

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(22): 1521-1528, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.91761 ISSN: 2320-7035

Multivariate Analysis in Blackgram (Vigna mungo L. Hepper) Genotypes in India

Palle Siva Sainath Reddy ^{a*o}, Gabriyal M. Lal ^{a#}, Bineeta M. Bara ^{a#} and Parvathaneni Vamsi ^{ao}

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2231527

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91761

Original Research Article

Received 30 June 2022 Accepted 06 September 2022 Published 10 September 2022

ABSTRACT

The present study was contained black gram, 40 genotypes and experiment will be carried out in Randomized block design with 3 replications. The higher GCV & PCV found in biological yield, harvest index, number of cluster per plant, number of primary branches per plant. The traits were biological yield harvest index, number of cluster per plant, number of primary branches per plant. The traits were biological yield per plant, seed index exposed higher heritability and higher genetic advance as percentage of mean. Regarding on D² values 40 black gram genotypes grouped in to 5 clusters. The intra cluster distance ranged from 0 to 30.38. The highest intra cluster distance found in cluster II (30.38). The highest inter cluster distance found between clusters III to cluster IV (168.86). The first principal component was more positively related to seed yield and its contributing traits such as days to maturity (0.305), biological yield (0.203), days to 50% flowering (0.180), seed index (0.018). The positive and negative loading shows the presence of positive and negative correlation trends between the components and the variables.

Keywords: Black gram; genetic variability; heritability; genetic advance; diversity; PCA.

^eM.Sc. (Agriculture);

#Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: ssnrpalle@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Black gram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper] is a selfpollinated, annual, short duration dicotyledonous legume crop with chromosome number 2n=22, and belongs to family fabaceae sub-family papilionaceae. Black gram cultivation on marginal lands under rain-fed conditions with low inputs has been an age-old practice in Asian countries. India is the primary center of origin of black gram [1]. Genetic improvement and development of high yielding varieties are dependent upon genetic variability [2] as it provides the base for selection. "Germplasm collection and judgment of genetic variability is the very first step in a crop breeding programme. Surveys of genetic variability with the help of suitable parameters such as GCV, heritability estimates and genetic advance are verv necessary to start an efficient breeding programme" [3]. Heritability determines that portion of the total variation which is heritable. Genetic advance, expressed as per cent of the population mean, connotes the reaction of different characters towards selection under several selection intensities [4]. Heritability coupled with genetic gain is more reliable and efficient in forecasting gain under selection as compared to sole heritability estimates. Thereby, these two parameters should be given due consideration in conjointment for enriching genetic potential of the crop [5]. Genetic diversity is one of the criteria for parent's determination in the hybridization programmes. The accessibility of transgressive segregant in any breeding project depends upon the diversity between the parents associated. "The evaluation of genetic diversity through biometrical methodology, for example, Mahalanobis D²-statistic has made conceivable to pick genetically diverse parents" [6]. "The ordination techniques like, principal components analysis (PCA) followed by cluster analysis has been found to be useful tool for getting multi correlated variables into another set of uncorrelated variables, which can be utilized for classification of genotypes into homogenous groups" [7].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was carried out at Field Experimentation Centre of the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj (UP), during Rabi-2020-2021. The experimental materials comprising of 40 genotypes with one check variety was grown under Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The morphological traits were recorded and subjected for statistical analysis. The software INDOSTAT were used for the statistical analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance showed the genotypes were significant for all the characters studied at both level of significance 1% and 5%, suggesting the existence of high genetic variability among the genotypes for all the traits.

