



Study of Phytoplankton Abundance and Species Diversity in Shahjangi Pond of Bhagalpur District, Bihar, India

Priyama Kumari ^{a+++*} and Ashok Kumar Thakur ^{a#}

^a Department of Zoology, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEE/2023/v20i1428

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95214>

Original Research Article

Received: 23/10/2022

Accepted: 27/12/2022

Published: 05/01/2023

ABSTRACT

In the present study, species diversity and phytoplankton abundance were examined in Shahjangi Pond in the Bhagalpur region of Bihar. The present study was carried out from April 2021 to March 2022. Phytoplankton is considered a type of microscopic plankton capability of a photosynthesis process that is found as an essential element of the aquatic ecosystem. Phytoplankton can range in size and shape and they are photosynthesizing autotrophic organism. The primary goal of this study is to learn more about the variety of phytoplankton in this pond. A blotting silk net was used to capture the sample. The planktons were collected in wide, thick bottles with a 200ml capacity. The vial containing the filtrate was then brought to the lab. In the laboratory 5ml of 4%, formalin solution was added collected filtrate. Under a compound microscope, sample analysis was carried out at 10x and 40x magnification.

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#] Professor & Head;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: priyamapayal@gmail.com;

Keywords: Chlorophyceae; myxophyceae; phytoplankton; pond; species.

1. INTRODUCTION

Phytoplankton is a very important character of an aquatic ecosystem and plays a crucial role in the energy transfer among the aquatic food chains or food webs. Freshwater phytoplankton plays a vital role in aquatic ecosystem like ponds, lakes and reservoirs [1]. Phytoplankton is the chief primary producer in almost all aquatic systems and is an imperative food source for other organisms [2]. Zooplankton feeds on phytoplankton. They are accountable for eating millions of little algae and other phytoplankton [3,4]. Phytoplankton not only serves as nutrition or food or diet for the aquatic animal, but also plays a significant role in keeping the biological equilibrium and the quality of water [5].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

For collection of water sample, 3 stations were cast in the pond. Water samples for the Phytoplankton study were collected from three different stations. Monthly collections were performed between 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM at the three stations when weather conditions allowed sampling from the Pond. There was surface sampling at stations 1, 2 and 3. At the station, samples were collected at mid-depth (9 m) and the bottom depth (19 m). All the collected samples were transported to the laboratory.

“Plankton sampling was carried out by using the plankton net of mesh size 55µm by hauling horizontally for five meters according to the method” [6].

“Filtered water samples were stored in the sample bottle and then preserved with Lugol’s iodine solution of 10%. Identification of the phytoplankton species was according” to Botes [7] Emi and Andy [8].

H' - Shannon-Wiener diversity index

S - Species richness (number of species), pi –

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \log p_i$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Four families (Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Chrysophyceae, and Myxophyceae) and 18 phytoplankton species were found after the six-month survey. Flagilaria recorded the highest with a percentage abundance of 28.49%, followed by Ankistrodesmus with 22.08%, Aphanocapsa with 21.27%, while Eudorina with 0.24% is the least abundant (Table 1). Tables 2 and 3 of the study revealed the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H') and the Spatial Variation in the Diversity indices of the study.

Table 1. Species composition and abundance of phytoplankton in Shahjangi Pond (Bhagalpur)

Species	Total abundance	% Abundance
<i>Flagilaria</i>	113217	28.49
<i>Tabellaria</i> sp.	13535	3.40
<i>Naviculales</i>	4121	1.03
<i>Nituschia</i>	2452	0.61
<i>Yclotella</i>	13349	3.36
<i>Ankistrodesmus</i>	87791	22.08
<i>Chlorella</i>	19723	4.96
<i>Ulothrix</i>	4523	1.13
<i>Enteromorpha</i>	1009	0.25
<i>Closterium</i>	5145	1.29
<i>Eudorina</i>	1030	0.24
<i>Oocystis</i>	11458	2.88
<i>Zugrema</i>	1523	0.38
<i>Microspora</i>	5121	1.28
<i>Aphanocapsa</i>	84521	21.27
<i>Anabaena</i>	12456	3.13
<i>Oscillatoria</i>	1321	0.33
<i>Aphanizomenon</i>	8452	2.12
<i>Mallomonas</i>	6521	1.64
Total	= 397268	

Table 2. Spatial variation in diversity indices of phytoplankton population across the study sites

	Site		
	A	B	C
Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H')	3.12	2.57	3.09
Evenness Index (E)	0.75	0.73	0.76
Simpson's Density Index (D)	0.16	0.19	0.20




Fig. 1. Graphical Representation of abundance of phytoplankton in Shahjangi Pond (Bhagalpur)

Table 3. Spatial variation in diversity indices of phytoplankton population across the study sites

	Site		
	A	B	C
Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H')	3.12	2.57	3.09
Evenness Index (E)	0.75	0.73	0.76
Simpson's Density Index (D)	0.16	0.19	0.20




Fig. 2. Graphical representation of spatial variation in diversity indices of phytoplankton population across the study sites

Tables 1 and 2 of the study revealed the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H') and the Spatial Variation in the Diversity indices of the study. The Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H') ranged between 2.57 – 3.12 across the three study sites. Pielou Evenness Index (E) ranged between 0.73 - 0.76; Simpson's Diversity Index (D) ranged between 0.16 - 0.20. The study supports Azma's results that a Simpson Index value of 0.83 to 0.93 suggests that the communities are mature and stable since the dominance is shared by a sizable number of individuals. According to Azma and Frutos et al. [9,10] pointed out that if the Pielou Index values are less than 0.5, it could be an indicator of the presence of ecological stress.

