997;317-332. Available[:https://doi.org/10.2307/2404879](https://doi.org/10.2307/2404879)
- 7. Rinaudo A, Admasu A. Agricultural development recommendations, Tigray Region. Agricultural Task Force Report. Internal unpublished report, World Vision Ethiopia. 2010;28.
- 8. Shumuye B, Yayneshet T. Effect of feeding treated *Acacia Saligna* (Labill.) HL Wendl. leaves on growth performance and digestibility in goats. J. Dryland Agric. 2011;4(2):341-7.
- 9. Orwa C, Mutua A, Kindt R, Jamnadass R, Simons A. Agroforestree database: a tree species reference and selection guide version 4.0. World Agroforestry Centre ICRAF, Nairobi, KE; 2009.
- 10. Odat N, Al-Khateeb W, Muhaidat R, Al-U'datt MU, Irshiad L. The effect of exotic *Acacia saligna* tree on plant biodiversity of Northern Jordan. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2011;13:823-826
- 11. Van Wilgen BW, Richardson DM, Seydack A. Managing fynbos for biodiversity: constraints and options in a fire-prone environment. South African Journal of Science. 1994;90(6):322-9.
- 12. Birhane E, Teketay D, Barklund P. Enclosures to enhance woody species diversity in the dry lands of eastern Tigray, Ethiopia. East African Journal of Sciences. 2007;1(2):136-47. Available[:https://doi.org/10.4314/eajsci.v1i](https://doi.org/10.4314/eajsci.v1i2.40352) [2.40352](https://doi.org/10.4314/eajsci.v1i2.40352)
- 13. Mureithi SM, Verdoodt A, Gachene CK, Njoka JT, Wasonga VO, De Neve S, Meyerhoff E, Van Ranst E. Impact of enclosure management on soil properties and microbial biomass in a restored semiarid rangeland, Kenya. J. Arid Land. 2014;6:561–570. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-014-0065-x) [014-0065-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-014-0065-x)
- 14. Endale T, Kibret K. Dynamics of soil physico-chemical properties in area closures at Hirna watershed of west Hararghe zone of Oromia region, Ethiopia (Doctoral dissertation, Haramaya University).
- 15. Pausas JG, Austin MP. Patterns of plant species richness in relation to different environments: an appraisal. Journal of Vegetation Science. 2001;12(2):153-66. Available:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3236601>
- 16. Mittelbach GG, Steiner CF, Scheiner SM, Gross KL, Reynolds HL, Waide RB, Willig MR, Dodson SI, Gough L. What is the observed relationship between species richness and productivity.Ecology. 2001;82(9):238196. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1890/00129658](https://doi.org/10.1890/00129658(2001)082%5b2381:WITORB%5d2.0.CO;2) [\(2001\)082\[2381:WITORB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/00129658(2001)082%5b2381:WITORB%5d2.0.CO;2)
- 17. Nadeau MB, Sullivan TP. Relationships between plant biodiversity and soil fertility in a mature tropical forest, Costa Rica. International Journal of Forestry Research. 2015;2015(1):732946. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/732](https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/732946) [946](https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/732946)
- 18. Nadeau, M. B., & Sullivan, T. P. (2015). Relationships between plant biodiversity and soil fertility in a mature tropical forest, Costa Rica. International Journal of

Forestry Research. 2015; DOI: 10.1155/2015/732946

- 19. Kumar JN, Kumar RN, Bhoi RK, Sajish PR. Tree species diversity and soil nutrient status in three sites of tropical dry deciduous forest of western India. Tropical Ecology. 2010;51(2):273-279.
- 20. Rodríguez-Loinaz G, Onaindia M, Amezaga I, Mijangos I, Garbisu C. Relationship between vegetation diversity and soil functional diversity in indigenous mixedoak forests. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2008;40(1):49-60.

DOI:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.04.015

- 21. Acosta-Martinez V, Tabatabai MA. Enzyme activities in a limed agricultural soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2000;31(1):85-91.
- 22. Janssens F, Peeters A, Tallowin JRB, Bakker JP, Bekker RM, Fillat F, Oomes MJM. Relationship between soil chemical factors and grassland diversity. Plant Soil. 1998;202:69-78. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004389614 865
- 23. Riginos C, Grace JB, Augustine DJ, Young TP. Local versus landscape‐scale effects of savanna trees on grasses. Journal of Ecology. 2009;97(6):1337-1345.
- 24. Breshears DD. The grassland–forest continuum: trends in ecosystem properties for woody plant mosaics. Frontiers in<br>Ecology and the Environment. Ecology and the Environment. 2006;4(2):96-104.
- 25. Scholes RJ, Archer SR. Tree-grass interactions in savannas. Annual review of Ecology and Systematics. 1997;28(1):517- 544. Available:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.e

colsys

- 26. Breshears DD, Rich PM, Barnes FJ, Campbell K. Over story‐imposed heterogeneity in solar radiation and soil moisture in a semiarid woodland. Ecological Applications. 1997;7(4):1201- 1215.
- 27. Ludwig F, Kroon H, Prins HH, Berendse F. Effects of nutrients and shade on tree‐grass interactions in an East African savanna. Journal of Vegetation Science. 2001;12(4):579-588. DOI: 10.2307/3237009
- 28. Grime JP. Control of species density in herbaceous vegetation. J Environ Manage; 1973.

*Nigusse and Gebretsion; Asian J. Res. Agric. Forestry, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 140-149, 2024; Article no.AJRAF.124358*

- 29. Ashouri P, Hamzeh B, Jalili A. Testing biomass-species richness's humped-back shape hypothesis in the Anguran wildlife refuge; 2020.
- 30. Oba G, Vetaas OLER, Stenseth NC. Relationships between biomass and plant species richness in arid-zone grazing

lands. Journal of Applied Ecology. 2001;38836–845.

DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2664.2001.00638.x

31. Vettaas OR. Spatial and Temporal vegetation change a long a moisture gradient in north eastern Sudan.Biotropical. 1993;25:164-175.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

\_ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124358>*