Genotypic coefficient of variation was varied from 1.68% (Davs to maturity) to 26.87% (Biological yield) Table1. The traits were biological yield (26.87%), harvest index (24.34%), number of cluster per plant (22.21%) showed higher genotypic coefficient of variation Similar results were reported by Arulbalachandran et al. [8], Sathees et al. [9]. The traits were seed index (18.66%), seed yield per plant (17.54%) showed moderate genotypic coefficient of variation. Phenotypic coefficient of variation varied from 3.45% (Days to maturity) to 27.91% (Biological vield. Similar results were reported by Aftab et al. [10], Shoba et al. [11]. The traits were biological vield (27.91%), harvest index (25.49%), number of cluster per plant (23.44%), number of primary branches per plant (22.49%), seed index (20.18%) showed higher phenotypic coefficient of variation Similar results were reported by Bishnoi et al. [12], Vinoth et al. [13]. The traits were seed vield per plant (18.89%), plant height (11.32%), number of pods per cluster (10.5%) showed moderate phenotypic coefficient of variation. Similar results were reported by Chauhan et al. [14], Sowmini et al. [15]. Heritability varied from 17.11% (Pod length) to 92.71% (Biological yield). The traits were biological yield (92.71%), harvest index (91.18%), number of cluster per plant (89.8%), number of primary branches per plant (88.65%), seed yield per plant (86.26%), seed index (85.49%), plant height (61.26%) showed higher heritability. Similar results were reported by Jeberson et al. [16], Baisakh et al. [17]. The traits number of pods per cluster (49.82%), number of seeds per pod (48.65%), days to 50% setting (43.07%) showed moderate pod heritability. Genetic advance varied from pod length (0.12%) to harvest index (17.8%). The traits wee harvest index (17.8%), biological yield (11.5%) showed moderate genetic advance. Genetic advance as percent mean was varied from 1.69% (Days to maturity) to 53.3% (Biological yield). The traits were biological yield

(53.3%), harvest index (47.88%), number of cluster per plant (43.36%), number of primary branches per plant (41.08%), seed index (35.54%), seed yield per plant (33.56%) showed higher genetic advance as percent mean. The

traits were plant height (14.28%), number of pods per cluster (10.77%) showed moderate genetic advance as percent mean. Similar results were reported by Patel et al. [18], Blessy et al. [19].



Fig. 1. Dendrogram D² clusters for black gram genotypes

Traits	GCV	PCV	h2 (Broad Sense)	G.A 5%	G.A as % of Mean 5%
Days to fifty percent flowering	2.33	4.67	24.85	1.36	2.39
Days to fifty percent pod setting	2.38	3.63	43.07	2.05	3.22
Plant height (cm)	8.86	11.32	61.26	6.26	14.28
Number of primary branches per plant	21.18	22.49	88.65	1.53	41.08
Days to maturity	1.68	3.45	23.7	1.64	1.69
Number of clusters per plant	22.21	23.44	89.8	2.87	43.36
Number of pods per cluster	7.41	10.5	49.82	0.51	10.77
Pod length (cm)	2.92	7.06	17.11	0.12	2.49
Number of seeds per pod	6.56	9.4	48.65	0.5	9.42
Biological yield (g)	26.87	27.91	92.71	11.5	53.3
Seed Index (g)	18.66	20.18	85.49	1.28	35.54
Harvest index (%)	24.34	25.49	91.18	17.8	47.88
Seed yield per plant (g)	17.54	18.89	86.26	2.55	33.56

Table 1. Genetic parameters for 13 quantitative characters in 40 black gram genotypes

Table 2. Cluster pattern of blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] 40 genotypes based on D² (Diversity)

Cluster Group	No. of Genotypes	List of Genotypes
1 Cluster	24	LBG-752, KU-48, PLU-103, PDU-6, VBN-11-016, KC-153, K-16-4, PL-416, PGRU-99022, ADT-3, TBG-104,
		KU-42, IPU-94-2, SNTP-02, IPU-99-16, PU-11-14, IPU-94-1, IPU-1070, PLU-19, MASH-338, PKRV-03,
		KU-88-31-2, IPU-95-13 & NO-7368-15
2 Cluster	10	DLU-708, PU-31, H-1, PKRU-03, IPU-99-18, KU-302, KU-96-4, PDU-104, VBN-5 & T-9
3 Cluster	4	AZAD-1, DH-85-5, KU-99-16 & AKU-11-21
4 Cluster	1	L-6
5 Cluster	1	SHEKHAR-2

Table 3. Mean intra and inter-cluster distances among five (5) clusters in blackgram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper] by Tocher's method

Clusters	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5
Cluster 1	24.76	52.38	52.8	97.45	66.86
Cluster 2	52.38	30.38	95.77	73.8	56.33
Cluster 3	52.8	95.77	18.3	168.86	130.67
Cluster 4	97.45	73.8	168.86	0	97.03
Cluster 5	66.86	56.33	130.67	97.03	0