“It is generally accepted that the dynamics of water circulation, nutrient concentrations, rainfall patterns, location, and the physical environment—which varies primarily in accordance with the dry and wet seasons in tropical waters—are the primary factors driving seasonal variation of phytoplankton community structure” [11,12]. During the six-month research period, more *Ankistrodesmus* (22.08%) and *Flagilaria* (28.49%) cases were noted. Nineteen (19) phytoplankton species in total was discovered during the study period. In general, the three sites' plankton species compositions were comparable. This is comparable to the findings of Mohammed et al. [13] & Anago et al. [14], who reported “phytoplankton and zooplankton abundance in a study of phytoplankton diversity from Koil Coastal waters in India and Awba Reservoir Ibadan Nigeria respectively. Furthermore, a study conducted by Fonge et al. on Phytoplankton diversity and abundance in the Ndop wetland plain, Cameroon showed a high diversity of phytoplankton in study areas”. Anthropogenic activities at Station A may be to blame for the high quantity of phytoplankton there. The results support those of Fonge et al. who noted that the ongoing input of nutrients, particularly through the usage of nitrate and phosphate fertilizers adjacent to the station, may also be responsible for the high abundance of phytoplankton species. All sites showed an increase in species richness, variety, and evenness. This might be explained by more microhabitats emerging as a result of increasing living space.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it can be said that the phytoplankton communities of Shajangi pond are

mature and stable with great diversity. The study has been made throughout the year, so season and geography, both have an impact on phytoplankton diversity and abundance, but despite this, the diversity of phytoplankton has remained stable. Additional research should be done to assess the physicochemical and phytoplankton abundance and composition in various water bodies throughout the year.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. SantManickam N, Saravana Bhavan P, Santhanam hanam P, Muralisankar T, Srinivasan V, P, Muralisankar T, Srinivasan V, S, Vijayadevan K, Chitrarasu P Radhakrishnan and Jawahar Ali A. Seasonal Variations and Jawahar Ali A. Seasonal Variations of Zooplankton Diversity in a Perennial of Zooplankton Diversity in Reservoir at Thoppaiyar, Dharmapuri District, South India. *Austin Journal of Aquaculture and Marine Biology*. 2014;(1):1-7.
2. Sukumaran M. Brintha M. Mathavan Pillai M. Species composition and diversity of phytoplankton of Pechparai dam, India. *J of Theor. and Expl. Bio*. 2008;4(4):157-161.
3. Hillebrand H, Acevedo-Trejos E, Moorthi SD, Ryabov A, Striebel M, Thomas PK, Schneider ML. Cell size as driver and sentinel of phytoplankton community structure and functioning. *Functional Ecology*. 2022;36(2):276-93.
4. Hillebrand H, Antonucci Di Carvalho J, Dajka JC, Dürselen CD, Kerimoglu O, Kuczynski L, Rönn L, Ryabov A. Temporal declines in Wadden Sea phytoplankton cell volumes observed within and across species. *Limnology and Oceanography*. 2022;67(2):468-81.
5. Pandey Ushapandey Tyagi HR, Rai N. Algal flora and physico-chemical environment of Fateh saker lake. *Phykos*. 1998;37(187):29-30.
6. Hassan EI, Bonjoru R, Ndeham VR. Phytoplankton Diversity and Abundance in Lake Ribadu, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Wetlands*. 2019;3:4.
7. Botes L. Phytoplankton identification catalogue – Saldanha Bay, South Africa. *Glo Ballast Monograph Series No. 7*. IMO

- London. Boyd, C.E. and Tucker CS. (1998). Pond Aquaculture Water Quality Management Lower Academic Publishers. London. 2003;15-20.
8. Emi Y, Andy G. Phytoplankton identification guide. University of Georgia Marine Education Centre and Aquarium; 2007.
 9. Frutos SM, Poi de Neiff AS, Neiff G. Zooplankton abundance and species diversity in two lakes with different trophic states (Corrientes, Argentina). Acta Limnol. Bras. 2009;21(3):367–375.
 10. Azma HI, Siti AZ. A comparative study of zooplankton diversity and abundance from three different types of water body. 2nd International Conference on Agriculture, Environment and Biological Sciences (ICAEBs'15), Bali (Indonesia); 2015.
 11. Fonge BA, Tening AS, Egbe EA, Yinda GS, Fongod AN, Achu RM. Phytoplankton diversity and abundance in Ndop wetland plain, Cameroon. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 2012;6(6):247-257.
 12. Jeje CY, Fernando R. A practical guide to the identification of Nigerian zooplankton (*Cladocera*, *Copepoda* and *Rotifera*). Kainji Lake Research Institute, Niger. Journal of Limnology. 1986;50(3): 142-149.
 13. Mohamed AS, Thurumaran G, Arumugam R, Ragupathi RK, Anantharaman P. Studies of plankton diversity from koi coastal waters, India. Global Journal of Environmental Research. 2009;3(2): 118-125.
 14. Anago IJ, Esenowo IK, Ugwumba AAA. The physico-chemistry of Awba Reservoir University of Ibadan, Nigeria. Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences. 2013;5(11):638-644.

© 2023 Kumari and Thakur; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95214>