Clusters	Days to fifty percent flowering	Days to fifty percent pod setting	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches per plant	Days to maturity	Number of clusters per plant	Number of pods per cluster	Pod length (cm)	Number of seeds per pod	Biological yield (g)	Seed Index (g)	Harvest index (%)	Seed yield per plant (g)
Cluster 1	57.26	65.94	42.31	3.51	97.57	6.47	4.76	4.68	5.19	24.31	3.71	34.64	8.19
Cluster 2	57.17	65.6	46.1	4.5	97.13	7.12	4.81	4.81	5.68	14.64	3.47	48.19	7.06
Cluster 3	57.58	63.17	44.32	2.44	97.25	4.71	4.25	4.43	4.8	23.85	3.47	23.26	5.47
Cluster 4	57	66.33	49.4	4.85	99.67	12.12	5.3	4.57	5.09	16.18	2.6	45.59	7.38
Cluster 5	49.33	67.33	49.75	5.32	87.33	7.1	6.39	5.48	6.05	21.71	4.16	34.74	7.54

Table 4. Cluster means for different characters in blackgram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper] by Tocher's method

 Table 5. Eigen values, percent variability, cumulative percent variability and characters loading of six principle components for different

 genotypes of black gram

Canonical Roots Analysis (P. C. A.)									
SI. No.		1 Vector	2 Vector	3 Vector	4 Vector	5 Vector	6 Vector		
	Eigen Value (Root)	4.85	1.93	1.55	1.36	1.15	0.67		
	% Var. Exp.	37.33	14.83	11.92	10.50	8.83	5.13		
	Cum. Var. Exp.	37.33	52.15	64.08	74.57	83.40	88.53		
1	Days to fifty percent flowering	0.18	0.05	0.51	0.32	0.02	0.26		
2	Days to fifty percent pod	-0.09	-0.29	-0.01	0.23	-0.65	0.53		
3	Plant height (cm)	-0.17	0.29	-0.11	-0.40	-0.47	-0.11		
4	Number of primary branch	-0.43	-0.01	0.11	-0.06	0.03	-0.09		
5	Days to maturity	0.30	0.02	0.33	-0.07	-0.35	-0.36		
6	Number of clusters per plant	-0.25	-0.25	0.43	-0.33	-0.10	-0.13		
7	Number of pods per cluster	-0.35	-0.21	-0.17	-0.16	-0.15	0.14		
8	Pod length (cm)	-0.41	0.09	-0.13	-0.02	0.10	-0.15		
9	Number of seeds per pod	-0.36	0.07	-0.12	0.36	0.19	0.19		
10	Biological yield (g)	0.20	-0.53	-0.28	-0.16	0.16	0.01		
11	Seed Index (g)	0.02	-0.13	-0.33	0.54	-0.32	-0.57		
12	Harvest index (%)	-0.34	0.09	0.37	0.30	0.02	-0.20		
13	Seed yield per plant (g)	-0.11	-0.64	0.20	0.01	0.13	-0.20		



Mahalanobis Euclidean Distance (Not to the Scale)

Fig. 2. Clustering by Tocher Method

Based on D2 values 40 black gram genotypes grouped in to 5 clusters (Table 2, Fig. 1). Similar results were reported by Elangaimannan et al. [20]. Among these cluster I contained highest number of genotypes (24) and cluster II (10), cluster III (4), cluster IV and V had each one genotypes. The intra cluster distance ranged from 0 to 30.38 (Table 3, Fig. 2). The highest intra cluster distance found in cluster II (30.38). Similar results were reported by Ghafoor et al. [21]. The highest inter cluster distance found between cluster III to cluster IV (168.86). The cluster mean of seed yield per plant varied from 7.06 (cluster II) to 8.19 cluster (I). Similar results were reported by Jayamani et al. [22]. The higher cluster mean of seed yield per plant found in cluster I (8.19) (Table 4). The higher diversity contribution percentage found in seed yield per plant (16.03%). Similar results were reported by Priya et al. [23].

Principal component analysis (PCA) explains the divergence of black gram genotypes. In present study, PCA was performed for thirteen quantitative traits of black gram. Out of 6 principal components (PCs), only 5 PCs exhibited more than 1.00 Eigen value viz., PC1

(4.85), PC2 (1.93), PC 3 (1.55), PC 4 (1.36), PC5 (1.15) showed about 83.40% (Table 5). Similar results were reported by Jeberson et al. [16]. Variability among the traits studied for each genotype. Hence, these 5 principal components were given due importance for the further explanation. The PC1 had 37.33%, PC2 showed 14.83%, PC3 11.92% exhibited, PC4 showed 10.50%, PC5 (8.83%). Similar results were reported by Mohanlal et al. [24].

The first principal component was more positively related to seed yield and its contributing traits such as days to maturity (0.305), biological yield (0.203), days to 50% flowering (0.180), seed index (0.018). Similar results were reported by Prakash et al. [25].The second principal component was more positively related to seed vield and its contributing traits such as plant height (0.289), pod length (0.094), harvest index (0.085), number of seeds per pod (0.072), days to 50% flowering (0.046), days to maturity Similar results were reported by (0.023). Thirumalai et al. [26]. The third principal component was more positively related to seed vield and its contributing traits such as days to 50% flowering (0.507), number of cluster per plant (0.0431), harvest index (0.373), days to maturity (0.332), seed yield per plant (0.199), number of primary branches (0.114). Similar results were reported by Ayesha et al. [27]. The fourth principal component was more positively related to seed yield and its contributing traits such as seed index (0.541), number of seeds per pod (0.364), days to 50% flowering (0.322), harvest index (0.298), days to 50% pod setting (0.234), seed yield per plant (0.015). Similar results were reported by Reddy et al. [28].The fifth principal component was more positively related to seed yield and its contributing traits such as number of seeds per pod (0.194), biological yield (0.155), seed yield per plant (0.134), pod length (0.103), number of primary branches (0.026), harvest index (0.018), Similar results were reported by Jyothi et al. [29].

4. CONCLUSION

The analysis of variance revealed that the existence for all the traits. Hence, the data on all the 13 traits which showed significant difference among the entries were subjected to further statistical analysis [30].

ThegenotypeswereNO-7368-15 (10.23g), VBN-11-016(9.99g),KU-88-31-2 (9.57g) PDU-104 (9.28g) exhibited highest seed yield per plant. The higher GCV & PCV found in biological yield, harvest index, number of cluster per plant, number of primary branches per plant. The traits were biological yield, harvest index, number of cluster per plant, number of primary branches per plant, seed yield per plant, seed index exposed higher heritability (Broad sense) and higher genetic advance as percentage of mean.

Regarding on D^2 values 40 black gram genotypes grouped in to 5 clusters. Among these cluster I contained highest number of genotypes (24) and cluster II (10), cluster III (4), cluster IV and V had each one genotypes. The intra cluster distance ranged from 0 to 30.38. The highest intra cluster distance found in cluster II (30.38). The highest inter cluster distance found between cluster III to cluster IV (168.86).

The first principal component was more positively related to seed yield and its contributing traits such as days to maturity (0.305), biological yield (0.203), days to 50% flowering (0.180), seed index (0.018). The positive and negative loading shows the presence of positive and negative correlation trends between the components and the variables.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Vavilov NI. The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 1951; 72.
- Rolaniya DK, Jinjwadiya MK, Meghawal DR, Lal GM. Studies on genetic variability in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) germplasm. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2017;6(4):1506-8.
- 3. Konda CR, Salimath PM, Mishra MN. Genetic variability studies for productivity and its components in black gram [*Vigna munga* (L.) Hepper]. Legume Res. 2009; 32(1):59-61.
- Gowsalya P, Kumaresan D, Packiaraj D, Bapu JRK. Genetic variability and character association for biometrical traits in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Electron J Plant Breed. 2016; 7(2):317-24.
- Sushmitharaj DV, Shoba D, Arumugam Pillai M. Genetic variability and correlation studies in black gram (*Vigna mungo* [L.] hepper) with reference to YMV resistance. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;6:2849-56.
- Kaewwongwal A, Kongjaimun A, Somta P, Chankaew S, Yimram T, Srinives P. Genetic diversity of the black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] gene pool as revealed by SSR markers. Breed Sci. 2015;65(2): 127-37.
- 7. Sivaprakash KR, Prashanth SR, Mohanty BP, Parida A. Genetic diversity of black gram (*Vigna mungo*) landraces as evaluated by amplified fragment length polymorphism markers. Curr Sci. 2004; 1411-6.
- Arulbalachandran D, Mullainathan L, Velu S, Thilagavathi C. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance of quantitative traits in black gram by effects of mutation in field trail. Afr J Biotechnol. 2010;9(19):2731-5.
- 9. Sathees N, Shoba D, Saravanan S, Perm Kumari SM, Pillai MA. Kumari, and M Arumugam Pillai. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2019;8(6):1892-9.
- 10. Aftab N, Lal GM, Sheera A, Bose NC, Tripathi AM. Evaluation of genetic

variability in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) germplasm. J Plant Dev Sci. 2018;10(8):445-52.

- Priya L, Pillai MA, Shoba D, Kumari SMP, Aananthi N. Genetic variability and correlation studies in black-gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Electron J Plant Breed. 2018;9(4):1583-7.
- Bishnoi A, Gupta P, Meghawal DR, Lal GM. Evaluation of genetic variability and heritability in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) genotypes. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2017;6(4):493-6.
- Vinoth R, Jayamani P. Genetic variability studies for yield and its component traits in inter sub specific RIL population of black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Trends Biosci. 2014;7(4):243-5.
- Chauhan S, Mittal RK, Lohar A, Sood VK, Patial R. Evaluation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Legume Res Int J. 2020;43(of):488-94.
- Sowmini K, Jayamani P. Genetic variability studies for yield and its component traits in RIL population of black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Electron J Plant Breed. 2013;4(1):1050-5.
- 16. Jeberson MS, Shashidhar KS, Singh AK. Genetic variability, principal component and cluster analyses in black gram under Foot-hills conditions of Manipur. Legume Res. 2019;4(00):454-60.
- 17. Baisakh B, Das TR, Panigrahi KK. Genetic variability and correlation analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in advanced mutant lines of black gram. Food Legumes. 2014;27(3):202-5.
- Patel Rahikaben N, Bala M. Genetic variability study for yield and its components in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2020;9(4):2061-4.
- Blessy V, Naik BP, Priya Yadav BN. Studies on genetic variability in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;7(4):1730-2.
- 20. Elangaimannan R, Anbuselvam Y, Karthikeyan P. Genetic diversity in black

gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Legume Res. 2008;31(1):57-9.

- Ghafoor A, Arshad M. Multivariate analyses for quantitative traits to determine genetic diversity of black gram *Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper germplasm. Pak J Bot. 2008;40(6):2307-13.
- Jayamani P, Sathya M. Genetic diversity in pod characters of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). Legume Res Int J. 2013;36(3):220-3.
- Priya S, Anjana P, Diamond PS. Genetic diversity analysis in different varieties of black gram using RAPD markers. J Plant Breed Crop Sci. 2011;3(3):53-9.
- 24. Mohanlal VA, Saravanan K, Sabesan T. Multivariate analysis in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. hepper) genotypes. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;7(6): 860-3.
- 25. Reni YP, Ramana MV, Rajesh AP, Madhavi GB, Prakash KK. Principal component analysis for yield and quality traits of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Int J Plant Soil Sci. 2022;38-47.
- 26. Thirumalai R, Murugan S. Multivariate analysis in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) hepper) genotypes for mungbean yellow mosaic virus (mymv) resistance. Plant Arch. 2020;20(1):2473-80.
- 27. Ayesha Md, Babu DR, Rajesh AP, Lal Ahmed Md, Kumar VM. Principal components of genetic diversity in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]; 2021.
- 28. Reddy A, Kavitha M, Priya S, Reddy DM, Reddy BR. Principal component analysis for yield in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) under organic and inorganic fertilizer managements.
- 29. Jyothi SB. Multivariate analysis in black gram (*Vigna Mungo* (L.) Based on Quantitative Traits; 2021.
- Gayacharan, Tripathi K, Aski MS, Singh N, Kumar A, Lal H. Understanding genetic diversity in blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] collections of Indian National GeneBank. Genet Resour Crop Evol. 2022;69(3):1229-45.

© 2022 Reddy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91761